

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Medieval Town of Toruń

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Poland

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

835


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1997

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Medieval Town of Toruń	53.01 / 18.619	48	300	348	1997
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>48</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>348</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Medieval Town of Torun, scale 1:10000	17/12/2007	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bogdan Zdrojewski  
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage  
Minister of Culture and National Heritage

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Mirosława Romaniszyn  
Municipality of Torun  
second Town Inspector of Monuments  
Town Inspector of Monuments" Office

#### Comment

Property Manager: Michał Zaleski, Mayor of Toruń, Municipality of Toruń, Wały gen.Sikorskiego 8 Str., 87-100 Toruń, tel.+48 56 622 25 55, fax:+48 56 6555811, e-mail: prezydent@um.torun.pl Site Manager designated to complete the questionnaire: Mirosława Romaniszyn, Municipal Conservator of Historic Monuments, Municipality of Toruń, Podmurna 2-2a Str., 87-100 Toruń, tel: +48 56 62 214 79, fax: +48 56 62 262 92, e-mail:m.romaniszyn@um.torun.pl

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Torun Home Page](#)

#### Comment

Ad.1. View photos and film at: [www.torunska-starowka.pl](http://www.torunska-starowka.pl)  
Ad.2. Torun Home Page: [www.um.torun.pl](http://www.um.torun.pl); [www.torun.pl](http://www.torun.pl)  
Other web sites related: [www.orbitorun.pl](http://www.orbitorun.pl); [www.foto-torun.pl](http://www.foto-torun.pl); [www.liga-unesco.pl](http://www.liga-unesco.pl); [www.turystyka.torun.pl](http://www.turystyka.torun.pl); [www.torunonline.com](http://www.torunonline.com), [www.visittorun.pl](http://www.visittorun.pl), Medieval Town of Torun at [poland.travel](http://poland.travel), [www.tak.torun.pl](http://www.tak.torun.pl);

[www.planetarium.torun.pl](http://www.planetarium.torun.pl); [www.muzeum.torun.pl](http://www.muzeum.torun.pl); [www.ckzamek.torun.pl](http://www.ckzamek.torun.pl)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The attributes will be defined after adoption of the retrospective SOUV. The draft Statement of Outstanding Universal Value had been prepared and sent in 2012 to the World Heritage Centre via National Heritage Board of Poland.

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

-

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

-





































## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>		
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	 	 
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>		
3.4.5	Solid waste	 	
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>		
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	  	
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	 	 
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>		
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	 	
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>		
3.10.2	Flooding	 	
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	 	 
3.13.3	Management activities	  	 
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative
	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.4	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.5	<b>Solid waste</b>	restricted	frequent	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9	<b>Other human activities</b>					
3.9.2	<b>Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• **Question 6.02**

The following acts form legal basis for the protection of cultural heritage: The Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, dated 23 July 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 162, item 1568); The Act dated 16 October 1991 on the Protection of Nature as amended (uniform text Journal of Laws of 2002 No 145, item 1623) The Act dated 21 November 1996 on Museums (Journal of Laws No. 5, item. 24 dated 20 January 1997) The Acts dated 5 June 1998 on Central Government Administration in a Voivodeship (Journal of Laws No 91, item 577) The Act dated 27 March 2003 on Spatial Planning and Development (Journal of Laws No 80, item. 717 dated 10 May 2003) The Act dated 27 March 2003 changing the Act - Construction Law and amending some other Acts (Journal of Laws No 80, item 718 dated 10 May 2003) Ordinance of the Minister of Culture dated 10 May 2004 on Granting an Earmarked Subsidy for Conservation, Restoration and Construction Works on historical sites entered into the Inventory of Monuments (Journal of Laws No. 124, item 1303) Ordinance of the Minister of Culture dated 14 May 2004 on Maintaining the Inventory of Monuments, National, Voivodeship and Gmina Records of Monuments and the National List of historical objects stolen or illegally exported from the country (Journal of Laws No. 124, item 1305) Ordinance of the Minister of Culture dated 9 June 2004 on conducting conservation, restoration and construction works, conservation and architectural research and other activities performed on a historical monument entered into the Inventory of Monuments as well as conducting archaeological research or search for hidden or abandoned movable monuments. (Journal of Laws No. 150, items 1578 and 1579) Since 1 January 2004 the formerly drawn up local spatial development plans all over the country have expired. The new Law on Spatial Planning and Development dated 27 March 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 80, item 717 dated 10 May 2003), harmonised with the European Union legislation, provides for the preparation of a Study of conditions and orientations of spatial development for a town or a gmina, as a document preceding the preparation of a general spatial development plan, constituting the basis for the plan preparation. The provisions contained in a Study are binding for gmina authorities working on their local spatial development plans. However, a Study does not constitute an act of local legislation. In 2000 the Study of conditions and orientations of spatial development for the city of Torun was prepared. It was adopted by the Torun City Council by a resolution No. 512/2000 of 27 July 2000. The Study contains of the following chapters: "The Condition of the Cultural Environment " and "The Protection and Shaping of Cultural Heritage". It provides a framework for spatial development activities until the general plan is in place. Local spatial development plans are gradually being adopted but so far they have covered approx. 20 percent of the Torun area. The Gmina Programme for the Protection of Historical Monuments, still under preparation, will provide for activities aimed at the most effective protection and management of the heritage inscribed into the UNESCO List. Following the provisions of the Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, of 2003, the Programme is being prepared by the Mayor of Torun. City Council Resolutions: No 705/98 of 16 April 1998 on the accession of Torun to the Organisation of World Heritage Cities; No. 1077/2002 of 16 May 2002 on the Strategy for the Development of the City of Torun; No. 588/2004 of 16 September 2004 on granting subsidies for conservation, restoration and construction

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

To improve the cultural environment of the UNESCO Site the city authorities are nearing completion of the project of the underground waste system (litter, house-hold rubbish) and its implementation within the site area. To improve the protection against vandalism, graffiti etc. this video monitoring is constantly supplemented.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

-

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The new Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, dated 23 July 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 162, item 1568), which derives from a previous law going back to 1962, provides, in Art. 16, for the establishment of a cultural park, covering the area of distinctive landscape, containing immovable historical monuments, in order to protect it.

### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

works performed on sites entered into the Inventory of Monuments.

**Comment**

Corrected text was sent in a separate file.

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

-

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

The city and its cultural resources are managed by the Mayor of the City of Torun, with the support of the departments of the Torun City Hall (including the Office of the City Conservator of Historical Monuments). The City Conservator of Historical Monuments operates on behalf of the Mayor, with the powers arising out of the agreement between the Kujawsko-Pomorski Voivode and the Mayor of Torun on delegating certain matters in the jurisdiction of the Kujawsko-Pomorski Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments to the Gmina of the City of Torun . The guardianship of the Torun cultural heritage and the supervision over the activities of the City Conservator of Monuments is performed, on behalf of the Voivode, by the Kujawsko-Pomorski Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, with the support of the Conservation Board consisting of experts representing different fields.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:  
The new Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, dated 23 July 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 162, item 1568), which derives from a previous law going back to 1962, provides, in Art. 16, for the establishment of a cultural park, covering the area of distinctive landscape, containing immovable historical monuments, in order to protect it. Art. 16 of the Act provides: 1. The Commune Council (Rada gminy), having sought the opinion of the Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, may, by way of resolution, establish a cultural park in order to protect the cultural landscape and preserve the areas with distinctive landscape features, containing immovable monuments characteristic of the local building and settlement traditions. 2. The resolution shall specify the name of the cultural park, its boundaries, method of protection as well as bans and limitations, referred to in Art. 17, Paragraph 1. 3. The Head of the Gmina (Commune) Council -- Voit (the mayor, the president of the city), in agreement with the Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, shall prepare the cultural park protection plan, which shall be approved by the Gmina Council. 4. In order to implement the tasks related to the protection of the cultural park the Gmina Council may form a special unit responsible for the park management. 5. A culture park exceeding the gmina boundaries may be established and administered on the basis of unanimous resolutions of the relevant Gmina Councils (Association of Gminas), in the territory of which the park is to be established. 6. There is an obligation to draw up a local spatial development plan for areas in which a cultural park has been established. The city and its cultural resources are managed by the Mayor of the City of Torun, with the support of the departments of the Torun City Hall (including the Office of the City Conservator of Historical Monuments). The City Conservator of Historical Monuments operates on behalf of the Mayor, with the powers arising out of the agreement between the Kujawsko-Pomorski Voivode and the Mayor of Torun on delegating certain matters in the jurisdiction of the Kujawsko-Pomorski Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments to the Gmina of the City of Torun . The guardianship of the Torun cultural heritage and the supervision over the activities of the City Conservator of Monuments is performed, on behalf of the Voivode, by the Kujawsko-Pomorski Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, with the support of the Conservation Board consisting of experts representing different fields.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

The management system of Torun as an urban entity is i comprised of a number of units. The responsibility for managements taken jointly by the Gmina of the City of Torun, the State Treasury, private owners of individual buildings and religious organisations, including the Roman Catholic Church, which is the owner and the administrator of sacral buildings and the Church property. In the inscribed area there are 6 churches which are owned by religious organisations. The are inscribed on the List is inhabited by approximately 8,500 people, living in 770 properties, there are approx. 450 business entities operating there. The owners of individual buildings are obliged by law to maintain them in good repair, acting in agreement with conservation authorities.



**Comment**

Corrected text was sent in a separate file.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

-

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

-

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	50%
International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	8%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	12%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	30%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

-

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Not applicable
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	Not applicable
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	Not applicable
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Due to the increase of the duties connected with the preparation of the application documents to the UE and Regional Operational Programmes dedicated to the preservation of cultural heritage, and then the coordination of the conservation works, the more people of the professional staff would cause the more effective work

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Toruń magiczny, M.Chełminiak, Bydg.2010 Toruńska Starówka- ochrona i konserwacja dziedzictwa kulturowego UNESCO, Toruń 2014,(collective work, content-related supervision:M.Romaniszyn) Torun.Discover the city,M.Jakubik-Raczkowska,Toruń 2011 Nowa przestrzeń dla kultury.POLIŚ 2007-2013,W-wa 2014 Opus temporis.(..)edited by K.Kluczwyjad,M.Ruminski,Torun,2013 Torun perła gotyku,J.Weglowska,Torun 2008

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent

Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

The information about UNESCO Site together with city plans showing the borders of the site and the important architectural monuments and the logos of UNESCO and Organization of World Heritage Cities are included in the most of the tourist booklets and publications. The information about the UNESCO Zone is marked along the boundary streets, welcome banners are placed by the roads leading to town.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.5</b>	<b>Solid waste</b>	The problem of utility of the solid waste has no influence on the criteria.	The new system of underground waste containers is planned to be build in the centre of the city.	The monitoring is implemented.	The city authorities plan to solve the waste problem within next 5 years.	City authorities. No more info needed.
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.2</b>	<b>Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	Appearance of graffiti and the results of the vandalism are observed, but they have definitely no influence on the criteria.	Video camera city monitoring is beeing installed all over. Police and city security patrols are organized to improve the safety level.	Cooperation between police and city security services. Frequent reports in order to guarrantee the safety of the ipeople and the heritage.	Constantly.	City authorities, police, City security services. No comment

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>4.1.5</b>	<b>The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners</b>	The implementation of the conditions and regulations regarding the buffer zone in the local spatial development plans should be provided.	Constantly at any time when the spatial plans are changed and when new plans are under preparation.	City authoritis (cooperation with few city agencies)	-	
<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
<b>4.3.10</b>	<b>There is little or no contact with industry regarding management</b>	There is no contact with industry because there is no industry.	-	-	-	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
<b>4.4.6</b>	<b>Inadequate equipment and facilities</b>	The equipment should be updated faster to guarrantee the proper level of the services	Constantly upgrated.	Municipality of Toruń.	-	
<b>4.8 Monitoring</b>						
<b>4.8.2</b>	<b>Key indicators have not been defined</b>	The key indicators will be defined just after adoption of the SOUV and the description of the attributes	Hopefully within next 5 years	City authorities based od the cooperation between Municipal Conservators Office of Toruń and the National Heritage Board of Poland.	-	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

-

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

-

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

no

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

The reporting questionnaire should be dedicated to the specific kind of the groups of the sites e.g. historic cities. The criteria, characteristic features, the problems of managing, keeping the city in good condition, maintenance, protecting and sustainable development of historic cities as the centre of the contemporary city are common and comparable among the cities only. It doesn't make sense to report on anything that doesn't relate at all to the particular groups of the cities.

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	None
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The draft of the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is waiting for an assessment.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**

-