

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Church of the Ascension, Kolomenskoye

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Russian Federation

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

634rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1994

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Church of the Ascension, Kolomenskoye	55.656 / 37.674	0	0	0	1994
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property (ha): 57: The property coordinates have been fixed by order ref. 175 of 09.02.2011 of Russian Federal Surveillance Service for Compliance with the Law in Mass Communications and Cultural Heritage Protection delimiting the territory and determining the legal framework for land use within it.

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze
Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO
Executive Secretary

Comment

replace for: Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation Vladimir Tsvetnov Director of the Department for Control, Supervision and Licensing Malyi Gnezdnikovskiy per,7/6, str.1,2 125993 Moscow Tel: +7 495 625 07 08 e-mail: tsvetnov@mkrf.ru, depkontr@mkrf.ru

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Moscow Historical & Architectural, natural and landscape state art Museum Reserve "Kolomenskoye-Lefortovo-Izmailovo-Lublino" Kaikova Natalya
Andropov Avenue, 39
115487 Moscow Russian Federation
kaikova@mgomz.ru 8 49 96 153 736

Comment

Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Музей-заповедник "Коломенское"](#)

2. [Kolomenskoye](#)

Comment

<http://www.mgomz.ru/>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was adopted at the 38th session of the World Heritage Committee in June 2014.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Chronicler describes church as "unequaled in height, beauty and lightness all over Russia". The original architectural forms of the main body of the church have been preserved. Particular features: no altar apses, spired gables above the base arches, massive angular lesenes and ornamental rises between them, ogee corbel arches and a "network" of "beads" on the tent. Excellent acoustics. Extant "Royal place" on the altar side of gallery. Height: 62 m, wall thickness: 3-4 m.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value




























































3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	  				
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	  				
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	  				
3.7.2	Relative humidity	  	 			
3.7.3	Temperature	   	 			
3.7.5	Dust	  				
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	  				
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	 				
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	 				
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	  				
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	  				
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	  				
3.13.3	Management activities	  				
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	restricted	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.5	Dust	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static

5. The Moscow Law of 12.03.2003 #18 "On Long-Term Object Program of Conservation Historical and Cultural Heritage and Development of the Museum-Reserve "Kolomenskoe" for 2003-2007"

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• Question 6.02

1. The Moscow Government Decree of 19.01.1999 #38 "On Project Proposals about Geographical Demarcation of the Natural Complex with the Description of its Limits and Fixing them by Acts of Red Lines"
2. The Moscow Law of 21.10.1998 #26 " On Regulation of Urban Activity on Territories of Moscow Natural Cxomplex"
3. The Moscow Government Decree of 28.12.1999, #1215 "On Adoption of Protection Zones for Monuments of History and Culture in Moscow"
4. The Moscow Government Decree of 22.10.2002 #863 "On Integrated Object Program of Development of the Museum-Reserve "Kolomenskoe" for 2003-2007"

Comment

Federal law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation" Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Nations of the Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008);

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Existing security measures ensure the necessary protection of the property.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• Question 5.02

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 2003
Function: Operational management
Mandate: State institution and the subject of law. Nonprofit
Constituted: legal

• Question 5.05

- Overall management system of the site
- Management by the State Party
 - Management under protective legislation
 - Management under contractual agreement between the State Party and a third party

Comment

Necessary measures are planned and taken annually for maintenance of the monument, including inspections (if needed), and proper maintenance of the site in general.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

1. Act of the Moscow City Government ref. 1215 of 28.12.99 "On Moscow Cultural and Historical Monuments Protection Zones within the Area between Kamer-Kollezhsky Val and the Perimeter of the Agglomeration"; 2. Order ref. 175 of 09.02.2011 of Russian Federal Surveillance Service for Compliance with the Law in Mass Communications and Cultural Heritage Protection delimiting the territory and determining the legal framework for land use within it.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Not applicable
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have

input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	99%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

International assistance was not provided

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
-------------------------	------

Promotion	Low
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Church of the Ascension in Kolomenskoye is a masterpiece of world architecture, UNESCO monument, M. MGOMZ, 2009 . 36 pages with illustrations, in Russian, English and French
The author, L.A. Belyaev, is a doctor of historical sciences, head of the Moscow Department in the Institute of Archeology of the Russian Academy of Sciences, chief editor of Russian Archeology Magazine.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
-----------	--------

Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

In order to ensure the preservation and use of the site "Church of the Ascension" an operating mode is set according to the compliance with the temperature and humidity conditions (order of MGOMZ dated 20.11.2008 No. 370).

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
--	-----------

Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Contamination of external elements of the monument: walls, tented roof coverings	Periodic works for cleaning basement and walls from contamination, restoration of protective coating and whitewash.	Weekly inspection of the conditions of basement, walls and tented roof coverings of the monument	In 2014 repair works are planned	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	Works for cleaning basement and lower parts of enclosing structures from contamination, restoration of protective coating and whitewash are made annually.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	Wind causes damage to the tented roof coverings and wall materials of the church	Development of the restoration project for the tented roof coverings and subsequent repairs are planned.	Constant monitoring of the condition of the tented roof coverings and walls of the monument is carried out: a weekly inspection of the monument is made	In 2013 repairs to the roof of the gallery were carried out. In 2014 repairs of the tented roof coverings are planned	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	In 2013 repairs to the roof of the gallery were carried out. In 2014 repairs of the tented roof coverings are planned
3.7.2	Relative humidity	High humidity creates conditions for development of micro-organisms (algae, mold)	Compliance with the modes of use of the building: closing of interiors within the cold season, airing and dehumidifying during the warm period. Regulation of the number of visitors.	Monthly inspection of enclosure structures (walls), basement are held.	Regular repairs are planned for 2014.	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	The latest large-scale restoration was completed in 2008. In 2014 repairs involving removal of fungal, disinfection of structures with special antiseptic solutions, restoration of protective coating and lime wash are planned.
3.7.3	Temperature	Temperature fluctuations, particularly when the temperature goes through zero degree, threaten the safety of internal and external elements of the monument.	Timely implementation of repair works, adherence to recommended modes of use of the monument	Monthly inspection of the monument	In 2014 repair works are planned	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	The operating mode of the main part of the Church of the Ascension is established, it is closed for visitors during freezing temperatures and high humidity, and ventilation is provided on sunny days with low atmospheric humidity.
3.7.5	Dust	Contamination of external elements of the monument: walls, tented roof coverings	Periodic works for cleaning basement and walls from dust contamination, restoration of protective coating and whitewash.	Periodic works for cleaning basement and walls from dust contamination, restoration of protective coating and whitewash.	In 2014 repair works are planned	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	Works for cleaning basement and lower parts of enclosing structures from dust contamination, restoration of protective coating and whitewash are made annually.

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Atmospheric condensation and melt water cause soaking of materials of tented roof coverings, walls, columns, accelerated corrosion of roofing and under roof covering of the gallery of the monument.	Performance of inspection and repairs of elements of the monument, which are exposed to atmospheric condensation and melt water	Weekly inspection and assessment of conditions of elements of the monument, which are exposed to atmospheric condensation and melt water	In 2014 repair works are planned	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	Inspection of the gallery roof, condition of pavings and development of project for conservation of the tented roof in 2014.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	buffer zone is being developed in the course of retrospective inventory process	2014-2015	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation	no comment		
4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	All managerial functions are performed only by the museum reserve, entitled to operational management of the site. Local communities have no such right.	not applicable	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	Right to operational management of the site is delegated by the State to the Moscow State Integrated Museum-Reserve. Participation of local communities in the management of the site is not required.		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Contact with representatives of business sectors in terms of management of the World Heritage Site – the Church of the Ascension, is not required.	not applicable	Moscow State-Financed Institution "The Moscow State Integrated Art and Historical, Architectural and Natural Landscape Museum-Reserve" Sergey Ilyich Khudyakov, Director Tel.: +7 (499) 612-52-17; fax: +7 (499) 612-11-55	Museum-Reserve, as the management organization, has the necessary authorities and resources to ensure the integrity of the territory of the monument subject to state protection within the established borders of property.		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It would be desirable that all the sections of the questionnaire be available both on the web site and in a document format

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: Statement of Outstanding Universal Value was adopted at the 38th session of the World Heritage Committee in June 2014.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Property (ha): 57: The property coordinates have been fixed by order ref. 175 of 09.02.2011 of Russian Federal Surveillance Service for Compliance with the Law in Mass Communications and Cultural Heritage Protection delimiting the territory and determining the legal framework for land use within it.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise