

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Monastery of Horezu

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Romania

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

597


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1993

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Monastery of Horezu	45.183 / 24.017	22.48	57.29	79.77	1993
Total (ha)		22.48	57.29	79.77	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Monastery of Horezu - inscribed property and buffer zone	19/03/2010	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

The name of government institutions responsible for the property on the WHL were changed in recent years. The Ministry of Culture (former Ministry of Culture, former Ministry of Culture and National Heritage) and the National Institute of Heritage - as a scientific consultant (former National Institute of Historical Monuments, former National Directorate of Monuments, Ensembles and Sites), public institution of national importance, with legal personality, under the Ministry of Culture.

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Emil Razvan Theodorescu
Romanian Academy
Art historian, Coordinator, President of the Committee

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [The Horezu monastery \(CIMEC\)](#)

Comment

We propose four web sites, secular and ecclesiastical, which provides more accurate information: 1) www.patrimoniul.gov.ro 2) www.manastireahurezi.ro 3) www.arhiram.ro/monastery/manastirea-hurezi 4) www.crestinortodox.ro/biserici-manastiri/mitropolia-olteniei/manastirea-hurezi-68220.html

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage (2003) Craftsmanship of Horezu ceramics was inscribed in 2012 (7.COM) on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

A retrospective statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the World Heritage Committee.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

School of art - mural and icon painting, original iconographic and artistic expression of exceptional value, beginning of the eighteenth century, a synthesis of Eastern Byzantine art and Western art - especially Venetian, a profound influence on religious art and architecture in the Balkans.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Begging - a common practice around the Romanian monasteries.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.2	Commercial development								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure								
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure								
3.4	Pollution								
3.4.3	Surface water pollution								
3.5	Biological resource use/modification								
3.5.5	Crop production								
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.1	Illegal activities								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species								
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Of the 19 remaining relevant factors we consider that 8 have positive impact, 9 have negative impact and 2 double impact (positive and negative); 8 factors would have, in our opinion, a potential impact, 3 have current impact and 8 have both a current impact and potential one. Regarding their origin, we consider that only 1 has inside origin, 7 factors have outside origin, and 10 have inside and outside origin.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Ownership of the ensemble is vested in the Monastic Community of Horezu, which comes under the authority of the Bishop of Rimnic.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 30, 2005

• Question 6.02

- Law no. 564 / 2001 that approves the Government Order no. 47 / 2000 regarding the protection measures of the historical monuments that are on the World Heritage List

- The Governmental Decision no 493/2004 for the approval of the Methodology for the monitoring of the monuments inscribed on the WHL and of the Methodology for the elaboration and of the content of the protection planning and management for the monuments inscribed on WHL

- General Urban Planning for each town/village elaborated between 1995-2000, now they have to be re-actualized with requirements

- The monument is mentioned in the Annex of the Law no 5/2000 concerning the arrangement of the territory at the chapter Protected zones

- The Law no 350/6 July 2001 for the organization of the state territory and urban planning

- The Order of the minister of transports, buildings and tourism no 562/2003 for the approval of the "Methodology for the elaboration and the content of the documentation for protected built zones (PUZ)", published in Monitorul Oficial / Official Gazette, Part I, no 125 bis/11 February 2004

- The Urban Area Map and the substantiation study with the protected area and regulation of the area have to be remade, and the coordinates of the buffer zone's limits have to be identified and marked.

Comment

Since the last Regular Report to UNESCO (2005), several laws that directly or indirectly related with the quality of monastery as property inscribed in the World Heritage List (see below their list) were adopted. National legislation: 1) Government Decision No. 738/2008 establishing the measures necessary for financing, developing and updating documentation landscaping and urban areas with historical monuments that are part of the World Heritage List; 2) Order of the Minister of Culture and Cults No. 2660/2008 approving the Methodological Norms for classification and inventory of historic monuments; 3) Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011. Local Legislation: 1) The decision of the Horezu Town Council No. 22/2010 regarding the approval of General Urban Plan of Horezu town, Valcea county; 2) The decision of the Horezu Town Council No. 77/2010 regarding the approval of participation in „Program to protect water resources, integrated water supply, sewage treatment, sewage and wastewater treatment plants”, by project „Establishment of sewerage network in Romanii de Sus (Upper Romans), Romanii de Jos (Lower Romans), Horezu town, Valcea county”; 3) The decision of the Horezu Town Council No. 05/01.02.2012 regarding the rehabilitation and development of tourism of general and touristic infrastructure in the cultural-historical zone Horezu Depression - planning of platform and organizational space of Ceramic Fair „Hurez Rooster”; 4) The decision of Valcea County Council No. 51/2012 on the establishment of the UNESCO Organizing Committee for Hurezi Monastery, Valcea county, historical monument on the World Heritage List, as amended by Decision of Valcea County Council No. 71/2014.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding

Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

National and local legal framework have been improved in recent years so that the monument can be better protected and the maintenance of OUV ensured.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Management of the property is the responsibility of the Community, working in association with the national Directorate of Historic Monuments, Ensembles, and Sites (Ministry of Culture), the Departmental Commission for Historic Monuments, Ensembles, and Sites of Oltenie, and the Vilcea Departmental Office of Monuments. Conservation and restoration work is supervised by these organizations, under the general control of the national Directorate, as provided for in Decree No. 91/5 of February 1990. Funding comes from central and local government bodies.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 30, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: The local, regional and national administration, by their attributions.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law

Comment

Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011. The decision of Valcea County Council No. 51/2012 on the establishment of the UNESCO Organizing Committee for Hurezi Monastery, Valcea county, historical monument on the World Heritage List, as amended by Decision of Valcea County Council No. 71/2014.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

In the framework of an European project named SUSTCULT, in 2013, the National Institute of Heritage has completed a Management Plan for Horezu Monastery which is now submitted to be approved. As a result of the Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011, Valcea County Council decided the Horezu UOC composition by Resolution no. 71/ 2014.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Non-existent

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The changes in legislation allowed a property management improvement, but it is necessary to improve coordination between bodies/administrative levels.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Based on the Government's Decision no. 1268/2010, the Valcea County Council has set up UNESCO Organizing Committee (UOC) for Horezu Monastery – Horezu UOC. In the framework of an European project named SUSTCULT, in 2013, the National Institute of Heritage has completed a Management Plan for Horezu Monastery which is now submitted to be approved.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	70%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	30%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	10%
Part-time	90%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	80%
Volunteer	20%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Non-existent
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

1) Bogdan Tataru-Cazaban and Gabriel Herea "Monastery of Horezu" (a photographic album, in english), , Artec Impresiones Publishing House 2009, Segovia, Spain. (<http://www.cennac.ro/en/announcements/translations-published-with-the-support-of-the-romanian-cultural-institute-7/op-38/page-29>) 2) Corina Popa, Ioana Iancovescu „Manastirea Hurezi”, Ed. Simetria, Bucuresti, 2010 3) Elena Genova, Emmanuel Moutafov, "The Scene of the Crucified Monk in Orthodox Painting of the Balkans", Problemi na izkustvoto (Problems of Art), 4/2012, Sofia, Bulgaria.

(http://www.artstudies.bg/files/editions/199/BROI_4_content_summaries.pdf) 4) Hidaka Midori, Hidaka Kenichiro, Miyata Junichi, „Technique of the Mural Paintings of the Hospital Church in Horezu Monastery, Romania”, Proceedings Book of the 6th European Symposium on Religious Art, Restoration & Conservation (ESRARC 2014), Florence, Italy, 9-11 June 2014. 5) Romania, Patrimoine mondial/World heritage (The Monastery of Horezu, pg. 83 – 118), Ministry of culture and religious affairs/National Institute of historic monuments, Bucharest 2007

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Being a cult unit, Monastery of Horezu is not selling tickets for its visitors. The staff of the monastery is keeping a register of their number statistics.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	The water table creating a residual moisture on the façades walls, in the masonry socle and its plaster, of the catholicon and some areas of the cells and precinct wall is not affecting the Criteria and the attributes of the WHM.	Execution works, based on an approved project, are on-going at the catholicon to assure the functionality of the water draining system and restore the plaster on the façades. Other restoration works are on-going on the bell tower on the south wall of the precinct.	An on-going monitoring activity on the main precinct buildings as well as on the skites is made by the architect of the restoration project, by specialists of the National Institute of Heritage and Ministry of Culture and by the nuns of the monastery.	The on-going execution works are to be finished at the end of the autumn of 2014. The geo-hydrological study throughout the whole Horezu monastic ensemble and areas is going to be elaborated in future.	The present restoration project agency and the execution works company. The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved. A geo-hydrological study throughout the whole Horezu monastic ensemble and areas is going to be elaborated in order to design an effective drainage system.
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	The existing residual moisture in some areas of the monument and the proximity of vegetation are the motivation of the existence of micro-organisms attacks, but these attacks are not an impediment for the Criteria and the attributes of the WHM	The on-going execution works, based on an approved project, will eliminate the residual moisture existing on the monument, an important cause of the micro-organisms attacks, and contain also treatments in order to remove any micro-organisms attacks.	An on-going monitoring activity on the main precinct buildings as well as on the skites is made by the architect of the restoration project, by specialists of the National Institute of Heritage and Ministry of Culture.	The present execution works are to be finished at the end of the autumn of 2014.	The present restoration project agency and the execution works company. The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	The Criteria and the attributes of the WHM are not affected, but the monument become wellknown by more people.	People participating at religious or cultural activities are asked to pay attention not to damage the monument values. There is signalling on this purpose. Trained people to make the participants aware of the monument values.	A great importance is given to the monitoring of the people participating at varied religious or cultural activities in order not to damage the monument values.	On-going.	The monastic community and the agencies organising the cultural activities . The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved.
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	The Criteria and the attributes of the WHM are not affected, but the monument become wellknown by more people.	Turists are asked to pay attention not to damage the monument values. There is signalling on this purpose. Trained people to make the participants aware of the monument values.	A great importance is given to the monitoring of the tourists in order not to damage the monument values.	On-going.	The monastic community and the tourism agencies. The monument Outstanding Universal Value is fully preserved.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	The Monastery of Horezu as well as the local administration are trying to involve the local industry in the management of the WH site by explaining the importance of it on local and national level and the necessity of financing it on everybody benefit. The UOC Horezu together with the Valcea Industry and Commerce Chamber will organise meetings in this problem.	On-going.	The Monastery of Horezu, local administration.	After the Horezu ceramic was inscribed in 2012 on WHL of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity the awareness of these two WH values increase for local industry too, but its participation on the management of the site is still little.	

4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Visitor use of the property is not being actively managed	The only actions in this field are to preserve its integrity.	On-going.	The Horezu Monastery community.	An appropriate visitor use management plan is included a Management Plan for Horezu Monastery which is now submitted to be approved and was elaborated in the framework of an European project named SUSTCULT, in 2013.
4.7.5	Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	The UOC Horezu together with the Horezu Monastery will organise meetings with the tourism agencies in order to find the best ways to promote the OUV of the site.	2015 - 2016	UOC Horezu, tourism agencies.	The fact that Horezu Monastery is a cult unit has a great importance in the way the tourism must be managed.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The Criteria, the attributes, the authenticity and integrity of the monument are fully preserved. An on-going monitoring activity is made and the inherent problems for such a site are treated in short time and the restoration works are always based on approved projects.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Not applicable
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Positive

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The site has a continuous monitoring by the community of Horezu Monastery, specialists of Ministry of Culture, National Institute of Heritage, Valcea Directorate of Culture, Horezu Town Hall, in order to preserve the OUV of the site. An important impact on the site status has the Romanian Orthodox Church.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
Indigenous peoples
Advisory bodies
Others

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: A retrospective statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted but not yet approved by the World Heritage Committee.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

At 6.3, we added to "Others" involved in preparing Periodic Report 2014 the following institutions who have provided us informations necessary to edit this report: Horezu Town Hall, Valcea County Council, Horezu Monastery, Valcea County

Department for Culture, Valcea County Police Department,
Valcea County Emergency Situations Department.