

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Sighișoara

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Romania

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

902


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1999

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Sighișoara	46.218 / 24.792	33	145	178	1999
Total (ha)		33	145	178	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Sighișoara - map of inscribed property	04/12/1999	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Ministry of Culture National Institute of Heritage is Scientific responsible

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Ilona Csortan
Mures County Council
Chief Architect, Coordinator, President of the Committee

Comment

The currently contact details are no more up to date. This data are as follows: Razvan Sipos Chief Architect, Coordinator, President of the Committee 1 Victoria Square 540026 Targu Mures Romania Telephone: +4(0) 737611288 Email: razvansipos@gmail.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Sighisoara - Patrimoniul Mondial UNESCO](#)
2. [Cetatea Sighisoara - Schaessburg - Segesvar](#)
3. [The historic centre of Sighisoara \(CIMEC\)](#)

Comment

www.patrimoniul.gov.ro

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Gov Ordinance 47/2000 protection of historical monuments that are part of the WHL Law no. 422/2001 on the protection

Section II-Historic Centre of Sighișoara

of historical monuments Gov Decision 493/2004 monitoring methodology of historical monuments inscribed in the WHL Gov Decision 738/2008 financing, developing and updating documentation landscaping and urban areas Order 2660/2008 Norms for classification and inventory of historic monuments Gov Decision 1268/2010 protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in WHL

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

A Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the WHC

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (iii) - Outstanding testimony to the culture of the Transylvanian Saxons, a culture that is coming to a close after 850 years and will continue to exist only through its architectural and urban monuments Criterion (v) - Outstanding example of a small fortified city in the border region between the Latin-oriented culture of central Europe and the Byzantine-Orthodox culture of south-eastern Europe

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.2	Commercial development								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure								
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure								
3.3	Services Infrastructures								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
3.4	Pollution								
3.4.3	Surface water pollution								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.1	Illegal activities								
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The buffer zone is also provided in zonal urban plan of the historic center of Sighişoara inscribed on the World Heritage List

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

A new preservation law has been under discussion for several years; the draft bill has been altered no less than fifteen times. Particularly difficult is the question of to what extent denationalization should be allowed for historic buildings that up to now have belonged to the state or to communal governments (eg the houses of the Saxons who emigrated to Germany before 1990). A division into two categories is planned. Historic buildings of national significance (category A) are to remain in public ownership, all others may be denationalized. To date there has only been a differentiation between listed historic buildings and buildings that are of

significance for the townscape. Thus in the future there will be a third category.

On the map of the Sighişoara conservation district, which constitutes an adequate buffer zone, almost every building in the upper town is recorded under one of the two designations that are currently in use. Two-thirds of the houses are historic buildings, one-third is designated as significant to the townscape. In the lower town the ratio is reversed. The new law would make it necessary to filter out the buildings which are of national significance and thus cannot be denationalized. Basically this category would probably encompass the two churches, the cemetery, the city hall, the town fortifications, and the Staircase of the Schoolchildren. A special problem with denationalization is the fact that many houses are occupied by several families, all of whom should have the right to purchase the part in which they are living. Additional regulations will have to be developed to ensure mutual responsibility by all parties for the preservation and uniform treatment of common building elements (roof, staircase, facade).

The national significance of the upper and lower town as a conservation district was already established in 1996, when they were united in a "Zone for the protection of the architectural and urban design heritage"; this conservation district encompasses the entire upper town and the former market square (Hermann Oberth Square) with its surroundings as well as the buildings on the hill side of Mill Lane and Hospital Lane. The city gates (the hospital gate, the mill gate, and the Baier Lane gate), documented on older maps and city views, were used for determining the borders of the conservation district.

These borders become uncertain in those areas where suburbs arose early on outside the fortifications. This is especially relevant for the settlement around the Baier Lane gate (now Street of 1 December). The homogeneous historic development in this area (buildings mostly dating from the 19th century on the exterior, but often with an older core) makes it seem advisable to add this to the conservation district, thus also including it in the zone that is proposed for inscription on the World Heritage List. The same is true for the short transverse lane that branches off to the south from Hospital Lane, directly west of the former hospital gate, with its two historic buildings from the 19th century (notary's office and former boarding school).

Comment

Gov Ordinance 47/2000 protection of historical monuments that are part of the WHL Law no. 422/2001 on the protection of historical monuments Gov Decision 493/2004 monitoring methodology of historical monuments inscribed in the WHL Gov Decision 738/2008 financing, developing and updating documentation landscaping and urban areas Order 2660/2008 Norms for classification and inventory of historic monuments Gov Decision 1268/2010 protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in WHL

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The Zonal Plan of Protection for Boundaries of historic center of Sighişoara is a part of the Management System of Sighişoara municipality

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Compliance with the provisions regulating the conservation district is supervised by the municipal building office, which is directly responsible to the mayor. A permit is required for any construction work within the conservation area. In principle demolition is not allowed, with the exception of recent outbuildings at the rear of property lots. The approval of the Historic Preservation Administration in the Ministry of Culture must be ascertained for construction work on historic buildings. Illegally undertaken actions must be undone, and a penalty paid. Since the buildings within the conservation district have all been surveyed and the survey results have been published, no one can claim ignorance of the situation. In the framework of the new national law on the management of cultural properties inscribed on the World Heritage List, the Municipality has established the World Heritage Bureau of Sighişoara attached to the Town Planning Department. It is responsible for monitoring the implementation of the Management Plan (2011-2017).

A Protection and Management Programme of Monuments exists (approved in November 2011, Decision N° 1102), but the overall Management Plan for the historic centre is not yet finalized, and remains in draft form.

Within the Zonal Urban Plan (ZUP) the protected property is governed by a Local Urban Regulation (LUR). It was approved by the Municipal Council in September 2011.

Two recent municipal decisions regulate traffic in the Citadel and conditions for the exercise of commercial activities of a touristic nature.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

As a result of the Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011, Mures County Council decided the Historic centre of Sighişoara Steering Committee composition by Resolution 59/2012

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

n/a

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The establishment of the Steering Committee The management and protection Programme of historic monuments inscribed in the World Heritage List

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	5%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	95%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Revitalisation du Centre Historique de Sighisoara Decision: Approved Decision by: Chairperson Approved Amount: 20,000 USD Decision Date: Nov 19, 2004 Previous Id: 2004-1061 Type: conservation Category: culture

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

Section II-Historic Centre of Sighisoara

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

n/a

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	90%
Volunteer	10%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	High

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

n/a

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Romania - Patrimoine mondial / World Heritage, Bucarest, 2007, editeur National Institute of Heritage Romania - Patrimoine Saxone de Transylvanie / Saxon Heritage in Transylvania, Bucarest, 2007, editeur National Institute of Heritage Sighisoara Schaessburg Segesvar, Gheorghe Baltag, ed. Nereamia Napocae, Cluj- Napoca, 2004

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

n/a

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

Section II-Historic Centre of Sighisoara

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed
Information materials	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

n/a

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Decreasing

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

National Institute of Statistics reports

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

n/a

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

Section II-Historic Centre of Sighişoara

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

n/a

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

n/a

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.7 Visitor Management					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	management of visitor of the property could be improved	constantly	Sighisoara Municipality Tourist Association of Sighisoara	n/a
4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	problem will be solved locally	constantly	Sighisoara Municipality Tourist Association of Sighisoara	n/a

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

n/a

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

n/a

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Local community

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

n/a

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: A Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the WHC

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

n/a