Periodic Report - Second Cycle Curação

Section II-Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour,

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour, Curaçao

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

Netherlands

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

819

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 1997

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour, Curação	12.102 / -68.902	86	87	173	1997
Total (ha)		86	87	173	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour, Netherlands Antilles - Inscribed property and buffer	03/02/2010	B
zone		

Comment

The title of the map should be changed in: Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour, Curaçao - Inscribed property and buffer zone

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Rene Wokke
 Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands
 National Focal Point for Periodic Reporting

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Caroline Gonzalez-Manuel
 Ministry of Traffic
 Ms
 Transport and Urban Planning, Sector Infrastructure
 and Urban Planning

Comment

Please change ministery in: Ministery of Trafic, Transport and Urban Planning, sector Infrastructure and Urban Planning Please remove "Netherlands"; Curação is a seperate country.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection
- The Historic Area of Willemstad (INTERREGIONAL COMMITTEE "ACTION WILLEMSTAD")
- 3. Werelderfgoed in Nederland (dutch only)
- 4. Stichting Platform Werelderfgoed Nederland

Comment

website: www.curacaomonuments.org

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

There is a revised retrospective Statement of OUV.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The retrospective Statement of OUV will be decided on at the 38th WHC.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

There is a need of a Management Plan. By 2014 we want to have this in place.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name					Impa	act			Origin	า
3.1	Buildings and Develo	pment									
3.1.2	Commercial developme	ent						M		•	
3.7	Local conditions affe	cting physical fabric									
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)							A	6	F
3.8	Social/cultural uses of	of heritage									
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religion	ous and associative uses				0		Ŋ		(
3.9	Other human activitie	es									
3.9.1	Illegal activities							Ŋ		•	
3.10	Climate change and s	severe weather events					!				
3.10.1	Storms								A	9	F
3.10.2	Flooding								A	-	F
3.10.5	Changes to oceanic wa	aters							A	(F
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside		C	Outs	ide		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	•	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.2	Commercial development	restricted	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	widespread	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

With regards to surveys etc. we do think this is a necessary issue. But have not worked on extensive surveys, analysis etc, yet.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)
Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review and update the information provided below.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2 Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Submitted on Monday, November 21, 2005

Question 6.02

The site is protected as a 'townscape' within the Island Wide Zoning plan. The monuments within the site are protected by Law (Island Monuments Ordinance)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Note WHC (July 2012): If a more recent management plan / system is in force, we will very much appreciate it if you could provide its 2 paper and electronic copies to the WHC. The submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2 Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Submitted on Monday, November 21, 2005

- Question 5.04 Plans in place to set up a "steering group: The Government of Curaçao installed a Unesco World Heritage working group in April 2002. Its single formal task is to prepare the nomination of a new World Heritage Site. The current plan is to nominate the Western part of Curaçao as a 'cultural landscape'. The working group has suggested that it could also function as a 'monitoring group' for 'historic Willemstad'. This still needs to be discussed within government services and with the Executive Council of Curaçao
- Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

Management under protective legislation

Periodic Report - Second Cycle Curação

Section II-Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour,

Although legal, financial and organizational frameworks are in place, the overall management system cannot function effectively because of lack of awareness especially among the decision makers (Executive Council) and lack of funding by the Dutch Government

Comment

At this moment a working group consisting of employees of the Ministry of Traffic, Transport and Urban Planning and the Monuments Fund Foundation is preparing the Terms of Reference for a consultant to finalize a Management Plan to be submitted to the Curacao Government. Also a proposal for the practical management of the site will be prepared for the Curacao Government during this year.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer

zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Comment: Question 4.3.10 is not relevant, since there is no industry in the World Heritage property.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There are no significant changes.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	5%
Governmental (National / Federal)	80%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	10%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	5%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are not secure

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Poor
Fair
Poor
Poor
Poor
Poor
Fair
Fair
Poor
Poor
Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

h. cha	
Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low

Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared with local participants and some national agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Publications: Open monument days inner city: Pietermaai 2007, Koralengebied 2012; Book: "Geschiedenis van steen" (History in brick) editor: Stichting Monumentenzorg, 2012

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average

Tourism industry Average	
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property

which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

Periodic Report - Second Cycle Curação

Section II-Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour,

- 4.8.5 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee
- 4.8.6 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring
- 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 4.9.1 Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	, , ,						
		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring		Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and	Development					
3.1.2	Commercial development historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2) historic town plan and architectural quality architec		none				
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.1	Illegal activities	historic town plan and architectural quality are affected (criterion 2).	more control	control and actions against these activities	no specific timeframe	government, ministry of traffic, transport and urban planning; locals	none

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Mai	nagement Syster	m / Management Plan			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.6	work plan	A new Management Plan will be drawn up in 2013 and implemented from 2014 on.	A new Management Plan will be drawn up in 2013 and implemented from 2014 on.	Ministery of Trafic, Transport and Spatial Planning	none
4.3.10		tact property. Spatial Planning		none	
4.4 Fin	ancial and Huma	n Resources			
4.4.4	funding are not secure	The financial situation of the county is uncertain. Conservation of monuments doesn't have the highest priority. Investments by private owners and NGO's will be stimulated.		Ministery of Trafic, Transport and Spatial Planning	none
4.4.13 Promotion Ministery of Trafic, Transport and Spatial Planning none		none			
4.6 Edu	ucation, Informat	ion and Awareness Building			
4.6.5		This issue will be considered further in the new MP.	1	Ministery of Trafic, Transport and Spatial Planning	none

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Government	tal institution responsible for the property
Site Manage	er/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Govern	mental Organization

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

ves

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

	Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value	
ĺ	Monitoring and reporting	
	Management effectiveness	

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: There is a revised retrospective Statement of OUV.

Map(s)

Reason for update: The title of the map should be changed in: Historic Area of Willemstad, Inner City and Harbour, Curaçao - Inscribed property and buffer zone

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise