

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Archaeological Area and the Patriarchal Basilica of Aquileia

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

825

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Archaeological Area and the Patriarchal Basilica of Aquileia	45.768 / 13.368	155.3	0	155.3	1998
Total (ha)		155.3	0	155.3	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Archaeological Area and the Patriarchal Basilica of Aquileia - Map of the inscribed property	01/07/1996	

Comment

A more complete version of the map that do not imply any modification of boundaries or other data about the property is available.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo Adele Lagi Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale, Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone +39 06 67232683 e-mail adele.lagi@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Alviano Scarel
Fondazione Aquileia
Presidente della Fondazione

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [AQVILEIA](#)

Comment

<http://www.fondazioneaquileia.it>; <http://www.beniculturali.it>;
<http://www.basilicadiaquileia.it>;

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

polluted soil (hydrocarbons, lead and other heavy metals)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure					
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities					
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.5	Crop production					
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.4	Water (extraction)					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)					
3.7.7	Pests					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.2	Flooding					
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	low capacity	static
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.5	Crop production	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.6	Physical resource extraction					

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.6.4	Water (extraction)	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	widespread	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	extensive	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.7	Pests	extensive	on-going	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.2	Flooding	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	extensive	frequent	minor	low capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The values of the Unesco site refer to the central archaeological area which is already protected. However, a buffer zone would be desirable in the future in spite of the management difficulties.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Almost all areas with archaeological monuments are State property; the private areas with archaeological remains are protected by restrictions, based on the national Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, n. 42, "Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code", so that every project regarding these areas must be authorized by the Soprintendenza.

The Basilica and its area are also protected by a further restriction regarding the surrounding buildings, to safeguard the dignity and visibility of the monuments, for example with limits to their height.

The town-planning (PRG) also protects the integrity of the whole historical centre; it provides also protection of the necropolises along the roads outside the urban area, where the projects involving excavations must be authorized.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

• Question 6.02

Almost all areas with archaeological monuments are State property; the private areas with archaeological remains are protected by restrictions, based on the national Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, n. 42, "Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code", so that every project regarding these areas must be authorized by the Soprintendenza.

The Basilica and its area are also protected by a further restrictions regarding the surrounding buildings, to safeguard the dignity and visibility of the monuments, for example with limits to their height.

The town-planning (PRG) also protects the integrity of the whole historical centre; it provides also protection of the necropolises along the roads outside the urban area, where the projects involving excavations must be authorized.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Overall responsibility for supervision of the protection legislation rests with the Soprintendenza Archeologica per i

Beni Ambientali, Architettonici, Artistici, e Storici for Friuli-Venezia Giulia, based in Trieste, which manages the archaeological sites and museums. It has a comprehensive plan for the management of the properties within its care, which provides for regular conservation projects and also special research and restoration activities. The church authorities manage the Basilican Complex and they have a detailed programme of conservation and restoration activities, the latter currently concentrating on the baptistery (with technical assistance from the Soprintendenza). The Comune actively controls all activities within its competence. It is worthy of comment that it is very supportive of all activities designed to extend the protection and presentation of its heritage. A proposal by the Province of Udine for the designation of an archaeological park at Aquileia has been under discussion for some years but has made little progress, because of policy differences between the Province and national authorities. However, these are moving towards resolution and the project is likely to reach fruition in the course of the next decade. A project for the construction of an interpretation centre to the north of the forum, in an area that has been exhaustively excavated, is currently under way and will replace the current provision in the Archaeological Museum. The Regional Authority of Friuli Venezia Giulia has taken charge of drafting the management plan. The "Società per la conservazione della Basilica di Aquileia" Foundation is the organism established for the management of the Basilica at the beginning of the XX century, including members from the Bishop's court of Gorizia and laymen.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":
The Regional Authority of Friuli Venezia Giulia has taken charge of drafting the management plan, that is now under consideration

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

"Società per la conservazione della Basilica di Aquileia" Foundation, organism established for the management of the Basilica at the beginning of the XX century, including members from the Bishop's court of Gorizia and laymen.

Comment

Regional Law 18/06 on the foundation of the FONDAZIONE AQUILEIA. On Jan 9, 08 the "STRATEGIC AGREEMENT FOR THE VALORIZATION OF THE CULTURAL PATRIMONY OF THE TOWN OF AQUILEIA" was undersigned by the Friuli Region and MIBACT with the purpose of transferring to the Fondazione Aquileia the use of 11 open air archaeological areas granted and to be granted in the future in 3 stages. The proposal by the Province of Udine for the establishment of an Archaeological Park was not taken into consideration

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A Management Plan has been drafted but not yet sent to the World Heritage Centre.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists but **few of the activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	93%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	2%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	70%
Part-time	30%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage

property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations, but not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has **partially influenced** education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed **but improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The entry charges collected by So.co.ba. alone contribute to the management of the Basilica. As regards the tourism

industry, the Internal Revenue Service (Turismo FVG) and private organizations are in charge.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Not applicable
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	all	The Town Planning Board foresees a variation to the Regional Road 352 which currently intersects the archaeological site. A study on its applicability has been drawn by the Province of Udine.	The study on the applicability of the variation was drawn in 2013 and is currently being delayed by the Stability and Growth Pact	not predictable	Friuli Venezia Giulia Region - Province of Udine and Town of Aquileia	no comment
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	all	Traffic will be rerouted after the construction of the variation to Regional Road 352	periodical	not predictable	Friuli Venezia Giulia Region - Province of Udine and Town of Aquileia	no comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	all	Maintenance is planned.	Maintenance is planned.	periodical	Government department responsible for archaeological heritage in FVG - Fondazione Aquileia and So.co.Ba.	no comment
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	all	Extra maintenance and extension of the rainwater and aquifer pumping system	regular maintenance	periodical	Government department responsible for archaeological heritage in FVG - Fondazione Aquileia and So.co.Ba.	no comment
3.7.7	Pests	all	Preventive pesticide treatment	Periodical check by the local health center	periodical	Local health center in charge and Town of Aquileia	no comment
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	all	Extra maintenance programme of cleaning the archaeological structures	Ordinary maintenance of archaeological structures	periodical	Government department responsible for archaeological heritage in FVG - Fondazione Aquileia and So.co.Ba.	no comment

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.6	Few of the work plan activities implemented	Greater coordination among the stakeholders in charge of management of activities depending on the judicial nature of the stakeholders.	Periodical	All the institutions and authorities involved.	The uncertainty regarding the amount and timing of founding influences the programming of the activities of the managing entities.		
4.4 Financial and Human Resources							
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	Greater use of European funding and private sponsorship	Depends on frequency of tender notices/always	All parties and institutions involved	no comment		
4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	implementation of an ongoing professional development programme for the people and workers managing the site.	Periodical	All entities and institutions involved	The operators of the entities in charge of management have adequate training.		
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building							
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Programme for a wider distribution of information among the various levels of parties benefiting from the site.	Periodical	All the entities and institutions involved	no comment		

4.6.4	World Heritage status has partially influenced education, information and awareness building activities	Ongoing programming of an informational plan extended to the various levels of parties benefiting from the site.	periodical	All the entities and institutions involved	no comment
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Promotion of a monitoring program shared by all the managing bodies	periodical	All the entities and institutions involved	no comment

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local community
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The concepts "relevant" and "not relevant" and the general idea of "impact" are not easily understandable.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

• Map(s)

Reason for update: A more complete version of the map that do not imply any modification of boundaries or other data about the property is available.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise