

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Architectural Ensemble of the Trinity Sergius Lavra in Sergiev Posad

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Russian Federation

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

657


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1993

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Trinity Sergius Lavra , Russian Federation	56.31 / 38.131	?	?	0	1993
The Church of Paraskeva the Piatnitsa (Friday) (the Piatnitskaja Church) , Russian Federation	56.308 / 38.133	?	?	0	1993
The Church of the Presentation in the Temple (the Vvedenskaja Church) , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1993
The Chapel over the Piatnitskij Well , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1993
The Red Hill Chapel (the Krasnogorskaja Chapel) , Russian Federation	0 / 0	?	?	0	1993
The Stable Yard (the Konjushennyj Yard) , Russian Federation	56.314 / 38.136	?	?	0	1993
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Architectural Ensemble of the Trinity Sergius Lavra in Sergiev Posad - Map showing the inscribed property and its buffer zone	21/08/1992	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

• Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze
Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO
Executive Secretary

Comment

Replace for: Mr Vladimir Tsvetnov Director Department of Governmental Control and Supervision in the Sphere of Cultural Heritage Russian Ministry of Culture 7 Kitaigorodskiy proezd, bld.2 Moscow 109074 Tel/fax +7(495) 6250708 Email: tsvetnov@mkrf.ru ..

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Sergey Demidov
Patriarch's architectural-restoration centre of the Trinity Sergius Lavra
Director

Comment

Archbishop Theognostus (Guzikov) The Trinity Sergius Laura Sergiev Posad 141300 Moscow Region Russian Federation Telephone +7(496) 5405942 Email: office@lavra.ru

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [The Architecture Ensemble of the Trinity-Sergius Lavra Monastery \(Sergiev Posad Museum\)](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Federal Law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation" Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008); Law on Transfer to Religious Organizations of Assets Having a Religious Intended Purpose and Representing State or Municipal Property (2010)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Revised OUV will be submitted to WHC until December 1, 2015

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(ii) The Trinity Sergius Lavra represents the fusion of traditional Russian architecture with that of western Europe to create an eastern European tradition that had a strong influence on architectural developments in a large area of eastern Europe (iv) An outstanding and remarkably complete example of a major Orthodox monastery with a military function and vast expansion in 15-18 cc. and strong religious and spiritual activities until present time

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the
Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be
revised**

OUV of the WHP shall be revised in the course of retrospective inventory process since its inscription in 1993 according to the decision of the UNESCO bodies.

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations
related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

Revised OUV is now under development

3. Factors Affecting the Property






























































3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Management coordination in the property between civil and religious bodies (museum-reserve, diocese and other organizations) needs to be improved. City administration as a stakeholder in property preservation shall be included into decision-making process together with property managers.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

Name	Impact	Origin				
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.1 Housing	 					
3.1.2 Commercial development	 					
3.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	 	 				
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	 					
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	  					
3.4 Pollution						
3.4.2 Ground water pollution	  	 				
3.4.5 Solid waste	 					
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.5 Dust	  	 				
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	  					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	 	 				
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	  					
3.8.6 Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	  	 				
3.13 Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1 Low impact research / monitoring activities	  					
3.13.3 Management activities	  					
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.1 Housing	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.1.2 Commercial development	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.2 Ground water pollution	localised	on-going	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.4.5 Solid waste	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.5 Dust	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Major threats to the property are the on-going pollution of the ground water and effects of the car road near the northern monastery wall. Dust can be eliminated if additional measures for visitors (like proper cleaning or plastic covers for the foot-gear are introduced). New developments outside the buffer zone threaten the OUV of the WHP and can damage its integrity and visual perception.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit the ability** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Buffer zone and boundaries are adequate for the property preservation and shall be maintained in agreement with the relevant state and region authorities. Buffer zone shall be properly authorized by the Ministry of Culture

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The property is protected under the Federal Law on Cultural Heritage of the Russian Federation. The draft amendments to

the Federal Law on Cultural Heritage of the Russian Federation have been introduced by the Russian Government.

In accordance with a Federal Law on the transfer of State or Municipal properties of religious origin to religious organizations, the property was transferred for use to the Russian Orthodox Church.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• Question 6.02

Under protection by the State. State ownership and protection of the Trinity-Sergius Lavra Monastery complex is covered by the following legislative instruments:

- The Decree "On transformation of historic and artistic valuables of the Trinity-Sergius Lavra into a museum", 1920.
- The Resolution "On measures for improvement of the conditions of monuments of the Sergiev Posad Museum", 1940 (by means of which the whole complex of the Zagorsk History and Art Museum within the fortress walls was declared a Museum-Reserve).
- The Resolution "On further improvement of the protection of cultural monuments in the RSFSR", 1960.
- The Law on the Protection and maintenance of monuments of history and culture of the USSR, 1976.
- The Law on the Protection and maintenance of monuments of history and culture of the USSR, 1978.
- GIPROGOR Overall Plan for Zagorsk Town, 1974.
- GENPLAN Detailed Layout Project of the Central Part of Zagorsk, 1991.
- The Resolution "On urgent measures in protection of the cultural and natural heritage of the peoples of the RSFSR", 1991.
- The Resolution "On implementation of the Law on legal and civil responsibilities for breaking the legal code for the protection and maintenance of historical and cultural monuments", 1991.

Comment

Federal law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation" Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008); Law on Transfer to Religious Organizations of Assets Having a Religious Intended Purpose and Representing State or Municipal Property (2010);

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Protective measures shall be improved via better enforcement of the legislative basis, i.e. introduction of the amendment to the Federal Law 73 on buffer zone and site manager status

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Administration of the territory and ensemble is now fully under the Russian Orthodox Church.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• Question 5.05

- Overall management system of the site
 - Consensual management

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Re-confirmation of the Protection Agreements is needed. Restoration works need to be licensed and approved by the relevant bodies. Management plan is now under development and will be submitted to the World Heritage Center until February 1, 2015

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Training on property preservation and OUV understanding by the property staff is highly necessary. Expertise on heritage preservation and international communication among the administration people is limited and needs to be expanded.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Transfer (extension) of the property and management rights to one religious organization is the major change since 2004

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	95%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	5%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No assistance from the World Heritage Fund has been rendered

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

The World Heritage property **does not deliver any economic benefits** to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Substantial donations from populace and religious organizations could be used for heritage preservation in the property if the administrative and legal foundations for such assistance are secured.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	50%
Part-time	50%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Not applicable
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Stakeholders using the property and administration staff members are to be educated on the OUV, criteria and conservation monitoring measures

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Scientific publications on restoration and conservaion of Trinity-Sergius Lavra are produced by the Patriarch Restoration Center and its Principal Dr. Sergey Demidov. New comprehensive illustrated information guide was published in Russian in 2010: "Svyato-Troitskaya Sergieva Lavra", Putevoditel", Lavra, 2010, 399 pp.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Research on heritage preservation and property monitoring in particular is to be expanded

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not provided but needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

UNESCO Emblem is not displayed anywhere and it is to be improved through coordinated action with the national Ministry of Culture

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

No current plan for visitor management available for the Laura, but in the Museum-Reserve the visitation of the Museum collections is under control and well regulated.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Visitors' education, overall awareness on property values and management of visitation of the Laura shall be improved

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

No special recommendations were made

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Monitoring shall be improved and developed as an integrated system

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Integrity and visual perception of the property could be destroyed upon construction of the temporary or permanent accommodation facilities and relevant infrastructure	Discussion of the proposed development plans and ban on constructions with the negative impact in the cultural and historic environment	Monitoring of the development plans and project proposals	Permanently	Property Manager, Ministry of Culture, Advisory Bodies	Major development plans relevant to the property shall be discussed and negotiated with the consultation from the national experts and in cooperation with advisory bodies
3.1.2	Commercial development	Integrity and visual perception of the architectural ensemble are distorted due to disharmonious modern constructions and/or high-rise buildings	Discussion of the proposed development plans and ban on the constructions with the negative impact in the townscape	Monitoring of the development plans and project proposals	Permanently	Property Manager, Sergiev Posad authorities responsible for the master plan of the city development, Ministry of Culture	Major development plans relevant to the property shall be discussed and negotiated with the invitation of the national experts and international advisory bodies - ICOMOS and ICCROM
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Authenticity and visual perception could be destroyed if modernized roads are installed in close proximity to the property	Manager shall develop plan for the historic roads modernization with the consideration of the monastery cultural heritage.	Monitoring of the development plans and project proposals for transport infrastructure	In 2015-2016	Property Manager, City authorities and National Advisory Bodies	Northern wall of the monastery and its buffer zone is under increasing transportation pressure of the car traffic
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.2	Ground water pollution	Authenticity is threatened by contamination of the ground water. Chemicals wash-off from the roads and lack of proper sewage system in the city pollutes the underground sources of water inside the monastery and around it.	Negotiations with the city authorities on improvement of the sewage system, joint application for funds to eliminate the water pollution	Regular sampling of ground and underground water	Monthly, seasonally and at necessity	Relevant city authorities and sanitary service	Proposals are implemented to reduce pollution of the roads wash-off with the seasonal application of micro-gravel and sand instead of chemicals
3.4.5	Solid waste	Adequate perception of the property is violated by waste sites around the monastery and litter in the proximity to the monastery	Negotiations with the city authorities on improvement of the cleaning in the city and system of waste transportation and disposal	Regular inspection of waste sites and buffer zone territory	Daily	Property Manager, City Administration and Sanitary Service	Regular cleaning in the buffer zone to be coordinated with the city authorities and maintained by the responsible company
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.5	Dust	Dusting of surface of the walls and interiors of the monuments is dangerous for authenticity and creates conditions for development of micro-organisms and fungi	Regular examination, cleaning and restoration (if necessary) of surfaces exposed to dust	Inspection of the monuments by professional restoration staff	Monthly and seasonally	State Research and Development Institute of Restoration	All activities are performed after scientific research and advice from professional restoration institutions and advisory bodies if necessary

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	A coordinating body between all stakeholders is to be established for better property management. This action is included into management plan.	Until February 1, 2015	Diocese and city administration with involvement of the Ministry of Culture representative	Free entrance to the monastery of both pilgrims and civic persons allows wide access of public. Tourist visitation, however, is seasonally varied, and is on increase.
4.4 Financial and Human Resources					
4.4.5	The World Heritage property does not deliver any economic benefits to local communities	Monastery is an Orthodox religious organization that does not provide economic benefits either to insiders, or to outsiders according to its ethical rules.	Not applicable	Moscow Orthodox Patriarchy	No actions are envisaged by the monastery, but city authorities may have proposals for cooperative improvement of the local economy via job opportunities for local residents
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	Major improvements are needed in the sphere of digitized monitoring and management. Various facilities for pilgrims and visitors need to become more advanced and varied.	Annual Action Plan in 2014-2015	Moscow Patriarchy, Head of the Monastery and Museum-Reserve	Anniversary of the Sergius of Radonezh in 2014 allows to plan and implement some of the actions, to be added by further improvements planned for year 2015.
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Additional education on management for site manager and key personnel is under development. Role of advisory body in the education process is under consideration.	Annual Action plan for 2014-2015	Ministry of Culture, Heritage Institute, Moscow State University	Program for Education on Heritage Management is to be developed under Ministry of Culture
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.1	World Heritage emblem not displayed	Unified UNESCO emblem to be designed and adopted for all national WH sites	By February 1, 2015	Ministry of Culture	There is an urgent need to promote UNESCO sites to demonstrate and commemorate the 60th anniversary of UNESCO
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Monitoring is executed but not in a systematic way, it shall be developed as a comprehensive, integrated program	By February 1, 2015	Head of the Monastery and Museum-Reserve, Ministry of Culture, Heritage Institute	Integrated program for monitoring of all cultural heritage properties shall be coordinated and approved by the Ministry of Culture

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

Trinity Sergius Lavra as major religious site near Moscow city is under rapid development in connection to the 400th anniversary of Saint Sergius of Radonezh

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	No impact

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

Status of property at the national level is high and needs only international promotion in the future

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Translation of the reporting questionnaire into Russian shall be improved. The relevant decisions from the WHC need to be available for the property manager to follow up

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Not all of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	None
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: Revised OUV will be submitted to WHC until December 1, 2015

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

Reporting was useful and assisted in management plan development