

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Citadel, Ancient City and Fortress Buildings of Derbent

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Russian Federation

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1070

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2003


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Citadel, Ancient City and Fortress Buildings of Derbent	42.053 / 48.297	9.7	2	11.7	2003
Total (ha)		9.7	2	11.7	

Comment

Data on areas of the property and its buffer zone, as well as geographic coordinates, will be accurately fixed during the development of the "heritage site" status (also see 1.8).

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Citadel, Ancient City and Fortress Buildings of Derbent - map of inscribed property	01/01/2003	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze
Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO
Executive Secretary

Comment

replace for - Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation
Vladimir Tsvetnov Director of the Department for Control, Supervision and Licensing Malyi Gnezdnikovskiy per,7/6, str.1,2 125993 Moscow Tel: +7 495 625 07 08 e-mail: tsvetnov@mkrf.ru, depkontr@mkrf.ru

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Savi Khanukaev
The administration of Derbent
Vice-chairman of Administration of Derbent

Comment

Replace for - The Government of the Republic of Dagestan
Anatoly Shamsutdinovich Caribov, First Deputy of the Prime Minister of the Republic of Dagestan 367000, Russia, Republic of Dagestan, Makhachkala, Lenina sq. Tel. +7 (8722)672029 SBI "Derbent State Historical, Architectural and Art Museum Reserve" Ali Magiyatdinovich Ibragimov, Director, 368602, Republic of Dagestan, Derbent, ul.Rzaeva, 7 Tel.: 8 (87240) 4-73-09, fax: 8 (87240) 4-15-29 e-mail: drevnyderbent@rambler.ru

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

www.derbentmuzei.ru

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

According to the Order of the Ministry of Culture of the RF, the Ministry of Regional Development of the RF (July 29, 2010 N418/339), the town of Derbent is included into the "List of Historic Settlements." The «heritage site» of the federal importance "Citadel and historic town within the fortress walls of Derbent" is approved by Order of the Government of the RF N153-r, 02.06.2014. During the development of this status the property obtains the protection regime, fixed protection zones, etc.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Retrospective description of the OUV for the World Heritage Property is being developed. It will be submitted to the World Heritage Centre at the nearest future.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

iii - The ancient town of Derbent played a great role of controlling the passage between the North and South on the west coast of the Caspian sea from the beginning of the first millennium A.D. iv - Derbent and its defensive installations come to be the most important part of the fortification system, designed and built by the Sassanian Empire along it's north border.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.5	Major linear utilities					
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.5	Solid waste					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.3	Temperature					
3.7.5	Dust					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.5	Solid waste	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.5	Dust	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The monuments of history and culture are owned and protected by the State according to the prescriptions of the RSFSR Supreme Soviet Decree of 27.12.1991. The list of these monuments has been confirmed by the Decree of the President of the Russian Federation of 20.02.1995. The land where the monuments are situated is in federal ownership in accordance with the Land Code of the Russian Federation. Lands and monuments are turned over to the Reserve of

History and Art of Derbent who exercise their right for operative management.

Comment

Federal law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation" Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Nations of the Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008);

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The control for the implementation of conservation and restoration programmes is the responsibility of the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Dagestan, together with Committees on land and natural resources. The republican programmes on preservation are further controlled by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation.

Comment

The property is managed by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation and the Government of the Republic of Dagestan. Monuments of historical and cultural heritage included in the nomination "Citadel, Ancient City and Fortress Structures of Derbent," fall within the operational management and use of Derbent Historical, Architectural and Art Museum Reserve. The Management Plan for the property is missing. Its development is envisaged in 2014-15.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

At the moment the current document for development of the museum reserve until 2020 is the "Chart of development and project of protection zones of the Derbent State Historical, Architectural and Art Museum Reserve" (approved in 1993). In the future status of «heritage site» of federal significance importance "Citadel and historic town within the fortress walls of Derbent" (approved in 2014) will be working out.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer

zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Powers to state protection of cultural heritage sites, located in Derbent, are made by the Ministry of Culture (Order of the Government of the Russian Federation No. 759- p dated 01.06.2009 (as amended on 08.01.2014) "On the list of individual cultural heritage sites of federal importance, powers to state protection of which are made by the Ministry of Culture").

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	50%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	50%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No International Assistance has been provided

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

It is necessary to upgrade skills of staff related to the management of the property.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Kasumov N.K. Derbent - the Gate of the Caucasus. Derbent 2007 Guseynov G.Y. Encyclopedia of the City of Derbent. Moscow 2009

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
-----------	----------------

Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Information about the number of visitors is provided by ticket sales at the entrance to the Citadel, as well as in the branch museum divisions operating within the town.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Fees are charged for visits to museums, located within the property, the funds are allotted to the museum development.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
--	-----------

Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

ICOMOS 2012 recommendations are continuously considered in the current work, and were used for preparing a report on the state of conservation of the property in 2013.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

It is useful to develop indicators to assess the state of conservation of the property.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Pollution of facades of private houses in old quarters of Derbent - magals. Prolonged exposure to vibration leads to vibration cracks.	Prohibition of traffic of heavy vehicles, installation of reduced speed signs, repair of roads passing through the streets of magals.	Constant monitoring of the general state of the world heritage site is performed, with particular regard to the effects of vibration.	According to the annual schedules of engineering works.	The Town Administration together with the users of the World Heritage Site - Museum-Reserve, Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography of the Dagestan Scientific Center of the RAS.	Strict control over the traffic - it's the only actual method to reduce the negative impact of traffic on the state of the World Heritage Site
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	Visual perception of the citadel, fortress walls and old quarters of the town (magals) deteriorates because gas pipes, electric cables, telephone lines and internet connection cables laid here.	The use of modern and innovative technologies in the installation of linear communications	Seasonal inspections	According to the approved schedules of restoration works	The Town Administration together with the users of the World Heritage Site - Museum Reserve, Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography of the Dagestan Scientific Center of the RAS.	No comments
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	Due to location of the town near the Caspian Sea, a relatively humid climate effects on the buildings and structures of the ancient town. Excessive moisture can be experienced in private houses of the old town as well.	Measures to maintain optimal temperature and humidity conditions (heating and ventilation of premises).	Daily and weekly monitoring of temperature and humidity conditions	Implementation of measures as necessary, especially during the mid-seasons.	All organizations - users of the site - Museum Reserve, Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography of the Dagestan Scientific Center of the RAS.	Excessive moisture can promote development of micro-organisms - mold, fungi, algae, etc.
3.7.3	Temperature	Differences between day and night temperatures (especially when passing through zero temperatures in winter) threaten the integrity of ancient structures.	Measures to maintain optimal temperature and humidity conditions (heating and ventilation of premises).	Daily and weekly monitoring of temperature and humidity conditions.	Implementation of measures as necessary, especially during the mid-seasons.	All organizations - users of the site - Museum Reserve, Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography of the Dagestan Scientific Center of the RAS.	No comments
3.7.5	Dust	Dust deposition occurs on shell stone (limestone), which the fortress walls are built of. This is exacerbated in high humidity conditions. As a result the appearance of fortress walls and other buildings of the citadel deteriorates.	Measures to clean walls and facades using water pressure (pump).	Weekly monitoring of the appearance of buildings.	Implementation of measures as necessary, especially during the tourist season.	All organizations - users of the site - Museum Reserve, Institute of History, Archaeology and Ethnography of the Dagestan Scientific Center of the RAS.	No comments
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	After rare but heavy shower rains mudflows and landslides are possible near the northern wall of the Naryn-Kala citadel. This factor creates a serious threat to integrity of the ancient fortifications.	Installing underground stormwater drainage for rainwater flows diversion	Annual monitoring of siltation of underground stormwater drainage	In 2015, completion of installation of underground stormwater drainage is expected.	The Town Administration, housing and communal services of the town, Museum Reserve	No comments

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	Correction of current scheme of Derbent Museum-Reserve` development (1993). Development of the status of cultural heritage site "Citadel and historic town within the fortress walls of Derbent" (2014). Development of management plan.	2014 – 2015	Management bodies (Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation and the Government of the Republic of Dagestan), together with the users of the world heritage site	No comments
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	Correction of current scheme of Derbent Museum-Reserve` development (1993). Development of the status of cultural heritage site "Citadel and historic town within the fortress walls of Derbent" (2014). Development of management plan.	2014-2015	Management bodies (Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation and the Government of the Republic of Dagestan), together with the users of the world heritage site	No comments
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	Preparation and submission of applications to the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation and to the Government of the Republic of Dagestan for targeted funding and supporting of the world heritage site.	Submission of applications – annually.	All users of the world heritage site; the Town Administration.	In developing the management plan, special attention shall be paid to funding section - to create long-term financial plan aimed at maintaining the world heritage site.
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Preparation and submission of applications to the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation and to the Government of the Republic of Dagestan for targeted funding and supporting of the world heritage site.	Submission of applications – annually.	All users of the world heritage site; the Town Administration.	No comments
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Transfer of the republican Museum-Reserve to the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Culture, with the subsequent establishment of the federal budget institution of culture, will increase the staff and, therefore, meet this management demand.	2014 – 2015	The Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, the Government of the Republic of Dagestan, the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Dagestan, the Town Administration	No comments

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Retrospective description of the OUV for the World Heritage Property is being developed. It will be submitted to the World Heritage Centre at the nearest future.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Data on areas of the property and its buffer zone, as well as geographic coordinates, will be accurately fixed during the development of the "heritage site" status (also see 1.8).

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise