Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property
Droogmakerij de Beemster (Beemster Polder)

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details
State(s) Party(ies)
- Netherlands
Type of Property
- cultural
Identification Number
- 899
Year of inscription on the World Heritage List
- 1999

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Coordinates (longitude / latitude)</th>
<th>Property (ha)</th>
<th>Buffer Zone (ha)</th>
<th>Total (ha)</th>
<th>Inscription year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Droogmakerij de Beemster (Beemster Polder)</td>
<td>52.549 / 4.911</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (ha) | 0

Comment
- Property = 7208 ha coordinates: 52° 32' N, 4° 55' E

1.4 - Map(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Link to source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Droogmakerij de Beemster (Beemster Polder) - Inscribed Property</td>
<td>25/06/1998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property
- Rene Wokke
  - Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands
  - National Focal Point for Periodic Reporting

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency
- Harry Roenhorst
  - Municipality of Beemster
  - Mr
  - World Heritage Departement

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)
1. Patrimonium-mundi.org : visit this site in panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images
2. View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection
3. The Beemster Polder
4. Werelderfgoed in Nederland (dutch only)
5. Stichting Platform Werelderfgoed Nederland

Comment
- www.beemster.net www.werelderfgoed.nl
- www.beemsterinfo.nl

Section II-Droogmakerij de Beemster (Beemster Polder)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment
- The (RETROSPECTIVE) STATEMENT OF OUTSTANDING UNIVERSAL VALUE will be decided on at the 37th WHC.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed
- (i)(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)
- Management of canals, roads, waterquality and trees alongside the roads: this is done by the Water Board Hollands Noorderkwartier (co-siteholder). This leads to the preservation and conservation of the geometrical structure of the landscape, the main reason for appointing Beemster Polder a world heritage site.
### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Services Infrastructures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1 Water infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.3 Surface water pollution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological resource use/ modification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.4 Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.5 Crop production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change and severe weather events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.2 Flooding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and institutional factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.13.3 Management activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Legend

- Current
- Potential
- Inside
- Outside
- Negative
- Positive

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.
3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status
There is no buffer zone, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List.

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?
The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?
The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
The delimitation of the property by a ring dyke makes that the Beemster Polder is a spatially well isolated site. The ring dyke surrounds the whole Beemster polder. Therefore a buffer zone is not needed.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)
Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review and update the information provided below.
At State level, the 1988 Monuments Act defines as State Monuments "everything constructed over at least fifty years ago that is of public importance because of its beauty, its importance to science, or its cultural-historical value." The State Monument Register extends to townscapes, for which there must be zoning plans drawn up by local authorities under the provisions of the 1985 Rural Development Act. Currently 60 monuments in the Municipality of Beemster, which corresponds exactly with the polder, are protected monuments, and a further list of seventeen has been submitted for consideration.
There is provision at regional, provincial, and municipal level for the preparation and implementation of comprehensive land-use and zoning plans, which are regularly updated. Cultural heritage protection forms an integral part of these plans. The Beemster Polder forms part of the National Central Landscape of Noord-Holland, as defined in the 1991 Waterland Regional Plan.
The 1996 Monument Decree of the Province of Noord-Holland covers monuments, buildings, and townscapes and is directly applied to the Beemster Polder. In 1991 the Municipality of Beemster adopted its own Monument Decree; this was updated in 1994.

Comment
The municipality of Beemster does not have an actual Monument's Decree, but is now preparing a Monument's list, followed by a Monument's Decree. This will not be finished before 2014/2015.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?
The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection.

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?
The property had no buffer zone at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List.

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?
The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity.

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?
There is excellent capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property.

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System
Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review and update the information provided below. If a more recent management plan / system is in force, we will very much appreciate it if you could provide its 2 paper and electronic copies to the WHC. The submission should be
accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation.

Management at different levels, in accordance with zoning and land-use plans, is shared by the Municipality of Beemster, the Provincial Administration of Noord-Holland, and the Waterschap De Waterlanden. The last-named is a water board of a type that is unique to The Netherlands. It was created in 1981 following a merger of a number of water boards, and is in charge of water management in an area of c.35,000ha, including De Beemster. One of its special duties is to manage the planting of trees along the public roads of De Beemster.

State protected monuments are the concern of the Netherlands Department for Conservation (Rijksdienst voor de Monumentenzorg), an agency of the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science. The Association for the Conservation of Nature Monuments in The Netherlands (Vereniging tot Behoud van Natuurmonumenten in Nederland) manages the forts of the Stelling van Amsterdam.

All these bodies have programmes of regular and systematic monitoring of conservation and protection measures within their respective competences.

Comment
- The name of "Water board Waterlanden" has changed into "Water board Hollands Noorderkwartier". - The name of the Netherlands Department for Conservation" has changed into "The Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands. - The Association for the Conservation of Nature Monuments in the Netherlands (Vereniging tot Behoud van Natuurmonumenten in Nederland) manages the forts of the Stelling van Amsterdam. It is done by the province of Noord-Holland.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment
All relevant and actual information is given in the (retrospective) Statement of OUV. The Managementplan Worldheritage "Droogmakerij de Beemster", under authority of the Municipality made by Landkracht/NCAdvies, was decided by the Council in July 2012.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is excellent coordination between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property.

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system / plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored.

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and most or all activities are being implemented and monitored.

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| Local communities / residents | Fair |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly contribute to some decisions relating to management.

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone.

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is regular contact with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and substantial co-operation on management.

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (National / Federal)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (Local / Municipal)</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other grants</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD) 

Comment
none
4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs.

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are secure in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term.

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?
There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities.

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?
There are some adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area constrain management at the World Heritage property.

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?
There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities.

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full-time</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part-time</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permanent</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paid</th>
<th>90%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
A range of human resources exist, but these are below optimum to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research and monitoring</th>
<th>Fair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community outreach</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor management</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research and monitoring</th>
<th>Medium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community outreach</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor management</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk preparedness</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement (custodians, police)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?
A capacity development plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management.

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient.

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value.

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?
Research results are shared widely with the local, national and international audiences.

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report
Two policy plans, development vision 'Des Beemster I' and development vision Des Beemsters II' (Ontwikkelingsvisie Des Beemsters I en II) have been published by the Beemster municipality.
4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Des Beemsters is the instrument to deal with recent and future urban development in the world heritage property of Beemster Polder. Des Beemsters is not just a label, it is a way of thinking and working and plays an important role by decision making about the qualities of the urban planning in the Beemster Polder.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local communities / residents</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local landowners</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism industry</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local businesses and industries</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property.

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an important influence on education, information and awareness building activities.

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is excellent presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| Visitor centre | Excellent |
| Site museum | Adequate |
| Information booths | Not needed |
| Guided tours | Excellent |
| Trails / routes | Adequate |
| Information materials | Excellent |
| Transportation facilities | Poor |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last year</td>
<td>Minor Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two years ago</td>
<td>Static</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three years ago</td>
<td>Static</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four years ago</td>
<td>Static</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years ago</td>
<td>Static</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property.

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters.

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected.

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

2012 The Beemster celebrated his 400th anniversary. Due to many special activities (like an agricultural fair and the publication of a new Beemster book) there was a minor increase of people visiting Beemster.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned.

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation.
4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World Heritage managers / coordinators</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local / Municipal authorities</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local communities</td>
<td>Non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Non-existent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
Implementation is complete

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee
None.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Question 4.8.2: The 17th century copperplate engraving (Kopergravure) is the key indicator to measure changes in the OUV. There has not been any essential change in the intellectual and architectural concept underlying the planning structure of the Beemster Polder since it was constructed.

The copperplate map engraving therefore corresponds almost perfectly to the pattern in the current situation

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)
Please refer to question 5.2
5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property
No factor is both current and negative.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.7 Visitor Management</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Lead agency (and others involved)</th>
<th>More info / comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.7.4 Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved</td>
<td>As a siteolder we are momentarily planning actions/ agreements with relevant partners for improving the management of visitor use of the property.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7.5 Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters</td>
<td>As a siteolder we are momentarily planning actions/ agreements with relevant partners for improving the touristic industry in the property.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.8 Monitoring</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Lead agency (and others involved)</th>
<th>More info / comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.8.1 Some monitoring, but it is not planned</td>
<td>Occasionally we get some information from inhabitants/ relevant partners (mostly concerning urban planning) about managing/ OUV's, but we don't have any mayor surveys about monitoring.</td>
<td>Occasionally all the time.</td>
<td>Our (governmental) partners in the world heritage field, like the province of North-Holland.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity
The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved.

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity
The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact.

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value
The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values
Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact.

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and monitoring</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management effectiveness</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure development</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for the property</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International cooperation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political support for conservation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal / Policy framework</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional coordination</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

- Governmental institution responsible for the property
- Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?
No

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire
Accessibility: system did crash several times Some questions are multiple interpretabel: therefore it is guessing what the exact intention of the question is and what the required answer should be.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Party Representative</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Body</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?
All required information was accessible.

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Understanding</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and reporting</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management effectiveness</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Party</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Managers</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Bodies</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance
  Reason for update: The (RETROSPECTIVE) STATEMENT OF OUTSTANDING UNIVERSAL VALUE will be decided on at the 37th WHC.

- Geographic Information Table
  Reason for update: Property = 7208 ha coordinates:52° 32' N, 4° 55' E

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise