1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property
Cultural Landscape of Sintra

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details
State(s) Party(ies)
• Portugal
Type of Property
cultural
Identification Number
723
Year of inscription on the World Heritage List
1995

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Coordinates (latitude/longitude)</th>
<th>Property (ha)</th>
<th>Buffer Zone (ha)</th>
<th>Total (ha)</th>
<th>Incription year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Landscape of Sintra</td>
<td>38.783 / -9.417</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>3641</td>
<td>4587</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (ha)</td>
<td></td>
<td>946</td>
<td>3641</td>
<td>4587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4 - Map(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Link to source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Landscape of Sintra - Map of the inscribed property</td>
<td>04/10/1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property
• Luís Pinho Lopes
  Direção-Geral do Património Cultural
  Architecte

Comment

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency
• António Lamas
  Parques de Sintra - Monte da Lua, SA
  President of the Administration Council

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)
1. View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection
2. Direcção Geral dos Edifícios e Monumentos Nacionais
3. Parques de Sintra - Monte da Lua, S.A
4. Câmara Municipal de Sintra

Comment

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance
Comment
Under appreciation

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed
(ii)(iv)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion
Under appreciation

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised
Under appreciation

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)
3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.2 Commercial development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7.7 Pests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/cultural uses of heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8.1 Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other human activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change and severe weather events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.1 Storms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.2 Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden ecological or geological events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11.2 Earthquake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11.6 Fire / wildfires</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12.1 Translocated species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12.4 Invasive / alien marine species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12.5 Hyper-abundant species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legend</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spatial scale</th>
<th>Temporal scale</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Management response</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.10 Climate change and severe weather events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.1 Storms</td>
<td>localised</td>
<td>frequent</td>
<td>significant</td>
<td>high capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</td>
<td>localised</td>
<td>intermittent or sporadic</td>
<td>significant</td>
<td>high capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12.2 Invasive/alien terrestrial species</td>
<td>localised</td>
<td>intermittent or sporadic</td>
<td>significant</td>
<td>high capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.12.4 Invasive / alien marine species</td>
<td>localised</td>
<td>frequent</td>
<td>significant</td>
<td>high capacity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments
A campaign to remove exotic and predator fishes from the dams of Monserrate was recently conducted with success by PSML, in order to test the method and study the viability of applying it in other locations. During 2010 a number of campaigns were undertaken to monitor the entomofauna.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status
There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?
The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?
The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?
The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?
The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?
There is excellent capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.2 - Management Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Available</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Link to source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall management system of the site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Management by the State Party</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Management under protective legislation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved.

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The management system / plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?
The management system is only partially being implemented.

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?
An annual work / action plan exists and most or all activities are being implemented and monitored.

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following:

| Local communities / residents | Fair |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Good |
| Visitors | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Industry | Fair |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?
Local communities directly contribute to some decisions relating to management.

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?
Indigenous peoples have no input into decisions relating to the management.

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?
There is contact but only some cooperation with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property.

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (National / Federal)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (Local / Municipal)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other grants</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard.

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are secure in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term.

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?
There is a major flow of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property.

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?
There are adequate equipment and facilities.

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?
Equipment and facilities are well maintained.
4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The Cultural Landscape of Sintra supports the economic sustainability of a range of activities (from hotels, restaurants and commerce to tourism operators), which depend on the good conservation of the Site and on the preservation of its authenticity and integrity. These issues should be assessed and discussed with the local communities and stakeholders to outline solutions for raising funds for heritage conservation from the local/regional economic activities relying on the WHS.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Full-time | 96% |
| Part-time | 4% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Permanent | 70% |
| Seasonal | 30% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Paid | 99% |
| Volunteer | 1% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| Research and monitoring | Good |
| Promotion | Good |
| Community outreach | Good |
| Interpretation | Good |
| Education | Good |
| Visitor management | Good |
| Conservation | Good |
| Administration | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Good |
| Tourism | Good |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| Research and monitoring | High |
| Promotion | High |
| Community outreach | High |
| Interpretation | High |
| Education | High |
| Visitor management | High |
| Conservation | High |
| Administration | High |
| Risk preparedness | Medium |
| Tourism | High |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Medium |
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4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management.

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient.

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of research, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value.

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with the local, national and international audiences.

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors.

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| Local communities / residents | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Excellent |
| Visitors | Excellent |
| Tourism industry | Excellent |
| Local businesses and industries | Excellent |
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4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?
There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property.

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?
World Heritage status has been an important influence on education, information and awareness building activities.

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
There is excellent presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Adequacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visitor centre</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site museum</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information booths</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided tours</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails / routes</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information materials</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation facilities</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Not needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last year</td>
<td>Major Increase (100%+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two years ago</td>
<td>Major Increase (100%+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three years ago</td>
<td>Major Increase (100%+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four years ago</td>
<td>Major Increase (100%+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years ago</td>
<td>Major Increase (100%+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?
Entry tickets and registries.

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made.
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4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?
There is excellent co-operation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation.

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
The fee is collected and makes a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property.

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value.

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation.

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local / Municipal authorities</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local communities</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
Implementation is underway.

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)
Please refer to question 5.2.
5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>World Heritage criteria and attributes affected</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Lead agency (and others involved)</th>
<th>More info / comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate change and severe weather events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storms</td>
<td>(ii) As storms are unpredictable, it is impossible to assess the damages they may cause and to determine how they can compromise inscription criteria.</td>
<td>Reactive action</td>
<td>Survey of the damages caused and removal of debris</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>Services of Parques de Sintra-Monte da Lua SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive/alien terrestrial species</td>
<td>(ii) to maintain the landscape by keeping invasive species under control</td>
<td>Programme of control and eradication of these species.</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Services of Parques de Sintra Monte da Lua, SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive / alien marine species</td>
<td>(ii) Not to allow invasive species to predominate in these areas and destroy the landscape.</td>
<td>Control and removal of invasive species from the dams of Monserrate</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Institute for Nature Conservation and Forests - ICNF and Parques da Sintra e Monte da Lua, SA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management System / Management Plan</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Lead agency (and others involved)</th>
<th>More info / comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous peoples have no input into decisions relating to management</td>
<td>Parques de Sintra - Monte da Lua, SA is a State-owned company and, as such, Sintra municipality has a 15% share while Administruma has the remaining 85%.</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Parques de Sintra - Monte da Lua, SA</td>
<td>No comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity
The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved.

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity
The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact.

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property’s Outstanding Universal Value
The World Heritage property’s Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property’s other values
Other important cultural and / or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted.

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and monitoring</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management effectiveness</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure development</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for the property</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International cooperation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political support for conservation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal / Policy framework</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional coordination</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governmental institution responsible for the property</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?
yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Support Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Party Representative</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Body</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?
Most of the required information was accessible.

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Area Description</th>
<th>Improvement Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Party</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Managers</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Bodies</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance
  Reason for update: Under appreciation

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise
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