

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania

#### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

##### State(s) Party(ies)

- Romania

##### Type of Property

cultural

##### Identification Number

596bis





##### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List




1993, 1999

#### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Village of Biertan, Biertan , Biertan , Sibiu	46.136 / 24.773	84.57	300.14	384.71	1993
Village of Prejmer-Tartlau, Prejmer , Prejmer , Braşov	45.723 / 25.776	201.24	2248.59	2449.83	1999
Village of Viscri, Viscri , Bunesti , Braşov	46.055 / 25.09	48.4	216.75	265.15	1999
Village of Dârjiu, Dârjiu , Dârjiu , Harghita	46.204 / 25.201	2.94	83.79	86.73	1999
Village of Saschiz-Keisd, Saschiz , Saschiz , Mureş	46.195 / 24.956	126.9	411.2	538.1	1999
Village of Călnic, Călnic , Călnic , Alba	45.885 / 23.658	33.79	252.95	286.74	1999
Village of Valea Viilor, Valea Viilor , Valea Viilor , Sibiu	46.083 / 24.279	55.16	214.45	269.61	1999
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>553</b>	<b>3727.87</b>	<b>4280.87</b>	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania - Village of Biertan	19/03/2010	
Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania - Village of Prejmer-Tartlau	19/03/2010	
Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania - Village of Viscri	19/03/2010	
Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania - Village of Dârjiu	19/03/2010	

Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania - Village of Saschiz-Keisd	19/03/2010	
Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania - Village of Călnic	19/03/2010	
Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania - Village of Valea Viilor	19/03/2010	

#### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

##### Comment

The name of government institutions responsible for the property on the WHL were changed in recent years. The Ministry of Culture (former Ministry of Culture, former Ministry of Culture and National Heritage), and the National Institute of Heritage – as a scientific consultant (former National Institute of Historical Monuments, former National Directorate of Monuments, Ensembles and Sites) - public institution of national importance, with legal personality, under the Ministry of Culture.

#### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Inel Constantin Ioan  
 Unirii National Museum  
 Deputy Director, Coordinator, President of the Committee

#### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Transylvania village sites with fortified churches \(CIMEC\)](#)

##### Comment

2. <http://patrimoniul.gov.ro/ro/monumente-istorice/lista-patrimoniului-mondial-unesco>

#### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

##### Comment

European Landscape Convention, Florence, 2000, ratified in Romania by Law 451/2002

### 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

### **3. Factors Affecting the Property**

#### **3.14. Other factor(s)**

##### **3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure								
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure								
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>								
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources								
3.5.2	Aquaculture								
3.5.3	Land conversion								
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals								
3.5.5	Crop production								
3.5.6	Commercial wild plant collection								
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection								
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production								
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>								
3.9.1	Illegal activities								
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
<b>3.2.1</b>	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b>	restricted	frequent	minor	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.2.4</b>	<b>Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b>	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The fortified churches are designated as historical monuments of national importance with the status of National Treasures under the terms of Articles 1 and 2 of the 1994 Law No 11 for the Protection of Historic Monuments. Article 1 defines the surrounding conservation areas as being "part of the historic monument." The existing legislation for the Protection of Historical Monuments, the 1994 Law No 11, has very strict provisions relating to the 180 protection, preservation, and management of designated properties and sites. A draft of the new Conservation Law which provides for a National Board and decentralized structures at the County level was submitted to the Parliament at the end of March 1999. Ownership of the various properties is diverse. The fortified churches, like the other places of worship in the villages (the Orthodox, Catholic, Greek Catholic, or Uniate Churches), are

the property of their respective religious communities. Where the community no longer exists because of emigration to Germany, ownership is taken over by the Superior Council of the Lutheran Church in Sibiu. This is the case of the fortifications of Călnic, given by the Superior Council in custody to the Foundation Ars Transsilvaniae in Cluj-Napoca to create a Transylvanian Documentation Centre for Historic Monuments. The public buildings in the villages, including administration, education, commercial facilities (schools, the village council, inns, shops) are still in the propriety of the State (in the administration of the local authorities); most of the farmsteads are in private ownership.

It is the responsibility of owners of designated buildings and areas to manage and repair them and open them to the public. Any alterations require the permission of the Ministry of Culture and the National Commission for Historic Monuments.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 30, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

- Law no. 564 / 2001 that approves the Government Order no. 47 / 2000 regarding the protection measures of the historical monuments that are on the World Heritage List
- Decision of the Romanian Government that approves the Methodology regarding the monitoring of the historical monuments inscribed on the World Heritage List and the Methodology regarding the elaboration and the frame content of the protection and marketing plans of the historical monuments that are on the World Heritage List
- General Urban Planning for each town/village elaborated between 1995-2000, now they have to be re-actualized with requirements
- All 7 monuments are mentioned in the Annex of the Law no 5/2000 concerning the arrangement of the territory at the chapter Protected zones
- The Law no 350/6 July 2001 for the organization of the state territory and urban planning
- The Order of the minister of transports, buildings and tourism no 562/2003 for the approval of the "Methodology for the elaboration and the content of the documentation for protected built zones (PUZ)", published in Monitorul Oficial / Official Gazette, Part I, no 125 bis/11 February 2004

(These documents are presented in the annex)

#### Comment

1. Law no. 564/2001 regarding measures for the protection of the properties on the UNESCO WHL 2. Law no. 422/2001 regarding the protection of the Historical Monuments 3. Gov. Decision no. 493/2004 regarding the methodology of monitoring and of management plans of the properties on UNESCO WHL 4. Gov. Decision no. 738/2008 regarding the measures needed to fund, develop and update the urban planning documentations for the properties on the UNESCO WHL 5. Gov. Decision no. 1.102/ 2011

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

**the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

Overall supervision of nominated properties is the responsibility of the Ministry of Culture. It carries out this work in collaboration with the National Commission for Historic Monuments, the National Office for Heritage Protection, and, where appropriate, with the Ministry of Public Works and Land Planning, the Ministry of Education, or the County Inspectorates for Culture, the County Offices for Heritage, and the local authorities.

There is no overall management plan for the whole body of properties.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 30, 2005

**• Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":

There is an intention to constitute a "steering group" composed by representatives of the local and regional administration, with limited activity.

**• Question 5.05**



Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law

**Comment**

Name of ministries and subordinate institutions has changed, but their role has been preserved. These institutions together with county government, local communities, landowners, police, emergency inspectorates are invested by the Government Decisions no. 1102/2011 and no. 1.268/2010 regarding the Programme of protection and management of the monuments listed in UNESCO World Heritage List, approved by Government, to delegate representatives to the Organizing Committees UNESCO (COU).

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan de Gestion	N/A	Available	01/01/1999	
Management Plan_Villages with Fortified Churches in Transylvania	N/A	Available	20/08/2013	

**Comment**

The Management Plan included in the Nomination Files (01.01.1999) is still in use. Because of the nature of this UNESCO site, which includes 7 whole villages, depending of 4 different county authorities, the drafting of a new Management Plan is a very complex process. It is driven according the directions of the Government Decisions no. 1.268/2010 and no. 1.102/2011 regarding the "Programme of protection and management of the monuments listed in UNESCO World Heritage List"

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer**



**zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	10%
Governmental (National / Federal)	25%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	10%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	10%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	15%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	15%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10%
Other grants	5%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	0%
Volunteer	100%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium

Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium
----------------------------------	--------

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Așezări sătești cu biserici fortificate din Transilvania , Emil Hurezeanu, Viscri (Situri sătești cu biserici fortificate din Transilvania), Autor Oana Marinache. 2010 Țiplic, Ioan Marian, Biserici fortificate ale sașilor din Transilvania, Editura Noi Media Print, București, 200

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor

Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property**

which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **complete**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



**5. Summary and Conclusions**

**5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

**5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
<b>3.2.1</b>	<b>Ground transport infrastructure</b> The village of Saschiz is crossed by European route E60, but the World Heritage criteria and attributes are not affected.	A more controlled traffic in the area.	Local authorities	a continuous process	County culture Directorate	no
<b>3.2.4</b>	<b>Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b> The village of Saschiz is crossed by European route E60 but the World Heritage criteria and attributes are not affected	A more controlled traffic in the area of WHS	Local authorities	on going process	Culture County Directorate, Mayor, etc	no

**5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

**5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Local community

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

More information regarding the serial properties

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

Automatically generated in online version

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**