

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Wooden Churches of Maramureş

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Romania

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

904


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1999

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Church of the Presentation of the Virgin at the Temple, Bârsana , Bârsana , Romania	47.821 / 24.056	2.8	6.19	8.99	1999
Church of Saint Nicholas, Budesti , Budesti , Romania	47.724 / 23.979	0.6	4.99	5.59	1999
Church of the Holy Paraskeva, Desesti , Desesti , Romania	47.774 / 23.857	1.23	8.806	10.036	1999
Church of the Nativity of the Virgin, Ieud , Ieud , Romania	47.677 / 24.238	0.73	4.84	5.57	1999
Church of the Holy Archangels, Plopiş , Sisesti , Romania	47.592 / 23.604	0.29	10.1	10.39	1999
Church of the Holy Parasceve, Poienile Izei , Poienile Izei , Romania	47.699 / 24.115	0.98	5.75	6.73	1999
Church of the Holy Archangels, Rogoz , Târgu-Lapus , Romania	47.47 / 23.928	0.58	7.29	7.87	1999
Church of the Holy Archangels, Surdesti , Sisesti , Romania	47.607 / 23.757	1.73	7.01	8.74	1999
Total (ha)		8.94	54.976	63.916	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Wooden Churches of Maramures - maps of inscribed property	04/12/1999	

Section II-Wooden Churches of Maramures

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

The name of government institutions responsible for the property on the WHL were changed in recent years. The Ministry of Culture (former Ministry of Culture, former Ministry of Culture and National Heritage), and the National Institute of Heritage – as a scientific consultant (former National Institute of Historical Monuments, former National Directorate of Monuments, Ensembles and Sites) - public institution of national importance, with legal personality, under the Ministry of Culture.

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Comment

County Council of Maramures, Vasile Span Baia Mare, Str. Gheroghe Sincai, N.46. Tel. 0040262214170 Fax. 0040262213244 E-mail: vasile.span@maramures.ro

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [The Maramures wooden churches \(CIMEC\)](#)

Comment

www.patrimoniul.gov.ro.

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Since the last Periodical Report to UNESCO (2005), appeared more national laws, related directly or indirectly on quality of Wooden Churches of Maramures as property inscribed on the World Heritage List (see below Note the list of these laws). New legislation list: National legislation: 1) Government Decision No. 738/2008 establishing the measures necessary for financing, developing and updating documentation landscaping and urban areas with historical monuments that are part of the

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

A retrospective statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the World Heritage Comitee.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Maramures wooden churches are outstanding examples of vernacular religious wooden architecture resulting from the interchange of Orthodox religious traditions with Gothic influences in a specific vernacular interpretation of timber construction traditions, showing a high level of artistic maturity and craft skills.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure								
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure								
3.5	Biological resource use/modification								
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources								
3.5.3	Land conversion								
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals								
3.5.5	Crop production								
3.5.6	Commercial wild plant collection								
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection								
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.1	Illegal activities								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species								
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

We estimate that 54 of the 77 factors listed as affecting WH property are irrelevant to Wooden Churches of Maramures (not applicable, do not correspond to the churches). Of the 23 remaining relevant factors we consider that 15 had positive impact, 7 negative impact and 1 double impact (both positive and negative); 12 factors would have, in our opinion, a potential impact (in near future), and 11 had both a current impact and potential one. Regarding their origin, we consider that 2 were inside origin, 18 outside origin, and 3 mixed origin, both indoors and outdoors. Only 1 of the 23 relevant factors are negative current factors acting on the World Heritage property.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The eight wooden churches included in the nomination are designated as historical monuments of national importance with the status of National Treasures (List of Monuments,

category A) under the terms of Article 1 and 2 of the 1994 Law No 11 for the Protection of Historic Monuments. Article 1 of the same statute defines the surrounding conservation areas as being "part of the historic monument." For each of the eight wooden churches a surrounding conservation area and a buffer zone have been defined in 1993, including the necessary regulations. Having been approved by the Commission for Conservation Areas within the Ministry of Public Works and Territorial Planning under the terms of the Building Act, Law No 50 from 1991 for Building Regulations and Territorial Planning, they have a legal status.

Comment

Since the last Regular Report to UNESCO (2005), nationally and locally were adopted several laws that directly or indirectly related with the quality of monastery as property inscribed in the World Heritage List (see below their list) . 1) Government Decision No. 738/2008 2) Order of the Minister of Culture and Cults No. 2660/2008 3) Government Decision No. 1268/2010

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

National and local legal framework have been improved in recent years so that the monument can be better protected and the maintenance of OUV ensured.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

As places of worship, the wooden churches are in the ownership of their religious Orthodox communities. It is the

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

responsibility of owners of designated buildings and areas to manage and repair them and open them to the public. Any alterations require the permission of the Ministry of Culture and the National Commission for Historic Monuments. There are clearly defined and adequate conservation areas and also adequate buffer zones around the nominated properties. They have been designated already in 1993 on the initiative of the Ministry of Culture in collaboration with the Ministry of Public Works and Territorial Planning and the County administration. The conservation areas and buffer zones are provided for in Law No 11 (1994) for the Protection of Historic Monuments, the Building Law No 50 from 1991 on Building Regulations and Territorial Planning, and the regulations for each conservation area related to urban planning in conformity with the Order of the Minister of Public Works and Territorial Planning concerning conservation areas. Overall supervision of the nominated properties is the responsibility of the Ministry of Culture. It carries out this work in collaboration with the National Commission for Historic Monuments, the National Office for Heritage Protection, the State Secretariat for Church Affairs, and, where appropriate, with the Ministry of Public Works and Territorial Planning, the Ministry of Education, the Maramures County Inspectorate for Culture, the County Office for Cultural Heritage, the Maramures Orthodox Archbishopric, and the local parish. Substantial financial aid is available for nongovernmental owners - especially the religious communities - from the Ministry of Culture for conservation and restoration projects. Financial aid is available also from the State Secretariat for Church Affairs and the Orthodox Archbishopric. Between 1992 and 1997, the Ministry of Culture contributed to a conservation programme for 17 wooden churches in Maramures County, and since 1997 a pilot conservation project for seven wooden churches (including the nominated properties), the "Maramures Project," has been under implementation. Other initiatives to be mentioned are applications for financial support from the European Commission for mural painting conservation works for Şurdeşti and the Getty Grant Program for wood conservation works at Bârsana, Ieud, and Rogoz.

Comment

Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

As a result of the Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011, Maramures County Council decided the UOC composition for each church of the property by Resolution no. 49/26th March 2013.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

Section II-Wooden Churches of Maramures

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The changes in legislation allowed a property management improvement, but it is necessary to improve coordination between bodies/administrative levels.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

As a result of the Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011, Maramures County Council decided the UOC composition for each church of the property by Resolution no. 49/26th March 2013.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	15%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	80%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	5 %
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	5%
Part-time	95%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	5%
Seasonal	95%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	0 %
Volunteer	100%

Section II-Wooden Churches of Maramures

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Romania, Patrimoine mondial/World heritage, Ministry of culture and religious affairs/National Institute of historic monuments, Bucharest 2007. Maramures tara lemnului Dan Dinescu, Ana Barca Ed. AD LIBRI Bucuresti. Romania – Monumente UNESCO – World Heritage Treasures NOI MEDIA PRINT 2011 Bucuresti. Monumente UNESCO di Romania – World Heritage Treasures PROEMA Baia Mare 2008.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

Section II-Wooden Churches of Maramures

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Being a cult unites, wooden churches is not selling tickets for its visitors. The staff of the churches is keeping a register of their number statistics.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	The proximity of vegetation are the motivation of the existence of micro-organisms attacks, but these attacks are not an impediment for the Criteria and the attributes of the WHL.	Out of the church were executed restoration of the painting that also contains treatments to remove any micro-organism attacks. The other churches are run periodically treatments to eliminate micro-organisms.	Monitoring is done by priests and permanent representatives Maramures County Directorate for Culture and annually by the National Institute of Heritage representative.	Treatments to eliminate micro-organisms attacks are executed periodically when needed.	The execution works company. The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge systems are inevitable in the contemporary world. However in Maramures they have a very small scale and has not impediment for the year Criteria and the attributes of the WHL.	Organization of fairs and folk events through which to promote traditional ways of life and knowledge systems. They can also be promoted through the education system.	Monitoring is done by the County Center for Preservation and Promotion of Traditional Culture Maramures in cooperation with the UOC.	Promote traditional knowledge systems and ways of life must be made permanent.	County Council of Maramures by County Center for Preservation and Promotion of Traditional Culture and the County Department for Culture Maramures. The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved.
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	The proximity of vegetation are the motivation of the existence of micro-organisms attacks, but these attacks are not an impediment for the Criteria and the attributes of the WHL	Out of the church were executed restoration of the painting that also contains treatments to remove any micro-organism attacks. The other churches are run periodically treatments to eliminate micro-organisms.	Monitoring is done by priests and permanent representatives Maramures County Directorate for Culture and annually by the National Institute of Heritage representative.	Treatments to eliminate micro-organisms attacks are executed periodically when needed.	The execution works company. The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	The priests as well as the local administration are trying to involve the local industry in the management of the WH site by explaining the importance of it on local and national level and the necessity of financing it on everybody benefit.	On-going.	The priests of the wooden churches, local administration.	The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved but the participation of the local industry on the management of the site is still little.	

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Local community

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

Section II-Wooden Churches of Maramureş

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: A retrospective statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the World Heritage Committee.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise