

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Centennial Hall in Wrocław

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Poland

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1165


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2006

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Centennial Hall in Wrocław	51.107 / 17.077	36.69	189.68	226.37	2006
Total (ha)		36.69	189.68	226.37	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Centennial Hall in Wrocław - map of inscribed property	17/07/2006	

Comment

The buffer zone boundaries shown on the 2006 map attached to the entry on the World Heritage List are not precisely marked. It is necessary to update the map in order to indicate precisely where the boundaries lie.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bogdan Zdrojewski
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage
Minister of Culture and National Heritage

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Jędrzej Nawara
Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo HALA LUDOWA SP. Z O.O.
Head of Strategic Projects Department

Comment

The management bodies of the world heritage property also include: the Audiovisual Technology Centre (Centrum Technologii Audiowizualnych), the National Museum, Power System Automation Institute Ltd (Instytut Automatyki Systemów Energetycznych Sp. z o.o), the Urban Greenery Unit operating within the Municipal Office of Wrocław. Mr Jędrzej Nawara is only responsible for completion of the report.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

Comment

www.halastulecia.pl www.centrumpoznawcze.pl

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The attributes will be defined after adoption of the retrospective SOUV.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.4	Pollution							
3.4.4	Air pollution							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.10.2	Flooding							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	frequent	significant	no capacity and / or resources	decreasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	extensive	frequent	significant	no capacity and / or resources	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities	extensive	frequent	significant	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Boundaries of the world heritage property as well as of the buffer zone were defined on a small-scale map at the time of inscription of the property on the World Heritage List, and marked with a thick-tipped marker pen. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the precise boundaries.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Polish legislation system for heritage protection is based on the following provisions:

- Protection and guardianship of monuments Act (23.7.2003);
 - Land Use and Development Planning Act (27.3.2003);
 - Law on Environmental Protection (2001);
 - Law on Access to Information on the Environment and Its Protection and on Environmental Impact Assessments (2000).
- Monument protection comprises measures and activities to ensure the permanent preservation of historical monuments, as well as their maintenance and enhancement. Forms of

protection for cultural heritage include: inscription in the National Register of Monuments, recognition as a Monument of History, the establishment of a cultural park as well as the establishment of protection measures in the local spatial development plans. The qualification as Monument of History is the highest recognition for a monument in Poland and is established by the President of Poland further to the request of the Minister of Culture, through a special decree. The provision describes the characteristics and the extraordinary values of the monument, defines its boundaries and includes a map of the object. According to the Polish Act, only Monuments of History can be proposed for inscription on the World Heritage List.

Protection of historical monuments is carried out at the level of the voivodeship, county and municipality.

- the Centennial Hall and its surroundings (intended only as the ground with its layout) was declared "Monument of History" by the President of Poland's Ordinance 13.4.2005 (Journal Act n. 64 position 570);
 - the Centennial Hall building was inserted in the Register of Monuments following decision n. A/5291/198 on 24.4.1962;
 - the Centennial Hall collective, comprising the Centennial Hall building, the Four Cupolas Pavilion, the Restaurant Pavilion, the Pergola, the Colonnade and the Spire have been inserted in the Register of Monuments following Decision n. A/5259/343/Wm on 15.4.1977;
 - the Szczytnicki Park, which includes in its perimeter the Centennial Hall and the Exhibition grounds, was inserted in the Register of Monuments following decision n. A/2791/194 on 15.2.1962;
 - some buildings in the settlement of Dąbie are protected through planning instruments (Local Plan n. 101, resolution City Council of Wrocław Nr VI/87/03 of 20.2003).
- Additionally, also the settlements of Sepolno and Biskupin are protected for their historic value at the national level. The measures foreseen by Polish legislation to ensure heritage protection encompass the obligation of including cultural heritage protection issues in Environmental Impact Assessments (Act on sharing information about the environment and its protection, participation in environment protection by the society and Environmental Impact Assessments, 2000).
- The Centennial Hall in Wrocław World Heritage property comprises structures belonging to, and managed by, different subjects: the Centennial Hall building and exhibition grounds are in the Municipality of Wrocław's ownership since 2005, when they were acquired from the State. Structures included are: the Hall, the Main Restaurant pavilion, the pergola, the Exhibition grounds. The complex is managed by the Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa Sp. zo.o. The management of the Centennial Board is supervised by the Municipal and Provincial Conservator of Historical Monuments. The Four Dome Pavilion is still owned by the State and managed by the National Museum, whilst the buildings delimiting the entry colonnade and the alley belong to the State and are managed respectively by Wytwórnia Filmów Fabularnych and by IASE - Instytut Automatyki Systemów Energetycznych Sp.zo.o., the extant part of the inscribed property is owned by the municipality and is managed by municipal office for greenery (Zarząd Zieleni Miejskiej). The buffer zone is in mixed ownership: the Szczytnicki Park, as well as certain adjoining grounds, is in the Municipality's ownership, whilst built up areas and buildings are privately owned and managed by their respective owners.

Comment

The entire world heritage property as well as its buffer zone are covered by the Local Spatial Development Plans, which provides additional legal protection for the area. As of

November 2011, the Wrocław Feature Film Studio was converted into the Audiovisual Technology Centre (CeTA).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

There is a need to regulate the protection and management of the monument at the State Party level.


4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The protection of monuments is overseen and monitored at the national and regional levels. At the national level the Ministry of Culture and National Heritage defines rules and procedures for granting permission on works of preservation and conditions of their conduct as well as concerning the qualification of persons entitled to carry out such activities. The Ministry of Culture is responsible for protecting historic monuments; tasks and competencies related to this responsibility are assigned to the General Inspector of Monuments. At the regional level, the Voivode holds competences related to the protection of monuments and they are exercised by the Voivodeship Inspector of Monuments who manages the Voivodeship Office of Monument Protection. The Voivode may entrust, on the basis of specific agreements, the conduct of certain activities, e.g. issuing administrative decisions, to the municipalities and counties as well as to associations of municipalities and counties on the territory of the Voivodeship. The Voivodeship Inspector of Monuments issues

authorizations for any kind of work that should be carried out on protected monuments and may require that studies documentation on the monument are prepared prior to authorising the works. The Voivodeship Inspector of Monuments may make inspection to registered monuments so as to verify their preservation conditions, to check the compliance of any undertaken activities with issued permits, to request information necessary to determine their actual state. Additionally, each owner of a protected monument has the duty of guardianship, which includes assuring conditions for scientific research and documentation, protecting and maintaining the monument and its surroundings in good conditions, carrying out conservation/ restoration works, ensuring that its use does not detract from its value and disseminating knowledge of its significance. Following the agreement between the Voivodeship Inspector of Monuments and the Municipality of Wrocław, responsibility for the protection of the inscribed property, as well as for all other registered monuments within the municipality of Wrocław, has been transferred to the Municipal Inspector of Monuments who cooperates with the Voivodeship Inspector of Monuments and with the Local Division of the National Heritage Board of Poland in Wrocław. The tasks of the latter include establishing standards for conservation, issuing expert opinions on heritage matters and activities, monitoring conditions of, and works on, items classified as monuments of history. The elaboration of a Management Plan have been undertaken and a Steering Committee has been established since January 2011 but is not yet fully operational.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan for Centennial Hall in Wrocław	N/A	Available	01/01/2005	

Comment

The link will be updated once the Management Plan will be approved and published.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good

Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Not applicable

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Since 2006, no changes have taken place in the ownership structure of the Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa (Wrocław Centennial Hall Company). Moreover, nothing has changed in the approach to the management of the site and its nearest surroundings, which has always focused on the preservation of cultural heritage. As of November 2011, the Wrocław Feature Film Studio was converted into the Audiovisual Technology Centre (CeTA).

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	30%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	58%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	2%

Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	10%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Each of the stakeholders managing the facilities located on the World Heritage property has their own budget and it is therefore not possible to provide precise answers to the above questions. As for questions 4.4.6 and 4.4.7, the answer refers to the Centennial Hall.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	20%
Part-time	80%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The answers given here are based on one stakeholder only: the Centennial Hall. The correct answer to question 4.4.15 would be that there is no competence development plan, since there is no need to prepare one.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for most key areas but there are gaps

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared with local partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

"HalaStuleciaiTerenyWystawowe we Wrocławiu – dziełoMaksaBerga"(Max Berg’s Centennial Hall and Exhibition Grounds in Wrocław), J Ilkosz,Wrocław; the National Museum inWrocław 2005;"HalaStulecia" (Centennial Hall), J. Ilkosz, in:LeksykonarchitekturyWrocławia (Lexicon of Wrocław Architecture),Eysymontt R. et al. (eds) Wrocław, Via Nova 2011; "Centennial Hall in Wrocław. Challenges of Construction and Preservation" (with Jerzy Ilkosz), in Structural Analysis of HistoricalConstructions, Jerzy Jasieńko (ed.)

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only partly meets the needs and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
----------------	-----------

Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

In 2012 a Discovery Center was opened within the Centennial Hall. This unique educational space presents visitors with the history of the Centennial Hall, genesis of its origin and historical transformations, at the same time introducing them to the world of architectural projects of tomorrow. Over 30 thousands visitors have been visiting the Centennial Hall and its Discovery Center since the opening in February 2012. <http://www.centrumpoznawcze.pl/en#start>

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criterion I, II, IV	A possible solution would be to move some of the car traffic to the newly planned road, the Great Island Avenue, which would considerably relieve the vehicle traffic in the immediate vicinity of the World Heritage property	Monitoring will be performed after completion of the project	The project is planned to be implemented, but the time frame for completion has not been precisely defined.	The Municipal Office of Wrocław, the Wrocławskie Inwestycje sp. z o.o	This factor is related to the car route located in the immediate vicinity of the property. The roadway between the Centennial Hall and the ZOO is currently one of the major communication routes connecting the centre of Wrocław with the Great Island.
3.4 Pollution							
3.4.4	Air pollution	Criterion I, II, IV	A possible solution would be to move some of the car traffic to the newly planned road, the Great Island Avenue, which would considerably relieve the vehicle traffic in the immediate vicinity of the World Heritage property	Monitoring of the environmental status, including of the air pollution, is carried out on an ongoing basis	ongoing task	Voivodeship Inspectorate for Environmental Protection	The factor is related to the high level of fumes caused by the traffic on the car route located in the immediate vicinity of the property.
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	Criterion I, II, IV	Gradual increase in funding allocated to the maintenance (cleaning, day-to-day operations of the property and tourist amenities) and management of the property and the adjacent areas.	Monitoring of the factor is closely related to the monitoring of tourist traffic and is performed regularly each year.	The situation is monitored on an ongoing basis – appropriate measures are applied both on an ad-hoc basis (e.g. maintenance) and in advance (planning of the relevant lines in the company's annual budget).	Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa Sp. z o.o., the Urban Greenery Unit in the Municipal Office of Wrocław	A positive factor is related to the launching of the Discovery Centre which makes a visit to the Centennial Hall more interesting. A negative factor is linked to the increased tourist traffic which generates higher operation and maintenance costs.
3.13 Management and institutional factors							
3.13.3	Management activities	Criterion I, II, IV	Definitive allocation of competence among the numerous stakeholders operating both on the World Heritage site and in its buffer zone.	After the roles and responsibilities of particular stakeholders are defined, regular meetings will be held to monitor the progress of work relating to particular management areas.	Constant improvement of the management process is an ongoing process	Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa Sp. z o.o., Municipal Office of Wrocław, Power System Automation Institute IASE), Audiovisual Technology Centre (CeTA), National Museum, and other stakeholders operating within the buffer zone	The currently prepared Management Plan for the World Heritage Site and the work of the Steering Committee will help establish the scope and framework of activities aimed at the continuous improvement of the forms of World Heritage management

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	Dissemination of information regarding the boundaries of the World Heritage property.	ongoing task	The Municipal Office of Wrocław, Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa sp z o.o.	-	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Dissemination of information regarding the boundaries of the World Heritage property.	ongoing task	The Municipal Office of Wrocław, Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa sp z o.o.	-	

4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects					
4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies	There are no plans to promote this type of activity, but the information is used in educational and promotional materials.	Not applicable	Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa Sp. z o.o.	-
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.6	Fees collected makes no contribution to the management of the property	Admission charges for the Discovery Centre are too low considering the scale of operations of the entire facility and, despite being increased, they will not provide the necessary resources for maintenance of the facility.	ongoing task	Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa Sp. z o.o.	-
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Managers of the World Heritage property carry out monitoring in accordance with the current needs.	ongoing task	Wrocławskie Przedsiębiorstwo Hala Ludowa Sp. z o.o.	-
4.8.4	Implementation of Committee recommendations is planned, but has not yet begun	Recommendations arising from the decisions are scheduled for implementation.	by February 2014	The Municipal Office of Wrocław	-

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
External experts
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The buffer zone boundaries shown on the 2006 map attached to the entry on the World Heritage List are not precisely marked. It is necessary to update the map in order to indicate precisely where the boundaries lie.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise