

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Russian Federation

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

633

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1992

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Cathedral of the Assumption, Vladimir , Vladimir , Russian Federation	56.15 / 40.417	0	0	0	1992
The Golden Gate, Vladimir , Vladimir , Russian Federation	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
The Prince Castle in Bogolyubovo (Cathedral of the Nativity of the Virgin and Staircase Tower of the Palace of Andrei Bogolyubsky), Vladimir (Bogolyubovo Village) , Vladimir , Russian Federation	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Church of the Intercession on the River Nerl, Vladimir , Vladimir , Russian Federation	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Cathedral of St. Demetrius, Vladimir , Vladimir , Russian Federation	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Kremlin of Suzdal and Cathedral of the Nativity, Suzdal , Vladimir , Russian Federation	56.417 / 40.433	0	0	0	1992
Monastery of Our Savior and St Euthymius, Suzdal , Vladimir , Russian Federation	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Church of Sts Boris and Gleb, Suzdal (Kideksha) , Vladimir , Russian Federation	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

addition to the table: 633-001 - 0,7512 ha 633-002 - 0,0809 ha 633-003 - 0,0760 ha 633-004 - 0,4444 ha 633-005 - 0,2124 ha 633-006 - 3,9849 ha 633-007 - 8,9243 ha 633-008 - 0,2960 ha total - 14.75 ha

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing component 633-003	05/10/1991	
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing components 633-001, 633-02 and 633-05	05/10/1991	
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing components 633-006 and 633-007	05/10/1991	
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing component 633-008	05/10/1991	

Comment

Protection zones for Church on the Nerl" (component 633-004) have been developed and approved by the Russian Ministry of Culture in 2012. This information is mounted at formal web-site of the Ministry: see Order of 12 November 2012 and description of protection zone with relevant map (http://mkrf.ru/dokumenty/order/detail.php?ID=297675&PAGE_N_1=18)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Grigory E. Ordzhonikidze
Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO
Executive Secretary

Comment

Replace for -Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation Vladimir Tsvetnov Director of the Department for Control, Supervision and Licensing Malyy Gnezdnikovskiy per,7/6, str.1,2 125993 Moscow Tel: +7 495 625 07 08 e-mail: tsvetnov@mkrf.ru, depkontr@mkrf.ru

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Svetlana Melnikova
Vladimiro-Suzdalkyi State Historical Architectural and Art Museum-Reserve
Director

Comment

Replace for - Federal State Budgetary Institution of Culture "State Vladimir and Suzdal Historical, Architectural and Art Museum-Reserve" Bolshaya Moskovskaya ul 43, Vladimir, Russia, 600000 Phone: (4922) 32-25-15, fax (4922) 32-70-10 museum@vladmuseum.ru Director General: Svetlana Evgenyevna Mel'nikova The Church of the Intercession of the Holy Virgin on the Nerl River since 1992 is managed by Russian Orthodox Church.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Welcome to Vladimir - Official Vladimir City Website](#)
2. [Vladimir - Suzdal Museum - Reserve](#)

Comment

Replace for - www.vladmuseum.ru

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The Museum-Reserve has the status of the "Most valuable site of cultural heritage of the peoples of the Russian Federation." (Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation dated 16.04.1999 No. 246 "On the State Register of the Most valuable sites of cultural heritage of the peoples of the Russian Federation").

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is presented to the World Heritage Center for the evaluation of the advisory bodies in 2014.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(i): The white-stone pieces of architecture of Vladimir and Suzdal are a unique phenomenon in the world culture, presenting an amazing synthesis of architecture and monumental art. (ii): They illustrate a most important stage of human history and culture in the North-East Rus. (iv): They reflect the period of formation and prime of the white-stone building of the XII–XIII centuries, and are remarkable for a prominent harmony and perfection of architectural shapes.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.3	Temperature					
3.7.4	Radiation/light					
3.7.5	Dust					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.1.2	Commercial development	restricted	on-going	significant	low capacity	static
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	extensive	on-going	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	extensive	on-going	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7.1	Wind	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.4	Radiation/light	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.5	Dust	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	frequent	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of its inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Saturday, October 29, 2005

• Question 6.02

The Decree of the Head of Administration on the Program on protection and development of Culture of the Vladimir Region in 2002– 2006 (No 284 of 14.08.2001) and the Decree of the Head of Administration "On Measures for Protection and Development of Culture of Vladimir Region for 1999-2002 (No 732 Of 14.08.1998)

Comment

Federal law of 25.06.2002 № 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the RF" Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the

Nations of the RF (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008); Law on Transfer to Religious Organisations of Assets Having a Religious Intended Purpose and Representing State or Municipal Property (2010). see also 4.2.6.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property is **inadequate**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Addition to 4.2.1. - Federal Law of 26.05.1996 #54-FZ "On museum fund of the Russian Federation and museums in the Russian Federation" (Art.26 and 27 in law edition of 2011 are directly devoted to museum-reserves and cultural heritage sites).

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Saturday, October 29, 2005

• Question 5.02

Sterling group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 1993

Function: Providing State control of the observance of operative legislation in fields of protection, use, registration and restoration of monuments of history and culture in Vladimir Region and the fulfillment of appropriate measures on monument protection under building construction, meliorative, road construction and other works; operative

management of historical and cultural monuments in according to legislation of the Russian Federation.

Mandate: The State Center for Registration, Use and Restoration of Monuments of History and Culture of the Committee for Culture of the Vladimir Region Administration.

Constituted: legal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management under protective legislation
- Management under contractual agreement between the State Party and a third party
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law
- Consensual management

Comment

The Vladimir and Suzdal Museum-Reserve includes 7 components of the heritage site. The Church of the Intercession of the Holy Virgin on the Nerl River since 1992 is managed by Russian Orthodox Church. The Dormition Cathedral is used by the Museum-Reserve in conjunction with Russian Orthodox Church. Currently there is no Management Plan, the development is scheduled to start in 2014-2015.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The sites are taken under state protection according to the Regulation of the Council of Ministers of RSFSR dated 30.08.60 No. 1327 "On further improvement of protection of cultural monuments in the RSFSR", and assigned to the cultural heritage sites of federal importance. Order of the Ministry of Culture of the RF dated January 27, 2012 No. 45 "On approval of the list of real estate within the property complex of the most valuable sites of cultural heritage of the peoples of the RF."

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor

Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	75%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	9%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1%
Other grants	14%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Assistance from the World Heritage Fund was not rendered.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Additional funding is required for effective management of world heritage sites.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	70%
Part-time	30%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Good

Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1. The Side-Chapel of St.Panteleymon at the Assumption Cathedral in the City of Vladimir// Documents of the regional conference 2006, Vladimir, 2007 2. The Architecture of the

times of Andrey Bogolubsky// Moscow - Vladimir, 2009 see also 4.5.5.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

addition to 4.5.4. - 3. 20 years of history of the Assumption Cathedral (1918-1939)// Vladimir, 2008. 4. New research of the monuments of the time of Andrey Bogolubsky// Documents of the regional conference 2011, Vladimir, 2012

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only partly meets the needs and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an important influence on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is excellent presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Free photography is available for the visitors to the Museum Reserve.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Statistics of visitors to all sites of the Museum-Reserve is complex, without specification of visitors to white stone monuments. For this purpose it is necessary to develop a special program of visitation record. The Museum Reserve controls visitors to the sites when restoration works and special services are conducted, as well as where it is impossible to arrange tours simultaneously for several groups.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is limited co-operation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

Some sites are used by the Russian Orthodox Church. During the religious services control of visitors at these sites is impossible.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or

improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

On request of Museum Reserve since 2002 Moscow organization IGIT Ltd (Engineering Geology of Historic Territories) performs monitoring of the monuments and their constructions in the Spaso-Evfimiev monastery in Suzdal.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing	Violation of visual perception of old buildings upon construction of high-rise residential buildings in the immediate vicinity.	Discussion of problem situations at meetings of the Inspectorate for Cultural Heritage Preservation and the Town Planning Board.	Discussion of proposed development projects.	While holding boards and discussions.	City Administration, Inspectorate for Cultural Heritage Preservation, Museum Reserve.	Active participation in the boards allows to prevent dangerous construction during the stage of negotiations and project discussion.
3.1.2	Commercial development	Construction of high-rise buildings leads to disruption of the historic townscape.	Discussion of problem situations at meetings of the Inspectorate for Cultural Heritage Preservation and the Town Planning Board.	Discussion of proposed development projects.	While holding boards and discussions.	City Administration, Inspection for Cultural Heritage Preservation, Museum Reserve.	Active participation in the boards allows to prevent dangerous construction during the stage of negotiations and project discussion.
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Unique white stone monuments are located in close proximity to the main historic street of the city with a heavy traffic of vehicles and railways.	Rerouting of road vehicles, installation of reduced speed signs, road repairs, and installation of reinforced curbs on roads that pass close to the monuments.	Constant monitoring of the general state of the white stone facades is held, the necessary restoration works to protect them are conducted periodically.	According to the annual plans, and at necessity.	City Administration and Inspection for Cultural Heritage Protection together with users of the objects.	No comments.
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Constant exposure to air pollution and vibrations leads to pollution and surface fouling of facades of monuments and occurrence of small cracks on the surface.	Necessary restoration works to protect them are conducted periodically.	Constant monitoring of the general state of the white stone facades is held, the necessary restoration works to protect them are conducted periodically.	According to the annual plans, as well as necessary.	City Administration and Inspection for Cultural Heritage Protection together with users of the objects.	No comments
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Air pollution by chemicals, coupled with seasonal fluctuations of external temperature and influence of precipitation, has a negative impact on the surface of the white stone. During worshiping candles of low quality are often used.	On the basis of scientific advice restoration works on the white stone are made. The optimum temperature and humidity are maintained by supplying conditioned purified air by heating and ventilation facilities, etc.	Weekly monitoring of temperature-humidity is made by the climatologist of the Museum-Reserve. Climatic installations are created at: Assumption Cathedral, St.Dimitry Cathedral, Boris and Gleb Church, Transfiguration Cathedral, St.Euthymius Monastery.	Necessary arrangements are made as needed, but especially in the transitional seasons. Temperature and humidity control is held weekly at the monuments.	Restoration Institute, Moscow, as well as users of the site: Museum-Reserve, Vladimir and Suzdal Diocese.	Timely restoration works (cleaning and conservation) help to protect the white stone elements. Control of temperature and humidity allows to protect the plaster layer and wall paintings from destruction. Quality control over the candles is made.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.5	Dust	Dusting of surfaces of white stone elements creates conditions for development of mold, fungal infections, mosses and lichens. The interiors: dust, temperature and humidity violations, poor ventilation can lead to the destruction of wall paintings.	Examination, repair, restoration, and biological treatment of elements of monuments exposed to dust, impact of micro-organisms, insects and birds. Installation of anti-mosquito nets.	Seasonal monitoring, inspection of structures, white stone surfaces.	As necessary.	Restoration Institute, Moscow, as well as users of the site: Vladimir and Suzdal Museum-Reserve, Vladimir and Suzdal Diocese.	All activities to combat dust accretions and pests are performed after research and in accordance with professional consulting.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	Determine and agree buffer zones for each component of nomination.	till 2016 r.	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation and users: Museum-Reserve, diocese.	Development of buffer zones will be performed during the retrospective inventory scheduled for 2015.
4.2 Protective Measures					
4.2.2	The legal framework is inadequate	All restoration works on the monuments shall be carried out in accordance with new federal law 44-FZ, dedicated to the procurement of goods and services. It is necessary to adapt this law for production of restoration works at cultural sites.	As necessary.	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation and Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation.	Tendering and contracting for works on restoration and preservation of monuments involve a number of difficulties.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **impacted** by factors described in this report, but this situation is being **addressed through effective management actions**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

State of conservation of the property is satisfactory. Financial means from the federal budget for the restoration and preservation of cultural heritage are granted annually.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Not applicable
Security	Not applicable
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

Local community

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

To reduce the number of the questions.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is presented to the World Heritage Center for the evaluation of the advisory bodies in 2014.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: addition to the table: 633-001 - 0,7512 ha 633-002 - 0,0809 ha 633-003 - 0,0760 ha 633-004 - 0,4444 633-005 - 0,2124 ha 633-006 - 3,9849 ha 633-007 - 8,9243 ha 633-008 - 0,2960 ha total - 14.75 ha
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: Protection zones for Church on the Nerl" (component 633-004) have been developed and approved by the Russian Ministry of Culture in 2012. This information is mounted at formal web-site of the Ministry: see Order of 12 November 2012 and description of protection zone with relevant map (http://mkrf.ru/dokumenty/order/detail.php?ID=297675&PAGEN_1=18)

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

In the process of Periodic reporting data on monuments in the Museum-reserve have been generally reported, as well as information available at the bodies of cultural heritage protection in the Vladimir region. Unfortunately, there is a deficit of data on the monuments used and managed by the Russian Orthodox Church (The Vladimir and Suzdal Diocese).