

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park with the Archeological Sites of Paestum and Velia, and the Certosa di Padula

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

842

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Paestum, Velia, the Certosa di Padula, Mount Cervati and the Vallo di Diano, Province of Salerno, Campania, Italy	40.283 / 15.483	1371	?	1371	1998
Punta Licosa and the Mount Stella region, Province of Salerno, Campania, Italy	40.248 / 14.928	111.29	?	111.29	1998
Capo Palinuro, Punta d. Infreschi and the Mount Bulgheria region, Province of Salerno, Campania, Italy	40.067 / 15.433	126.41	?	126.41	1998
Total (ha)		1608.7	0	1608.7	

Comment

the map site is upload and the value of buffer zone is involved

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park with the Archeological Sites of Paestum and Velia, and the Certosa di Padula	26/02/2009	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali Adele Lagi
Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO,
Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano,
27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232286 Fax: +39
06 67232105 Email: adele.lagi@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Amilcare Troiano
National Park of Cilento e Vallo del Diano
Presidente

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Informazioni turistiche e commerciali sul Cilento \(only in Italian\)](#)
- [Il Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano](#)

Comment

It is possible find the touristic information on the official web site of National park of Cilento, vallo di Diano and Alburni www.cilentoediano.it

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

National Park of Cilento, Vallo di Diano and Alburni is MAB of UNESCO(1997), Geopark (2010) and site of Mediterranean Diet as Cultural and intangible heritage of Humanity (2010)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.1	Housing								
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure								
3.3	Services Infrastructures								
3.3.1	Water infrastructure								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
3.4	Pollution								
3.4.5	Solid waste								
3.5	Biological resource use/modification								
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources								
3.5.2	Aquaculture								
3.5.3	Land conversion								
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals								
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production								
3.6	Physical resource extraction								
3.6.4	Water (extraction)								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.1	Wind								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.2	Flooding								
3.10.6	Temperature change								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.2	Earthquake								
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide								
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species								
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species								
3.13	Management and institutional factors								
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities								
3.13.3	Management activities								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.3 Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1 Water infrastructure	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3.4 Localised utilities	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.5 Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.2 Flooding	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.11 Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2 Earthquake	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11.4 Avalanche/ landslide	extensive	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.11.5 Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.11.6 Fire (wildfires)	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.5 Hyper-abundant species	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park are protected by two Italian statutes. The natural areas are covered by Law No 394/1991, which applies stringent controls over designated areas. A Presidential Decree (DPR) of 5 June 1995 established the Park and guaranteed the protection of both the natural environment and the built heritage; it also encouraged the preservation of the cultural landscape within a programme of sustainable development. All development must receive prior acceptance by the Park Authority and must be formally checked by the two Soprintendenze. The archaeological sites are covered by the basic Italian Law No 1089/1939 covering the protection of the cultural heritage. Heavy penalties are prescribed for breaches of its strict provisions.

The greatest part of Cilento's territory is also under the "PTP - Piano Territoriale Paesistico" (territorial plan for landscape) of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities. The archaeological sites of Paestum and Velia and the Certosa di Padula are State property, protected by state protection laws (Legislative Decree 22nd January 2004, n. 42 - Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code). Paestum archaeological site is furthermore protected for a distance of 1Km from its walls by Special Law n. 220 of 1957. Velia is protected by the Regional Law 8th February 2005, n.5 for the Contitution of a landscape-environmental area around the ancient town of Velia. The Certosa di S.Lorenzo at Padula is protected under the Legislative Decree of 22nd January 2004, n. 42, being a monument of interest. A buffer zone has been created by Ministerial Decree around the Certosa protecting a vast area.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

• Question 6.02

The Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park is protected by the Presidential decree (DPR) of 5.6.1995 and the law 349 of 1991. All development must receive prior acceptance by the Park Authority and must be formally checked by the two Soprintendenze.

The greatest part of Cilento's territory is also under the "PTP - Piano Territoriale Paesistico" (territorial plan for landscape) of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities.

The archaeological sites of Paestum and Velia and the Certosa di Padula are State property, protected by state protection laws (Legislative Decree 22nd January 2004, n. 42 - Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code).

Paestum archaeological site is furthermore protected for a distance of 1Km from its walls by Special Law n. 220 of 1957.

Velia is protected by the Regional Law 8th February 2005, n.5 for the Contitution of a landscape-environmental area around the ancient town of Velia.

The Certosa di S.Lorenzo at Padula is protected under the Legislative Decree of 22nd January 2004, n. 42, being a monument of interest. A buffer zone has been created by Ministerial Decree around the Certosa protecting a vaste area.

Comment

In addition to the above protective designation, in 2010 the master plan of the park was approved. In particular, the Park has divided the areas of natural quality into four levels based on the biogeographic value, maturity (stability) of biotic communities, sensibility of the hydrological equilibrium, in addition to biological or geological emergencies (Areas A integral reserves and B oriented general reserves, C Protection areas and D economic and social promotion area).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Ownership of properties within the area is both private and public. Administration of the Park is the responsibility of the Ente Parco Nazionale di Cilento e il Vallo di Diano. This is a public body, with a full-time President and professional staff; the work is supervised by a governing council, with representatives of national, regional, and local institutions and authorities, and a management group consisting of senior staff, consultants, and other specialists. The Park comes under the aegis of the Ministry of the Environment. Its authorization is required for all activities within the Park that may have an impact on its quality and status. It works closely and harmoniously with the authorities of those communes that are wholly or partially within the Park, which are very supportive of its work, as is the Provincial Administration of Salerno. Each of the communes is required under Italian planning law to produce a Piano Regolatore Generale (District Plan), but this has not been done. However, the Park authorities have powers which override those of communes in planning matters. It is also worth noting that much of the Park is National Forest, which comes under the strict controls of the Italian Forest Law. At the present time the presentational material relating to the Park is minimal.

There is plan for the establishment of a shared participation "Trust for the Cultural District of Cilento and Vallo di Diano". The two Soprintendenze and the Park Authority are studying the possibility of a workgroup for the development of a management plan of the whole Site and the selection of objectives and strategies for cultural, environmental and touristic growth and development offered by inclusion in UNESCO's List.

The archaeological sites of Paestum and Velia, the Certosa and the other historical buildings and archaeological remains are all State property, managed by the State. The land is under the management of the Park Authority and Local Councils while Ecclesiastical property is managed by the

Church and parishes. Private property is under private management.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: The establishment of a shared participation "Trust for the Cultural District of Cilento and Vallo di Diano". The two Soprintendenze and the Park Authority are studying the possibility of a workgroup for the development of a management plan of the whole Site and the selection of objectives and strategies for cultural, environmental and touristic growth and development offered by inclusion in UNESCO's List.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Management under traditional protective measures or customary law
- Other effective management system

The archaeological sites of Paestum and Velia, the Certosa and the other historical buildings and archaeological remains are all State property, managed by the State. The land is under the management of the Park Authority and Local Councils while Ecclesiastical property is managed by the Church and parishes. Private property is under private management.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The Park Authority approved Master Plan in 2010 to control the site. In addition, a management plan was drafted

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable

Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

In addition to the above protective designation, in 2010 the master plan of the park was approved. In particular, the Park has identified the areas of natural quality into four levels based on the biogeographic value , maturity (stability) of biotic communities, sensibility of the hydrological equilibrium, in addition to biological or geological emergencies (Areas A integral reserves and B oriented general reserves, C Protection areas and D economic and social promotion area)

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	50%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	50%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO´s, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%

Other grants	0%
--------------	----

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment
not applicable

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	85%
Volunteer	15%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
-------------------------	------

Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	1.changes in microclimate 2. green economy	control by Master Plan of National Park	periodic and continuous measurements of the rivers flows	every year	Centro ISIDE, private agency to control the dams	there is a relationship with the Campania Region for the control
3.3.4	Localised utilities	Many companies require installation of Cell phone towers in places where they are already widespread	control by Master Plan of National Park	evaluation of the density of antennas close to villages Environmental Impact Assessment	verification each time there is a new request of installation	National park	we are preparing guidelines to better control
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.2	Flooding	flooding: damage to infrastructure (buildings) and agriculture	interventions of extremely urgent and designing interventions for funding	inspections for damage assessment	verification each time there is a flood	Campania Region and National Park	Agreement with campania region to project the intervention
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.2	Earthquake	earthquake: damage to infrastructure (buildings) and agriculture	interventions of extremely urgent and designing interventions for funding	inspections for damage assessment	verification each time there is earthquake	Italian Government and Campania Region	no responsibility for this aspect, only on our properties
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide	landslides: damage to infrastructure (buildings) and agriculture	interventions of extremely urgent and designing interventions for funding	inspections for damage assessment	verification each time there is landslide	Campania Region and National Park	Agreement with campania region to project the intervention
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	during the summer fires occur with damage to forests and human activities	interventions of extremely urgent, plain for the fire by National Park and new project prescribed burning to prevent fires	inspections for damage assessment and for prevention by forest wardness	verification each time there is a fire and every year	Campania Region and National Park	the project prescribed burning has been prepared in conjunction with experts from the Portuguese Ministry

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	National Park implemented the goals of the master plane	every year	National park and the several institution that worked into the UNESCO Site	we need more founding	
4.7 Visitor Management						
4.7.6	Fees collected makes no contribution to the management of the property	The National Park is conducting a survey to understand and define the target of visitors, to better direct the management. the fees collected are used for site maintenance	every year	National Park and several association	we need more founding	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: the map site is upload and the value of buffer zone is involved

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise