

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Warsaw

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Poland

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

30

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1980


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Warsaw	52.266 / 21.012	25.93	0	25.93	1980
Total (ha)		25.93	0	25.93	

Comment

Minor mistake in coordinates. Should be: 52.24921 N, 21.01333 E.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Warsaw, scale 1:4000	17/12/2007	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bogdan Zdrojewski
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage
Minister of Culture and National Heritage

Comment

Incorrect contact details, should be: phone: +48 22 42 10 251
fax: + 48 22 42 10 131 email: minister@mkidn.gov.pl

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Anna Zasadzińska
The Historical Museum of Warsaw
Head of Heritage Interpretation Centre

Comment

Incorrect contact details. Should be: Museum of Warsaw, Anna Zasadzińska, Rynek Starego Miasta 28 - 42, 00-272 Warszawa, Poland, phone no.: +48 695 645 095, fax: +48 22 531 38 02, email: anna.zasadzinska@muzeumwarszawy.pl. Mayor of Warsaw is responsible for the Site as a part of the city.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Zamek Królewski w Warszawie / Royal Castle in Warsaw](#)
3. [National Museum in Warsaw](#)

Comment

There is no property web site yet. Official tourist portal of Warsaw: <https://www.warsawtour.pl/en>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance

Warsaw was deliberately annihilated in 1944 as a repression of the Polish resistance to the German occupation. The capital city was reduced to ruins with the intention of obliterating the centuries-old tradition of Polish statehood. The rebuilding of the historic city, 85% of which was destroyed, was the result of the determination of the inhabitants and the support of the whole nation. The reconstruction of the Old Town in its historic urban and architectural form was the manifestation of the care and attention taken to assure the survival of one of the most important testimonials of Polish culture. The city – the symbol of elective authority and tolerance, where the first democratic European constitution, the Constitution of 3 May 1791, was adopted – was rebuilt. The reconstruction included the holistic recreation of the urban plan, together with the Old Town Market, the town houses, the circuit of the city walls, as well as the Royal Castle and important religious buildings. The reconstruction of Warsaw's historical centre was a major contributor to the changes in the doctrines related to urbanisation and conservation of urban development in most of the European countries after the destruction of World War II. Simultaneously, this example illustrates the effectiveness of conservation activities in the second half of the 20th Century, which permitted the integral reconstruction of the complex urban ensemble.

Criterion (ii): The initiation of comprehensive conservation activities on the scale of the entire historic city was a unique European experience and contributed to the verification of conservation doctrines and practices.

Criterion (vi): The historic centre of Warsaw is an exceptional example of the comprehensive reconstruction of a city that had been deliberately and totally destroyed. The foundation of the material reconstruction was the inner strength and determination of the nation, which brought about the reconstruction of the heritage on a unique scale in the history of the world.

Comment

Statement of OUV was updated by the State Party and sent to the World Heritage Center for approval.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Unregulated ownership status of the buildings and building lots resulting from 1945 nationalization decree.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.2.5	Underground transport infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.1	Water infrastructure							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.1	Illegal activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	localised	one off or rare	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	one off or rare	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	restricted	on-going	significant	low capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	restricted	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	widespread	frequent	significant	high capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The application form for the approval of the buffer zone was submitted to the UNESCO World Heritage Center.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

- Decree of the President of the Republic of Poland on the designation of "The historic urban complex together with the Royal Route and the Wilanów Palace" as a Memorial of History in 1994
- Decision on entering the Old Town in the Inventory of Monuments as an urban complex in 1965
- Decisions on entering individual streets and squares into the Inventory of Monuments Acts of local legislation
- A Study on the Conditions and Directions of Spatial Development in the Capital City of Warsaw is being developed. It includes draft provisions for the area inscribed on the UNESCO List. The Study is not an act of local legislation, however, any such act must comply with its provisions

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• Question 6.02

Under the laws on protection and guardianship of monuments: 1. Decree of the President of the Republic of Poland of 8 September 1994 on the designation of "The historic urban complex together with the Royal Route and the Wilanów Palace" as a Memorial of History 2. Decision of 1 July 1965 on entering the Old Town in the Inventory of Monuments as an urban complex 3. Decisions on entering individual streets and squares into the Inventory of Monuments Acts of local legislation: 1. The Local General Spatial Development Plan for Warsaw, adopted in 1992, was in force until the end of the year 2003, the General Local Spatial Development Plan for the Warsaw Borough of Śródmieście [Downtown], adopted in 1993, was in force up to the end of 2002. Both plans contained provisions for the protection of the Old Town area. Both plans expired by force of new statutory provisions. The Study on the Conditions and Directions of Spatial Development in the Capital City of Warsaw is being developed. It includes draft provisions for the area inscribed on the UNESCO List. The Study is not an act of local legislation, however, any such act must comply with its provisions.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Responsibility for over-seeing the implementation of the management plan and monitoring its effectiveness: Warsaw Town Hall

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:
Currently there are no such plans

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

Management by the units subordinate to the Gmina (Municipality) self-government which hold public spaces and co-hold some of the built-up properties and the technical infrastructure.

Comment

Management Plan was created for the World Heritage Site, project of the plan was sent to the WHC.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The Management Plan.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good

Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

The Management Plan was created for the World Heritage Site. The Mayor's representative for UNESCO World Heritage Management was appointed in April 2013, with main task to implement and update the Management Plan.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	100%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Because of complex ownership, there is no data regarding real expenditures on WHS conservation. Funds are both public and private, so it's not possible to give responsible answer for this question. Each estimation would be a guess.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good

Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

"Destroyed but not lost", papers from conference on the 25th anniversary of the Old Town's entry onto the UNESCO Heritage List, ed. Marek Konopka, Warsaw 2006; "Building a new home. Reconstruction of Warsaw in the period 1945 - 1952", Jerzy S. Majewski, Tomasz Markiewicz, Warszawa 2012; "Jan Zachwatowicz (1900 - 1983), Architect", exhibition catalogue, the Royal Castle in Warsaw, Warsaw 2013.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Not needed

Other	Not needed
-------	------------

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor management is one of the goals of the Management Plan.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.2	Commercial development	(ii) and (vi)	Setting up a buffer zone to ensure protection of the Historic Centre of Warsaw panoramas.	In case of high-rising buildings, additional studies and analysis of views are being prepared, before issuing building permit.	Ongoing.	Mayor's Representatives for WH Management, Building and Urban Planning Department, Historic Preservation Department	-
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	(ii) and (vi)	Construction of underground car parks, further development of public transport, local spatial development plan for the World Heritage Site.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	City of Warsaw	-
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	(ii) and (vi)	Reducing traffic on the East-West route, restricting heavy vehicular traffic on the escarpment.	Performance of hydrological and geotechnical monitoring.	Ongoing.	City of Warsaw	-
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(ii) and (vi)	Introduction in local development plan principles restricting the transformation of dwelling units into commercial ones and principles of reinstatement of traditional functions in commercial units on the ground floors.	Monitoring will be set up after adopting local spatial development plan.	Ongoing.	Heritage Protection Department, Building Department, Mayor's Representatives for WH Management	-
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	(ii) and (vi)	Opening the Site Museum and introducing public programs to insure appropriate interpretation of the Site.	Ongoing.	Ongoing.	City of Warsaw, Museum of Warsaw, Municipal Tourist Information Office.	-
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	(ii) and (vi)	Education and information efforts regarding regulation and legal requirements for heritage building owners, support through a system of subsidies for conservation and renovation work undertaken correctly.	Introduction of permanent monitoring over actions undertaken on historical monument.	Ongoing.	Heritage Protection Department in cooperation with Building Department.	-

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	Proposal of the buffer zone was submitted to the World Heritage Centre. The buffer zone would cover approximately 666,78 ha, what should be sufficient to set right protection of the Site.	Ongoing.	Mayor's Representative for UNESCO World Heritage Site Management, Building and Urban Planning Department, Heritage Protection Department	Requested, additional information regarding the legal protection of the buffer zone was sent to the World Heritage Centre in 2014.	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						

4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	To ensure correct interpretation of the Historic Centre of Warsaw, the Heritage Interpretation Centre will be open with permanent exhibition explaining values of the Site. In addition public educational programs will be set up.	Opening of the Heritage Interpretation Centre is planned for January 2013.	Museum of Warsaw	More information: www.muzeumwarszawy.pl
-------	---	---	--	------------------	---

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Not all of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: Statement of OUV was updated by the State Party and sent to the World Heritage Center for approval.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Minor mistake in coordinates. Should be: 52.24921 N, 21.01333 E.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise