

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Sacri Monti of Piedmont and Lombardy

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1068rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2003

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Sacro Monte o "Nuova Gerusalemme" di Varallo Sesia, Vercelli , Piedmont , Italy	45.819 / 8.255	5.4	26.1	31.5	2003
Sacro Monte di S.Maria Assunta di Serralunga di Crea, Alessandria , Piedmont , Italy	45.095 / 8.27	8.9	24.1	33	2003
Sacro Monte di San Francesco d'Orta San Giulio, Novara , Piedmont , Italy	45.798 / 8.411	14	7.5	21.5	2003
Sacro Monte del Rosario di Varese, Varese , Lombardy , Italy	45.86 / 8.793	14.6	32.6	47.2	2003
Sacro Monte della Beata Vergine, Oropa, Biella , Piedmont , Italy	45.629 / 7.978	15.4	49.6	65	2003
Sacro Monte della Beata Vergine del Soccorso, Ossuccio, Como , Lombardy , Italy	45.975 / 9.17	3	9	12	2003
Sacro Monte della SS.Trinità, Ghiffa, Verbania , Piedmont , Italy	45.964 / 8.615	11	210	221	2003
Sacro Monte Calvario, Domodossola, Verbania , Piedmont , Italy	46.106 / 8.287	3.6	41.4	45	2003
Sacro Monte di Belmonte, Valperga Canavese, Turin , Piedmont , Italy	45.367 / 7.631	14.6	321.6	336.2	2003
Total (ha)		90.5	721.9	812.4	

Comment

It's correct: Sacro Monte d'Orta, Orta-San Giulio, Novara, Piedmont, Italy.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source

Sacri Monti of Piedmont and Lombardy - inscribed properties	05/07/2003	
---	------------	---

Comment

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo
Francesca Riccio Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39 06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Salvatore De Giorgio
Piedmont Region - Direzione Regionale Ambiente
Direttore

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. www.sacrimonti.net

Comment

Add: www.sacri-monti.com

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.3	Industrial areas							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.1	Water infrastructure							
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities							
3.3.4	Localised utilities							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification							
3.5.3	Land conversion							
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	no capacity and / or resources	static
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species	localised	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Develop a faster service of fire-extinguishing. Contrast the creation of new cell phone towers.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The Ministry of Cultural Heritage through its local offices performs the institutional tasks of protection and preservation of the cultural heritage and landscape, under the Code of the Cultural and Landscape Heritage (D.Lgs. n. 42/2004). The Code forbids the implementation of project risking to damage of the protected heritage and fosters the implementation of conservative interventions. Core and buffer zones are also subject to restrictions due to local and/or regional territorial planning.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

As historic, artistic, and architectural properties all the *Sacri Monti* are subject to the provisions of the main Italian protection Laws Nos 1089/1089 and 352/97, as well as

Legislative Decree No 490/99. No interventions of any kind may be made to these properties without the authorization of the relevant Soprintendenza (Beni Ambientali e Architettonici de Milano, based in Milan, and Beni Ambientali e Architettonici del Piemonte, based in Turin), which are the regional agencies of the Ministry of Cultural Properties and Activities in Rome. In addition, various forms of legal protection are extended at Regional level to each of the properties:

- Varallo: A Special Nature Reserve (Piedmont Regional Law No 30 of 28 April 1980).
- Crea: A Natural Park and Protected Zone (Piedmont Regional Law No 5 of 28 January 1980).
- Orta: A Special Nature Reserve (Piedmont Regional Law No 30 of 28 April 1980).
- Varese: A legally recognized ecclesiastical organization.
- Oropa: The Santuario di Oropa (Sanctuary of Oropa) is defined legally as an "autonomous lay religious organization."
- Ossuccio: A legally recognized ecclesiastical organization.
- Ghiffa: A Special Nature Reserve (Piedmont Regional Law No 7 of 28 April 1987).
- Domodossola: A Special Nature Reserve (Piedmont Regional Law No 65 of 27 December 1980).
- Valperga: A Special Nature Reserve (Piedmont Regional Law No 30 of 28 April 1980).

The objectives of all the Regional reserves cover the protection of natural, landscape, architectural, archaeological, cultural, and art-historical values, encouragement of appropriate agricultural and silvicultural activities, and development of research.

Comment

The protection law No 1089/39, 352/97, 490/99 have been repeal by D.Lgs. No 42/2004 and its subsequent modification. The laws of *Sacri Monti* of the Piedmont Regions have been replaced by law No 19 of 29 June 2009: now, the seven *Sacri Monti* are named Specials Reserves Delete: (Beni Ambientali e Architettonici de Milano, based in Milan, and Beni Ambientali e Architettonici del Piemonte, based in Turin). Substitute Varese: A natural park (Lombardy regional law No 17 of 19 March 1984).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine

the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Scarce personnel and scarce financings

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

- Varallo: The property is owned by the civil administration of the Sacro Monte de Varallo (Varallo Sesia Comune) and managed by the Natural Special Reserve office, Varallo Sesia.
- Crea: The property is owned by the Ente Santuario Diocesano Madonna di Crea, an ecclesiastical body set up by the Diocese of Casale Monferrato and managed by the Park office in Ponzano.
- Orta: The property is owned by the commune administration of Orta San Giulio, with the exception of the former Convent, which is in private hands. Management is the responsibility of the park authority.
- Varese: The property belongs to the Parish of Santa Maria del Monte.
- Oropa: The "Sanctuary of Oropa" (the name usually used for the Santo Luogo della Madonna Santissima di Oropa) is the owner of the property and responsible for its management.
- Ossuccio: The property is owned by the Diocesan Curia of Como and managed by the Capuchin Lombard Province of Milan.
- Ghiffa: The property is owned by the Commune of Ghiffa and managed by the Nature Reserve authority.
- Domodossola: The property is owned by the Rosminian Fathers of the Italian Province of San Maurizio and managed by the Nature Reserve authority.
- Valperga: Different parts of the property are owned by Count Luigi di Valperga, the Province of Minorite Brothers, and other individuals, and their management is overseen and supported by the relevant Soprintendenze. Those properties designated Special Nature Reserves (Varallo, Crea, Orta, Ghiffa, Domodossola, and Valperga) are covered by plans drafted and approved under the provisions of Regional Law No 12/90; these are integrated with the master plans of the surrounding communes.

Only the Oropa Sacro Monte has its own restoration and organization plan, approved in 1999 and responsible during 2000 for an initial series of interventions on four of its chapels. Overall responsibility for the protection of all the properties is the Piedmont Region, based in Turin. There are various bodies in the properties themselves with management committees composed of representatives of the local authorities and experts nominated by the Piedmont Region. These employ personnel responsible for day-to-day management, guardianship, and maintenance. Funding is provided by the Piedmont Region, supplemented in some cases by national and European grants. In the designated Nature Reserves there are boards of management, whose remit extends to research and documentation promotion of their objectives in local communities as well as surveillance, maintenance, and (where funding can be secured) conservation and restoration projects. The relevant Diocesan Curia are responsible for the management of the Varese and

Ossuccio Sacri Monti, with the support of the Soprintendenze. A similar arrangement is in force at Oropa: the council of the Santuario di Oropa, founded in 1644, is composed of members elected by the Chapter of the Cathedral of Biella and the commune authorities. It is responsible for management of the property, with the support of the Soprintendenze.

Comment

Replace Valperga with Belmonte. Delete "with the support of the Soprintendenze" (line 26 and 29)

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A Management Plan has been drafted in the process of nomination and approved by the World Heritage Committee. A copy is deposited at the World Heritage Centre.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists **despite an identified need**

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Non-existent

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	15%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	70%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	10%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	3%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	2%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

We have never received financings

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

It would be necessary to supplement the financial resources for investment in equipment provided for the ordinary. In some "Sacri Monti" would require adequate facilities for events of night-time visit.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	97%
Seasonal	3%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	97%
Volunteer	3%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	High
Education	Medium

Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Guide SACRI MONTI DEL PIEMONTE E DELLA LOMBARDIA, (76 pages, 2010) in the series "Treasures of Italia and UNESCO", ed. Sagep. RELIGIONI E SACRI MONTI (400 pages, 2006) LA BISACCIA DEL PELLEGRINO (448 pages, 2010) NIGRA SUM (356 pages, 2012): 3 books edit by the Center of Documentation Sacred Calvary Mountains and European devotional complexes as collection of international conferences papers.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

The "Study for the predisposition of a tourist strategy for the site Unesco Sacri Monti of Piedmont and Lombardy" by the University "Luigi Bocconi" of Milan in april 2012, have pointed out the presence of about 300.000 visitors in 2010, but the data is not complete because the investigation includes only four of the nine Sacri Monti of the property: the Sacri Monti of Crea, Domodossola, Oropa, Orta.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

There is **little or no information available** on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

no comments

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

no comments

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	
3.3.4	Localised utilities	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Great monitoring of the areas to risk	Development of the awareness of the residents. Countries of education to spread the knowledge of the values UNESCO. Meetings and accords with local and regional authority. Installation of videocamere for the distan.	Sensitization of the local population on the values of the UNESCO.	Planning of courses of education and formation of the personnel of vigilance in the Reserves and in the Parks of the Sacri Monti. Increase of courses of education specifies for the students of the schools	Conventions among the Region Piemonte and the Region Lombardy for the ready intervention of aerial canadeer in case of fire.	-Aspect not remarkable
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	Great monitoring of the areas to risk.	Great monitoring of the areas to risk in collaboration with other institutions (Agency regional protection environment).	Great monitoring of the areas to risk in collaboration with other institutions	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable	-Aspect not remarkable

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	To increase the relationships with the local Communes.	no comments	no comments	no comments	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	To increase the relationships with the local Communes.	no comments	no comments	no comments	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.6	No annual work / action plan exists	no comments	no comments	no comments	no comments	
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	To increase the relationships with the local Communes to get great contacts with the operators and the private producers.	To increase the relationships with the local Communes to get great contacts with the operators and the private producers.	Great involvement of the regional tourist Agencies, provincial, local.	no comments	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						

4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	To organize trips of study for the students that live in the different <i>Sacri Monti</i> , to facilitate the knowledge of the different realities of the site and the knowledge and exchange it the experiences.	To organize trips of study for the students that live in the different <i>Sacri Monti</i> , to facilitate the knowledge of the different realities of the site and the knowledge and exchange it the experiences.	To plan initiatives taken care of by the corporate body of management <i>Sacri Monti</i> , financed by the State to favor the knowledge of the whole site.To involve also teaches him of the local schools in the project.	no comments
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	There is currently neither availability of personnel nor financial resources to organize in way efficiente the monitoraggio of the visitors	There is currently neither availability of personnel nor financial resources to organize in way efficiente the monitoraggio of the visitors	There is currently neither availability of personnel nor financial resources to organize in way efficiente the monitoraggio of the visitors	no comments

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

no comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Not applicable
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

To increase the relationship between the institutions responsible to the conservation of the Site, and the local touristic agency, and other local association. To program actions of education, formation and sensibilization with the local residents.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Repetitive and few inherent the managerial reality of the site.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: It's correct: Sacro Monte d'Orta, Orta-San Giulio, Novara, Piedmont, Italy.
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update:

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

In the compilation of the questionnaire authority of local, regional and government level has been involved. I am not tsate involved associations, organisms non government organizations, residents.