

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Kraków

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Poland

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

29bis



Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1978

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Kraków	50.067 / 19.96	149.65	1057	1206.65	1978
Total (ha)		149.65	1057	1206.65	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Cracow's Historic Centre, scale 1:10000	17/12/2007	
Map of Inscribed Property 2010	29/01/2010	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bogdan Zdrojewski
Ministry of Culture and National Heritage
Minister of Culture and National Heritage

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Katarzyna Biecuszek
Cracow Municipal Office for Heritage Protection
Officer

Comment

The site is managed by the President of the City of Krakow, Jacek Majchrowski, pl. Wszystkich Świętych 3-4, 31-001 Krakow; Katarzyna Biecuszek is the officer from the Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments, who is the interim report coordinator.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [International Cultural Centre / Międzynarodowe](#)
3. [Szlak Architektury Drewnianej](#)

Comment

In the first place, we should mention the website related to the Historic Centre of Kraków, i.e. www.krakow.pl. Point 3, Wooden Architecture Route, should be removed because it is unrelated to Krakow. Also, the website www.skozka.pl should be added.

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

Statement of Significance was adopted in 2009 - <http://whc.unesco.org/en/decisions/1987>. The Draft of Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is being assessed.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Draft of Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is being assessed. The attributes will be defined after adoption of the RSoOUV.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.2	Commercial development								
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure								
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure								
3.3	Services Infrastructures								
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities								
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
3.4	Pollution								
3.4.3	Surface water pollution								
3.4.4	Air pollution								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.2	Relative humidity								
3.7.3	Temperature								
3.7.4	Radiation/light								
3.7.5	Dust								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.7.7	Pests								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.1	Illegal activities								
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.10.2	Flooding								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1 Buildings and Development					
3.1.2 Commercial development	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	restricted	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.2.4 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	extensive	on-going	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4 Localised utilities	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.4 Pollution					
3.4.3 Surface water pollution	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.4.4 Air pollution	widespread	frequent	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.7 Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.1 Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	low capacity	decreasing

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• **Question 6.02**

The legal basis for the protection of cultural heritage is the Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, dated 23 July 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 162, item 1568), which derives from a previous law going back to 1962. The Act dated 18 April 1985 on the National Fund for the Restoration of Cracow Heritage (Journal of Laws No. 21, item 90) The Act dated 16 October 1991 on the Protection of Nature as amended (uniform text Journal of Laws of 2002 No 145, item 1623) The Act dated 21 November 1996 on Museums (Journal of Laws No. 5, item. 24 dated 20 January 1997) The Acts dated 5 June 1998 on Central Government Administration in a Voivodeship (Journal of Laws No 91, item 577) The Act dated 27 March 2003 on Spatial Planning and Development (Journal of Laws No 80, item. 717 dated 10 May 2003) The Act dated 27 March 2003 changing the Act - Construction Law and amending some other Acts (Journal of Laws No 80, item 718 dated 10 May 2003) Ordinance of the Minister of Culture dated 10 May 2004 on Granting an Earmarked Subsidy for Conservation, Restoration and Construction Works on historical sites entered into the Inventory of Monuments (Journal of Laws No. 124, item 1303) Ordinance of the Minister of Culture dated 14 May 2004 on Maintaining the Inventory of Monuments, National, Voivodeship and Gmina Records of Monuments and the National list of historical objects stolen or illegally exported from the country (Journal of Laws No. 124, item 1305) Ordinance of the Minister of Culture dated 9 June 2004 on conducting conservation, restoration and construction works, conservation and architectural research and other activities performed on a historical monument entered into the Inventory of Monuments as well as conducting archaeological research or search for hidden or abandoned movable monuments. (Journal of Laws No. 150, items 1578 and 1579) Since 1 January 2004 the formerly drawn up local spatial development plans for gminas and towns have expired. The new Law on Spatial Planning and Development dated 27 March 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 80, item 717 dated 10 May 2003), harmonised with the European Union legislation, provides for the preparation of a Study of conditions and orientations of spatial development for a town or a gmina as a document preceding the preparation of a general spatial development plan, constituting the basis for the plan preparation. The provisions contained in a Study are binding for gmina authorities working on their local spatial development plans. However, a Study does not constitute an act of local legislation. In 2003 the Study of conditions and orientations of spatial development for the city of Cracow was prepared. It was adopted by the Cracow City Council by a resolution No. XII/87/03 dated 16 April 2003. The Study contains a chapter entitled "The Condition of the Cultural Environment" and another, "The Protection and Shaping of Cultural Heritage". It provides a framework for spatial development activities until the general plan is in place. Local spatial development plans are gradually being adopted but so far they have covered only a few percent of the Cracow area. The Voivodeship Programme for the Guardianship of Historical Monuments, still under preparation, will provide for activities aimed at the most effective protection and management of the heritage inscribed into the UNESCO List. Following the provisions of the Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, of 2003, the Programme is being prepared by the Office of the Marshal of the Małopolskie Voivodeship. Resolutions of the Cracow City Council • No. XXXII/298/95 dated 25 October 1995 on Cracow accession to the Polish

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

1. The buffer zone for the historic centre of Krakow World Heritage property was adopted at the World Heritage Committee session in Brasilia, Brazil, 25 July - 3 August 2010 (Decision No. 34 COM 8B.59). 2. Re. 4.1.4.2 and 4.1.5.3 – the borders of the property and the borders of the buffer zone are partly known to local communities, although we cannot definitely state that "they are known".

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, dated 23 July 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 162, item 1568), which derives from a previous law going back to 1962, provides, in Art. 16, for the establishment of a cultural park, covering the area of distinctive landscape, containing immovable historical monuments, in order to protect it.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

National Committee of the International Council on Monuments and Sites ICOMOS • No. XXXIV/322/95 dated 22 November 1995 on the City Gmina of Cracow accession to the Organisation of World Heritage Cities. • No. LIV/473/96 dated 26 June 1996 on the Draft Proposal for Construction Activity within the Historical Complex of the City of Cracow • No. LXXVI/752/97 dated 16 April 1997 on Cracow accession to the League of Historical Cities • No. XXXVI/316/04 dated 14 January 2004 on the Adoption of the Strategy for the Promotion of Cracow • No. XLII/395/04 dated 31 March 2004 on the directions of activities undertaken by the Mayor of Cracow in spatial development planning Regulation No. 202/2004 of the Mayor of Cracow dated 12 January 2004 on the Rules of Utilisation and Protection of Public Space within the Cracow Historical Complex The works are in progress on a City Council resolution on granting subsidies from the Gmina budget for work performed on sites entered into the Inventory of Monuments which remain in private ownership.

Comment

Updated information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is **inadequate** to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The inscribed site is also under the administration of the Borough I Council. The sacral buildings are administered by the Roman Catholic Church (represented by the Curial

Conservator of Historical Monuments) and other religious organisations. The Wawel Hill Castle Complex is managed by the Director of the Wawel Royal Castle, with the assistance of a team of employees responsible for the Castle maintenance, conservation and use. The Cathedral Complex located on Wawel Hill remains under the guardianship of the Roman Catholic Church authorities. The protection of the Cracow cultural resources is also a responsibility of the Małopolski Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, acting on behalf of the Voivode of Małopolska, with the support of the Conservation Board consisting of experts representing different fields.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, October 26, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: The Act on the Protection and Guardianship of Historical Monuments, dated 23 July 2003 (Journal of Laws No. 162, item 1568), which derives from a previous law going back to 1962, provides, in Art. 16, for the establishment of a cultural park, covering the area of distinctive landscape, containing immovable historical monuments, in order to protect it. Art. 16 of the Act provides: The Commune Council (Rada gminy), having sought the opinion of the Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, may, by way of resolution, establish a cultural park in order to protect the cultural landscape and preserve the areas with distinctive landscape features, containing immovable monuments characteristic of the local building and settlement traditions. 2. The resolution shall specify the name of the cultural park, its boundaries, method of protection as well as bans and limitations, referred to in Art. 17, Paragraph 1. 3. The Head of the Gmina (Commune) Council -- Voit (the mayor, the president of the city), in agreement with the Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, shall prepare the cultural park protection plan, which shall be approved by the Gmina Council. 4. In order to implement the tasks related to the protection of the cultural park the Gmina Council may form a special unit responsible for the park management. 5. A culture park exceeding the gmina boundaries may be established and administered on the basis of unanimous resolutions of the relevant Gmina Councils (Association of Gminas), in the territory of which the park is to be established. 6. There is an obligation to draw up a local spatial development plan for areas in which a cultural park has been established. Recently, the Mayor of Cracow, has undertaken some steps leading to the establishment of a cultural park named "The Old Town", covering the area of the Old Town and the surroundings of Wawel Hill. Therefore, a task force has been formed and charged with the preparation of documents necessary to draw up and adopt a Cracow City Council resolution, establishing the cultural park. As a result of the resolution, a special unit responsible for the management of the park will also be formed. In the second phase, the Mayor of Cracow is planning to extend the Old Town -- Wawel cultural park area to include the town of Kazimierz and the Stradom suburb (within the limits of the World Heritage List inscription) and to extend the authority of the management unit to cover the whole area. The adoption of the resolution depends on whether the ownership issues in the area are clarified. The management of the city and its cultural resources is vested in the City Council of Cracow and the Mayor of Cracow, supported by the relevant departments of the City Hall (among them -- the Historical Monuments Protection Division, headed by the City Conservator of Historical Monuments, operating within the structures of the Department of Culture and National Heritage). The inscribed site is also under the administration of the Borough I Council.

The sacral buildings are administered by the Roman Catholic Church (represented by the Curial Conservator of Historical Monuments) and other religious organisations. The Wawel Hill Castle Complex is managed by the Director of the Wawel Royal Castle, with the assistance of a team of employees responsible for the Castle maintenance, conservation and use. The Cathedral Complex located on Wawel Hill remains under the guardianship of the Roman Catholic Church authorities. The protection of the Małopolski Voivodeship Conservator of Monuments, acting on behalf of the Voivode of Małopolska, with the support of the Conservation Board consisting of experts representing different fields.

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

The management system of Cracow as an urban entity is comprised of a number of units. Approximately 100,000 people live in the area inscribed into the List. Its management is the responsibility of the Gmina of Cracow, the State Treasury (with the Mayor of the City as a Staroste acting on its behalf), private and corporate owners and users of different buildings and sites. Religious organisations are in charge of churches and monasteries located in the inscribed area, including the Roman Catholic Church, which for ages has been the owner and the administrator of ecclesiastical sites and the church property (for instance, the houses at Kanonicza Street). The owners of the sites are obliged by law to maintain the buildings in good repair, acting in agreement with conservation authorities.

Comment

Updated information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Updated information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

1. For the part of World Heritage property, "The Old Town" local spatial development plans is in force (since 17.06.2011).
2. The Old Town and the Wawel Hill are preserved as the Old Town culture park.
3. The urban layout of Kazimierz within the so-called "new town", including the suburbs (No. A-1273/M of 18.07.2011) and Vistula Boulevards (No. A-1260/M of 13.06.2011) were entered into the register of monuments.


4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	50%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	1%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	49%

In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Provision of equipment for the safeguarding Cracow's Historic Centre	1981	75000.00	
Total		75000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Due to the specific nature of the UNESCO site – the city, answers to the question 4.4.13 were provided in the broader sense, not only in the context of the World Heritage site.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but **not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor

Section II-Historic Centre of Kraków

Information materials	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

In the promotional / information publications of the Krakow Municipal Office exist lacks of information about inscription of Historic Centre of Kraków on WHL, the absence of such information, for example at the entrance to the city.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

1. Tourism development strategy
http://www.bip.krakow.pl/?sub_dok_id=20667 2. Tourism-related publications: - Tourism management in Krakow. Report on the city condition for years 2008-2011 (<http://www.bip.krakow.pl/zalaczniki/dokumenty/n/83199/karta>) - Tourism management in Krakow. Report on the city condition for years 2010-2013 (http://www.bip.krakow.pl/?sub_dok_id=58088) 3. Research of tourism movement (http://www.bip.krakow.pl/?sub_dok_id=58088)

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.2	Commercial development	Criterion IV. Attributes: as SoOUV for the Historic centre of Kraków has not yet been officially approved by the World Heritage Committee, the attributes have not been specified.	Relevant provisions prohibiting construction of skyscrapers are included in the local spatial development plans and "The Study of the Condition and Directions of Spatial Development of City of Kraków".	Proceedings in the Department of Architecture and Urban Planning of Kraków Municipal Office and notifying threats to Monuments Protection services. The situation is improving.	Constantly (until the whole area of Krakow is covered by local spatial development plans).	Municipality of Krakow: Department of Architecture and Urban Planning, Spatial Planning Office, Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments.	In Point 3.1.2, negative impact was marked only in the context of possibility to build skyscrapers which might affect the cultural landscape of the site.
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	Criterion IV. Attributes: as SoOUV for the Historic centre of Kraków has not yet been officially approved by the World Heritage Committee, the attributes have not been specified.	Requesting that appropriate information is placed in municipal promotion publications and on the information plaques. The situation is improving.	Implementation is being monitored.	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments, Department of Information, Tourism and City Promotion.	In Point 3.1.5, negative impact was marked only due to the insufficient quantity of information marks indicating that the Historic centre of Kraków is a World Heritage site.
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Criterion IV. Attributes: as SoOUV for the Historic centre of Kraków has not yet been officially approved by the World Heritage Committee, the attributes have not been specified.	The municipal policy aimed at improving traffic in the centre and the use of public transport, limitation of traffic at the World Heritage site, introduction of paid parking zones, construction of underground parking space.	Constant monitoring of traffic on the area covered by limitations. Control of compliance with applicable regulations. The situation is improving.	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Municipal Infrastructure and Transport Board, City Guard, Department fo Investment. The Police	-
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criterion IV. Attributes: as SoOUV for the Historic centre of Kraków has not yet been officially approved by the World Heritage Committee, the attributes have not been specified.	The municipal policy aimed at improving traffic in the centre and the use of public transport, limitation of traffic at the World Heritage site, introduction of paid parking zones, construction of underground parking space.	Constant monitoring of traffic on the area covered by limitations. Control of compliance with applicable regulations. The situation is improving.	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Municipal Infrastructure and Transport Board, City Guard, Department fo Investment. The Police	At the site, there are zones where only holders of special authorisations may drive into. There are prohibitions and limits for heavy duty vehicles.
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	Criterion IV. Attributes: as SoOUV for the Historic centre of Kraków has not yet been officially approved by the World Heritage Committee, the attributes have not been specified.	Information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.	Information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.	Constantly	Kraków Municipal Office: Department of Environmental Development, Marshall's Office of the Małopolskie Voivodeship, Voivodeship Inspectorate of Environmental Protection.	-
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Criterion IV. Attributes: as SoOUV for the Historic centre of Kraków has not yet been officially approved by the World Heritage Committee, the attributes have not been specified.	Information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.	Lack	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Municipal Buildings Board	Information regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	Requesting that appropriate information is placed in municipal promotion publications. Including the information in local spatial development plans.	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments, Department of Information, Tourism and City Promotion, Spatial Planning Office.	-
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Including the information in local spatial development plans.	Constantly (until the whole area of Krakow is covered by local spatial development plans).	Municipality of Krakow: Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments, Spatial Planning Office.	-
4.2 Protective Measures					
4.2.4	Inadequate legal framework	Successively drawn up local spatial management plans for the World Heritage site, the buffer zone and the surrounding areas.	Constantly (until the whole area of Krakow is covered by local spatial management plans).	Municipality of Krakow: Spatial Planning Office, Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments	-
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.1	World Heritage emblem not easily visible	Requesting that appropriate information is placed in municipal promotion publications. Initiating cooperation with tourist organisations so that the guides could point out the markings and the fact that Krakow is a World Heritage site.	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments, Department of Information, Tourism and City Promotion.	-
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	The need to cooperate with the Department of Education in order to develop a relevant educational programme.	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Department of Education, Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments, Department of Information, Tourism and City Promotion.	-
4.6.4	World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities	The need to cooperate with the Department of Education in order to develop a relevant educational programme.	Constantly	Municipality of Krakow: Department of Education, Office of Municipal Conservator of Monuments, Department of Information, Tourism and City Promotion.	-

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Suggestions regarding this Point will be provided in a separate file.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: Statement of Significance was adopted in 2009 - <http://whc.unesco.org/en/decisions/1987>. The Draft of Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is being assessed.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise