

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Kalwaria Zebrzydowska: the Mannerist Architectural and Park Landscape Complex and Pilgrimage Park

#### Comment

The manager perceives the need to change the name of the property.

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Poland

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

905

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1999

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Kalwaria Zebrzydowska: the Mannerist Architectural and Park Landscape Complex and Pilgrimage Park	49.867 / 19.667	380	2600	2980	1999
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>380</b>	<b>2600</b>	<b>2980</b>	

#### Comment

At present, verification of boundaries of the property and the buffer zone, according to the World Heritage Centre recommendations, is being carried out /retrospective inventory/. As the works are completed, an appropriate map will be sent to the Centre.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Kalwaria Zebrzydowska: the Mannerist Architectural and Park Landscape Complex and Pilgrimage Park - maps of inscribed property	04/12/1999	

#### Comment

At present, verification of boundaries of the property and the buffer zone, according to the World Heritage Centre recommendations, is being carried out /retrospective inventory/. As the works are completed, an appropriate map will be sent to the Centre.

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bogdan Zdrojewski  
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage  
Minister of Culture and National Heritage

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Gracjan Kubica  
Bernardine Monastery and Sanctuary in Kalwaria

Zebrzydowska  
Superior of the Monastery

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

#### Comment

www.kalwaria.eu

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The attributes will be defined after adoption of the retrospective SOUV

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure						
3.3.4	Localised utilities						
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.3	Land conversion						
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals						
3.5.5	Crop production						
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection						
3.5.9	Subsistence hunting						
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production						
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.1	Wind						
3.7.2	Relative humidity						
3.7.7	Pests						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>						
3.9.1	Illegal activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1	Storms						
3.10.2	Flooding						
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide						
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1 Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing	localised	on-going	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.5 Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.3	Land conversion	localised	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	restricted	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.1	Wind	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	on-going	significant	low capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	on-going	significant	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
<b>3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	on-going	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	on-going	minor	high capacity	increasing
<b>3.9 Other human activities</b>						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.10 Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	one off or rare	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.10.2	Flooding	restricted	one off or rare	significant	no capacity and / or resources	static
<b>3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.13 Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.3	Management activities	widespread	on-going	significant	medium capacity	decreasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The entire complex is a protected monument since it is on the register of scheduled monuments of the Vojevodship of Bielsko-Biała. The protection afforded in this way is laid down in the Polish Act of the Protection of Cultural Property of 1962, as subsequently amended, and the Code of Administrative Procedure. It is the subject of special policies in the Local General Plan of Spatial

Development of the Town and District of Kalwaria Zebrzydowska, approved in 1982. Part of it is covered in the corresponding plan for the District of Lanckorona.

#### Comment

An updated information has been sent in a separate file.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

## 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

### 4.3.1 - Management System

The cultural landscape of Kalwaria Zebrzydowska with the routes of the avenues in the Park, the chapels, and the meadows and woods in the north-eastern part are owned by the Bernardine monastery. The woods on the Żar Mountain are owned partly by the monastery and partly by the state, whilst the forest of Lanckoronais in multiple private ownership. There is also a number of small residential and agricultural lots within the area that are in private ownership. As a national historical monument, Kalwaria Zebrzydowska comes within the purview of the State Service for the Protection of Monuments, and funds are made available for conservation from the Ministry of Culture and Arts through the offices of the General Coordinator of Monuments and the Regional Conservator of Monuments.

Local management is coordinated by the owner, the Bernardine Monastery, working with the State Service, which is represented by the District Conservator. The latter specialist is employed by the Council of the Town and District of Kalwaria Zebrzydowska and works in collaboration with the Town Architect. The Monastery has a scientific advisory council which includes distinguished architects, landscape architects, monument conservators, historic garden specialists, art historians, etc. This body is responsible for overseeing the work of the officials directly involved and advising them on policies for conservation and management. There is no overall management plan for the Park. However, there is a rolling programme of conservation and restoration projects agreed between those responsible for its management and approved by the scientific council.

**Comment**

An updated information has been sent in a separate file.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property but it could be improved

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is only partially being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and many activities are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer**

**zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is little or no contact with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	10%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	2%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	3%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	5%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10%
Other grants	60%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are secure in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Under 4.4.1.9, a percentage value of own incomes intended for maintenance of the world heritage site has been entered.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	60%
Part-time	40%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	60%
Seasonal	40%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High

Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Kalwaria Zebrzydowska – Polska Jerozolimka skarbem Kościoła i narodu polskiego, Kalwaria Zebrzydowska 2002; Management of UNESCO World Heritage Sites in Poland and Norway, Kraków 2011.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors



**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

#### **4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing	II, IV	preventing through cooperation with the local entities and the appropriate regulations of the local spatial development plan	daily field observations	dependent upon introducing of legislative changes	legislative bodies, local authorities and local self-governments and residents	issues require relevant legal regulations at the state and voivodeship level
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	II, IV	development of an alternative communication system	daily field observations	long-term activity	legislative bodies, local authorities and local self-governments	-
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.3	Land conversion	II, IV	it is necessary to stop the changes in the manner of land use	inspection at the level of the local spatial development plans regulations	continuous activity	local authorities, local self-governments and residents	-
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.7	Pests	II, IV	activities limiting spreading of horse-chestnut leaf miners and other pests	current observations	current activities	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers	-
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	II, IV	Current relations aiming to inform the residents of the values and the significance of the site	current observations	the matter that requires continuous activities	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers	-
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.3	Management activities	II, IV	continuous cooperation in the implementation of the projects	current control of needs	the zone requires continuous activities	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers and local self-governments	-

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>							
			Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.2	Boundaries could be improved		works to clarify the boundaries of the property are in progress	works in progress	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers in cooperation with the National Heritage Board of Poland	-	
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners		current educational activities and activities building the knowledge about the site	the matter that requires continuous activities	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers	-	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners		current educational activities and activities building the knowledge about the site	the matter that requires continuous activities	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers	-	
<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>							
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management		the cooperation does not exist due to the fact that the neighbourhood of the site is deprived of industry	-	-	-	

<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>					
<b>4.4.6</b>	<b>Inadequate equipment and facilities</b>	need to acquire additional external financial resources	continuous activity	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers	available equipment is sufficient to fulfill current needs but there is a constant need for modernization
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>					
<b>4.8.2</b>	<b>Key indicators have not been defined</b>	monitoring indicators will be defined as the boundaries of the property are clarified and the RSOUV is adopted	monitoring indicators will be defined as the boundaries of the property are clarified and the RSOUV is adopted	Monastery of Bernardine Fathers in cooperation with the National Heritage Board of Poland	-

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Name of World Heritage Property**  
Reason for update: The manager perceives the need to change the name of the property.
- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**  
Reason for update: The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.
- **Geographic Information Table**  
Reason for update: At present, verification of boundaries of the property and the buffer zone, according to the World Heritage Centre recommendations, is being carried out /retrospective inventory/. As the works are completed, an appropriate map will be sent to the Centre.
- **Map(s)**  
Reason for update: At present, verification of boundaries of the property and the buffer zone, according to the World Heritage Centre recommendations, is being carried out /retrospective inventory/. As the works are completed, an appropriate map will be sent to the Centre.

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise