

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Rietveld Schröderhuis (Rietveld Schröder House)

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Netherlands

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

965

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (longitude / latitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Rietveld Schröderhuis (Rietveld Schröder House) | 52.085 / 5.147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2000 |
| Total (ha) | | | 0 | | |

Comment

The size of the property is 0.36 ha.

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|--|------------|---|
| Rietveld Schröderhuis (Rietveld Schröder House) - inscribed property | 02/12/2000 |  |

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Rene Wokke
Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands
National Focal Point for Periodic Reporting

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Ida Van Zijl
City of Utrecht, Central Museum
Ms

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- Patrimonium-mundi.org : visit this site in [panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images](#)
- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Rietveld \(Centraal Museum\)](#)
- [Werelderfgoed in Nederland \(dutch only\)](#)
- [Stichting Platform Werelderfgoed Nederland](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

See the recent Management Plan

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The retrospective Statement of OUV will be decided on at the 37th WHC meeting.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The retrospective Statement of OUV will be decided on at the 37th WHC meeting.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value













3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impact | Origin |
|---------------|---|---|---|
| 3.4 | Pollution | | |
| 3.4.4 | Air pollution |   |  |
| 3.9 | Other human activities | | |
| 3.9.2 | Deliberate destruction of heritage |   |  |
| Legend |  Current |  Potential |  Negative |
| | |  Positive |  Inside |
| | | |  Outside |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | Impact | Management response | Trend | |
|-------|------------------|----------------|----------|---------------------|---------------|------------|
| 3.4 | Pollution | | | | | |
| 3.4.4 | Air pollution | restricted | frequent | minor | high capacity | increasing |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

The exterior is affected by air pollution. In the management plan of the house is described how we take care of this problem.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is **no buffer zone**, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review and update the information provided below.

Originally private property, the building was acquired by the Rietveld Schröder House Foundation in 1970. Subsequently, in 1987, it was conveyed to the Central Museum of Utrecht. The Rietveld Schröder House was listed in 1976 and is currently protected under the 1988 National Monuments Act. The area where the property is located is also under protection. The zoning plan of the area is under revision and the aim is to renovate the nearby Waterlinieweg so as to be less dominant.

Comment

The property was given on loan to the city of Utrecht, maintenance of the property is done by the Foundation Centraal Museum. There are no plans to renovate the nearby Waterlinieweg in the near future.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review and update the information provided below. If a more recent management plan / system is in force, we will very much appreciate it if you could provide its 2 paper and electronic copies to the WHC. The submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation.

The responsibility of the management of the property is shared by the Rijksdienst voor de Monumentenzorg, the Bureau Monumenten of Utrecht, and the Central Museum of Utrecht.

The Central Museum of Utrecht has an Activity Plan for the property, covering visitor management, surveys, and promotional campaigns. The reception of visitors is arranged in the adjacent building at Prins Hendriklaan, providing all necessary information and the presentation of the property, as well as being equipped for lectures.

Comment

A new Management Plan came into force in 2012. The Rijkdienst voor de Monumentenzorg is now called Rijksdienst voor het Cultureel Erfgoed (Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands).

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The World Heritage Center will receive the Management Plan 2012 for the Rietveld Schröderhuis.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented** and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Fair |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Good |
| Visitors | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Industry | Not applicable |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Contact with industry is not relevant for the site.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There are no significant changes.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | 0% |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 0% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 0% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | 75% |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 25% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0% |
| Other grants | 0% |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

We do not receive assistance from the WHF.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Full-time | 0% |
| Part-time | 100% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Permanent | 75% |
| Seasonal | 25% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Paid | 100% |
| Volunteer | 0% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | Good |
| Promotion | Good |
| Community outreach | Good |
| Interpretation | Good |
| Education | Good |
| Visitor management | Good |
| Conservation | Good |
| Administration | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Good |
| Tourism | Good |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Good |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | High |
| Promotion | High |
| Community outreach | High |
| Interpretation | High |
| Education | High |
| Visitor management | High |
| Conservation | High |
| Administration | High |
| Risk preparedness | High |
| Tourism | High |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | High |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

A bibliography is published in: I. van Zijl en B. Mulder, Het Rietveld Schröderhuis, Utrecht 2009, pp. 106-118. After 2009 new research on the Rietveld Schröderhuis was published in: R. Dettingmeijer, M.-Th. van Thoor en I. van Zijl, Rietvelds Unversum/Rietveld's Universe, Rotterdam, 2010. I. van Zijl, Gerrit Rietveld, Londen, 2010.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The Centraal Museum is the expert center on the work and ideas of Gerrit Rietveld. Research on Rietveld and the Rietveld Schröderhuis is one of the goals of the museum.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Local communities / residents | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |

| | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Not applicable |
| Visitors | Excellent |
| Tourism industry | Excellent |
| Local businesses and industries | Not applicable |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Visitor centre | Excellent |
| Site museum | Adequate |
| Information booths | Excellent |
| Guided tours | Excellent |
| Trails / routes | Not provided but needed |
| Information materials | Excellent |
| Transportation facilities | Adequate |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The department Public & Information of the Centraal Museum develops special programs for different groups of visitors of the Rietveld Schröderhuis.

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Last year | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago | Minor Increase |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |
| Four years ago | Minor Increase |
| Five years ago | Minor Increase |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
| Visitor surveys |

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

This information is part of the Management Plan.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Excellent |
| Local communities | Not applicable |
| Researchers | Excellent |
| NGOs | Not applicable |
| Industry | Not applicable |
| Local indigenous peoples | Not applicable |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
|-------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------|
| 3.4 | Pollution | | | | | | |
| 3.4.4 | Air pollution | criterion i | regularly maintenance of the exterior | Monitoring on a regular base is described in the Management Plan. | Timeframe is described in the Management Plan. | The Centraal Museum is responsible for monitoring and maintenance. | none |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.3 Management System / Management Plan | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | | |
| 4.3.10 | There is little or no contact with industry regarding management | There is no industry in the near vicinity. | No action has to be taken. | none | none | | |

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Conservation | Very positive |
| Research and monitoring | Very positive |
| Management effectiveness | Positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Recognition | Very positive |
| Education | Very positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Very positive |
| International cooperation | Positive |
| Political support for conservation | Very positive |
| Legal / Policy framework | Very positive |
| Lobbying | Not applicable |
| Institutional coordination | Very positive |
| Security | Positive |
| Other (please specify) | Not applicable |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

| |
|--|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
| Staff from other World Heritage properties |

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| UNESCO | Good |
| State Party Representative | Very good |
| Advisory Body | Very poor |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| |
|---|
| The World Heritage Convention |
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting |
| Management effectiveness |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|--------------|
| UNESCO | Satisfactory |
| State Party | Satisfactory |
| Site Managers | Satisfactory |
| Advisory Bodies | Satisfactory |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The retrospective Statement of OUV will be decided on at the 37th WHC meeting.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: The size of the property is 0.36 ha.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise