

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Villa d'Este, Tivoli

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Italy

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

1025

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

2001

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Villa d'Este, Tivoli	41.964 / 12.796	4.5	7	11.5	2001
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>4.5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.5</b>	

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Villa d'Este, Tivoli - map of inscribed property	16/12/2001	

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

**Comment**

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo  
Francesca Riccio Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39 06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Federica Galloni  
Regional Directorate for Cultural Heritage and Landscape of Lazio - MIBAC  
Direttore Regionale

**Comment**

Local Institution: Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo - MIBACT Marina Cogotti Director of Villa d'Este Piazza Trento, 5 00019 Tivoli (Roma) Telephone: +39 0774 312070 Fax: +39 0774 318080 Email: marina.cogotti@beniculturali.it

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Villa d'Este, Tivoli, \(Rome\) - Italy - Official Site](#)

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>								
3.7.7	Pests								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>								
3.10.1	Storms								
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>								
3.11.2	Earthquake								
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>								
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species								
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.7</b>	<b>Pests</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.7.8</b>	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>					
<b>3.12.2</b>	<b>Invasive/alien terrestrial species</b>	widespread	on-going	significant	high capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

I fattori relativi all'umidità ed ai microorganismi, il cui dato negativo incide soprattutto sui manufatti, sono connessi con il giardino, e pertanto sono di fatto fattori costitutivi, sui quali il livello di intervento è limitato.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The buffer zone could be extended in order to protect the surrounding landscape. It is necessary to promote the knowledge of the buffer zone among the inhabitants.

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Villa d'Este has been the property of the Italian government since 1920 and falls under the responsibility of the Ministry of Cultural Property and Activities. It has been protected by national legislation on the Protection of Artistic and Historic Property (No 1089 of 1 June 1939) since 1988 and by several decrees implemented by the Soprintendenza

per i Beni Ambientali e Architettonici del Lazio, the regional branch of the Ministry. According to these decrees, the external volumes, colours, and architectural lines of cultural property cannot be changed without the prior permission of the Ministry of Cultural Property and Activities. The Villa d'Este also benefits from regulations protecting landscapes prescribed in the law of the Ministry of Education (No 1497 of 29 June 1939, decrees of 29 September 1956 and of 11 May 1955). This landscape protection covers the entire buffer zone around the property. Protection of landscapes is also ensured through the application of the Territorial Plan for the Landscape of Sector 7 – Monterotondo–Tivoli, approved by the Regional Council (Decision No 4477 of 30 July 1999). A series of appropriate measures combine to safeguard the Villa d'Este and guarantee its protection.

Further to this, the site is protected by article 10, Legislation Decree, 22 January 2004, n. 42, Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code, with Ministerial Decree, 13 December 1988. The buffer zone, corresponding with the buildings near the Villa, is protected by article 45, Legislation Decree, with decrees of restraints and monumental protection. The buffer zone, corresponding with the area before the main entrance, is protected by Ministerial Decree, 17 January 1992, which forbid the wandering trade and improper use. All the area, both the site and the buffer zone, is protected by art. 146, Legislation Decree, 22 January 2004, n. 42, with Ministerial Decree, 11 July 1956 and 26 September 1956.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 9, 2005

##### • Question 6.02

Firstly, the site is protected by article 10, Legislation Decree, 22 January 2004, n. 42, Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code, with Ministerial Decree, 13 December 1988.

Secondly, the buffer zone, corresponding with the buildings near the Villa, is protected by article 45, Legislation Decree, with decrees of restraints and monumental protection.

Thirdly, the buffer zone, corresponding with the area before the main entrance, is protected by Ministerial Decree, 17 January 1992, which forbid the wandering trade and improper use.

Finally, all the area, both the site and the buffer zone, is protected by art. 146, Legislation Decree, 22 January 2004, n. 42, with Ministerial Decree, 11 July 1956 and 26 September 1956

#### Comment

Updating the name of the Ministry: Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism. Updating the name of Superintendence: Superintendence for the beautiful architectural and landscape for province of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti and Viterbo

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

**the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

Villa d'Este is a property of the Italian state and it is under a top-level protection. In the buffer zone there are specific measures of protection. However in the surrounding area of the buffer zone the measures of protection should be increased.

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

At national level, the management of the Villa d'Este falls under the responsibility of the Ministry of Cultural Property and Activities (Central Office for Archaeological, Architectural, Artistic, and Historical Property) and at regional level under the responsibility of the Soprintendenza per i Beni Ambientali e Architettonici del Lazio.

A new management plan was planned. The site is managed by the State Party under protective legislation.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 9, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: The new management plan, whose formulation is under way (see point 07.02), provides the constitution of a steering group, which will be named after the adoption of the plan.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

The management of Villa d'Este is committed to the Superintendence, which is a peripheral office of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism. At the moment a system of coordination between other involved authorities (for

example Region, Commune, stakeholders) is in the planning phase.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The management of Villa d'Este is directly implemented by the local Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape, peripheral office of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism, which is the institution responsible for the protection of cultural heritage from which derive both the financial and the human resources.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage**

**property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

G. DESNOYERS, La villa d'Este à Tivoli où le songe d'Hippolyte, Paris 2002. I. BARISI, M. FAGIOLO, M. L. MADONNA, Villa d'Este, Roma 2003. A. CENTRONI, Villa d'Este a Tivoli. Quattro secoli di storia e restauri, Roma 2008. C. OCCHIPINTI, Giardino delle Esperidi. Le tradizioni del mito e la storia di Villa d'Este a Tivoli, Roma 2009. M. COGOTTI, F.P. FIORE, Ippolito II d'Este, Roma 2013.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

The Documentation Centre of Villa d'Este is under construction. It involves the construction of databases dedicated to the following topics: bibliography, iconography, archival documents.

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not provided but needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

A program dedicated to the awareness of the OUV is provided in the management plan being prepared.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Since 2012 is disponibile Service Charter for visitors, published on the Website and available from the monumental site. The website also provides information and news dedicated to visitors.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

It would be appropriate that the economic revenue derived from tickets, from royalties on products sold, and from activities that provide for concessions paid use of interior spaces to the site, they were intended for the site.

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
<b>3.7.7</b>	<b>Pests</b>	ii, iii, iv, only for the garden.	Actions are programmed to combat the onset of the problem, through targeted pesticide treatments.	Monitoring is continuous and connected with the management system of the site.	Most of the treatments are regular and continuous basis, of a preventive nature. In the case of new issues, are provided with targeted treatments.	Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, where is a technical team.	In recent years occurred new infestations and diseases of the vegetation. In some cases they are not available antagonistic systems and remedies.
<b>3.7.8</b>	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	This local conditions has no significant impact on the criteria. It is a factor inherent with the kind of property.	Specific treatments are carried out even if partially for the shortage of the financial resources.	Monitoring is continuous and connected with the management system of the site.	The treatments are generally carried out on the occasion of maintenance and restoration.	Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, where is a technical team.	It is an aspect inherent with the nature of the site.
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
<b>3.12.2</b>	<b>Invasive/alien terrestrial species</b>	ii, iii, iv, only for the garden.	The actions are planned during the ordinary maintenance of the site.	Continuously.	The maintenance works are scheduled annually. Particularly, each year there will be 11 actions against rodents and 1 action against pigeons.	Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, where is a technical team.	-

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>							
			Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>4.1.5</b>	<b>The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners</b>		Actions for the knowledge of the buffer zone are in the planning phase (for ex. scientific publications). The boundary of the buffer zone has been improved by a landscape restoration.	Actions have been recently completed.	Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, where is a technical team.	Actions aimed to a better knowledge of the buffer zone will be scheduled in the drafting Management Plan.	
<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>							
<b>4.3.8</b>	<b>Local communities have no input into management decisions</b>	A Management Plan is being draft. In this plan, the management system will be established and described.	A management plan with a description of the management system is under construction.		Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, Central and local Administrations and stakeholders.	-	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>							
<b>4.4.3</b>	<b>The budget is inadequate for management needs</b>	Every year the local Amministration (Superintendence) prepares a plan, which contains the priorities. The Ministry allocates funds according to the availability of national budget.		Annually.	Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, Central and regional offices of the Ministry.	-	
<b>4.4.4</b>	<b>Existing sources of funding are not secure</b>	The funds may be less than the demand. In these cases the Superintendence performs only intervention that guarantee the maintenance of the values of the site		Annually.	Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, Central and regional offices of the Ministry.	The sources of funding are generally secured, but they can be underestimated compared to the needs.	
<b>4.7 Visitor Management</b>							



4.7.5	<b>Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters</b>	An action plan for the visitor management will be carried out with the drafting Management Plan.	A management plan with an action plan for the visitor management is under construction.	Superintendence of Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Rome, Frosinone, Latina, Rieti e Viterbo, Central and regional offices of the Ministry, stakeholders.	Improving and regulating the relationship between the site and the tourism industry is important for the sustainable development.
4.7.6	<b>Fees collected makes no contribution to the management of the property</b>	At the moment, a legislative amendment is in the planning phase, aimed at re-direct the revenue from entrance fees and concessions in the use of spaces of the site.	It is not possible to estimate the time of emanation of the law.	Parliament of the Italian state, Ministry.	A change in the law, that would give the revenue of entrance tickets and concessions spaces for payment to the site of the Villa d'Este, would solve the problems of conservation and maintenance of the monumental complex.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

Concern is expressed about the lack of resources in recent years, that has made possible to just do the maintenance, interrupting the restoration campaign.

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

-

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

-

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**