

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Early Christian Monuments of Ravenna

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Italy

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

788

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**







1996

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Mausoleum of Galla Placidia, Ravenna , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.421 / 12.197	0.01	4.5	4.51	1996
Church of St. Vitale, Ravenna , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.42 / 12.196	0.14	0	0.14	1996
Neonian Baptistry, Ravenna , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.416 / 12.198	0.01	0	0.01	1996
Archiepiscopal Chapel, Ravenna , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.416 / 12.198	0.002	3.02	3.022	1996
Basilica of St. Apollinaire Nuovo, Ravenna , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.417 / 12.205	0.17	2.92	3.09	1996
Arian Baptistry, Ravenna , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.419 / 12.202	0.008	0.68	0.688	1996
Mauseoleum of Theodoric, Ravenna , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.425 / 12.209	0.014	21.6	21.614	1996

Basilica of St. Apollinare in Classe, Classe , Province of Ravenna , Region of Emilia Romagna , Italy	44.38 / 12.232	0.96	33.14	34.1	1996
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>1.314</b>	<b>65.86</b>	<b>67.174</b>	

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Arian Baptistry, scale 1:1000	16/01/2008	
Mausoleum of Galla Placidia Basilica of San Vitale, scale 1:1500	16/01/2008	
Neonian Baptistry Oratory of S. Andrea – Archiepiscopal Chapel, scale 1:1500	16/01/2008	
Sant'Apollinare Nuovo, scale 1:1500	16/01/2008	
Mausoleum of Theodoric, scale 1:4000	16/01/2008	
Basilica of Sant'Apollinare in Classe, scale 1:1500	16/01/2008	

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Maria Grazia Marini  
Municipality of Ravenna  
Director  
Tourism Service and Cultural Activities

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [Tourismo a Ravenna](http://www.turismo.ra.it)
2. [www.vista.it/zoom/comra/web/](http://www.vista.it/zoom/comra/web/)

**Comment**

This point needs to be modified with the following website addresses and descriptions: 1. Ravenna Turismo e Cultura ([www.turismo.ra.it](http://www.turismo.ra.it)) 2. Soprintendenza Beni Architettonici e Paesaggistici ([www.soprintendenzaravenna.beniculturali.it](http://www.soprintendenzaravenna.beniculturali.it)) 3. Arcidiocesi di Ravenna-Cervia ([www.ravenna-cervia.chiesacattolica.it](http://www.ravenna-cervia.chiesacattolica.it))

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.3	Industrial areas					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure					
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities					
3.3.4	Localised utilities					
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.4	Air pollution					
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>					
3.6.3	Oil and gas					
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
3.9.3	Military training					
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.1	Housing	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.1.3	Industrial areas	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.3.4	Localised utilities	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.4	Air pollution	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>					
3.6.3	Oil and gas	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
3.9.3	Military training	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The urban instruments in effect in the area of Ravenna are based on principles that concern development and sustainability, urban quality and the quality of the area, transparency, participation and collaboration among institutions. The sustainable development system is rooted in the culture of the area of which the historic buildings bear witness to a historical identity interwoven with antiquity and persistence.

The General Urban Planning Scheme 2003 (GUPS) requires the intentional sustainable valorisation of 'local qualities' to ensure Ravenna a position in global networks. 'Local qualities' is intended to mean the sum, or rather the integration of the resources of the 'territorial patrimony' (nature, history and culture) into planning. A prominent feature is the orientation of tourism towards a form of quality where the range of

accommodation offered is oriented towards integrated services for leisure time with particular reference to the use of natural heritage and assets of the historical, artistic and architectural types and the production of new culture. It is for this reason that the plan places particular attention on natural resources and cultural assets and activities present in the territory so that they are maintained, qualified and improved in order to interact and reinforce the association and identity as city of art and.

The same thing happened with the planning of the sector in the Strategic City Plan (SCP), its natural point of reference, and helps to define its content in terms of rigour and completeness, but which must also develop independently and specifically its options which constitute planning and design levels on a greater scale and with greater detail. The preliminary document of the SCP was approved in November 2003.

The Territorial Plan for Provincial Coordination (TPPC) is the overall planning instrument in which the city plan actively plays a part by dealing with and proposing solutions for matters concerning its own territory at a level higher than the city in a spirit of co-planning with the Province of Ravenna.

### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

The urban instruments in effect in the area of Ravenna are based on principles that concern development and sustainability, urban quality and the quality of the area, transparency, participation and collaboration among institutions. The sustainable development system is rooted in the culture of the area of which the historic buildings bear witness to a historical identity interwoven with antiquity and persistence.

The General Urban Planning Scheme 2003 (GUPS) requires the intentional sustainable valorisation of 'local qualities' to ensure Ravenna a position in global networks. 'Local qualities' is intended to mean the sum, or rather the integration of the resources of the 'territorial patrimony' (nature, history and culture) into planning. A prominent feature is the orientation of tourism towards a form of quality where the range of accommodation offered is oriented towards integrated services for leisure time with particular reference to the use of natural heritage and assets of the historical, artistic and architectural types and the production of new culture. It is for this reason that the plan places particular attention on natural resources and cultural assets and activities present in the territory so that they are maintained, qualified and improved in order to interact and reinforce the association and identity as city of art and.

The same thing happened with the planning of the sector in the Strategic City Plan (SCP), its natural point of reference, and helps to define its content in terms of rigour and completeness, but which must also develop independently and specifically its options which constitute planning and design levels on a greater scale and with greater detail. The preliminary document of the SCP was approved in November 2003.

The Territorial Plan for Provincial Coordination (TPPC) is the overall planning instrument in which the city plan actively plays a part by dealing with and proposing solutions for matters concerning its own territory at a level higher than the city in a spirit of co-planning with the Province of Ravenna.

#### Comment

The city plan and urban management tools are inspired by the principles of development, sustainability, quality, transparency, participation and equity. The City Structural Plan defines aims

and strategic choices of the territory. The Provincial Coordination Local Plan, approved in February 2006, defines the layout of the territory on a bigger level than the one of the single local councils. The Building Urban Code, approved in July 2009, regulates the urban and rural territory.

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

A formally constituted management committee has been set up in 2005 to guide the management of the site. On 14/02/2005 and institutional agreement drawn up by the Municipality of Ravenna, Regional Management of Cultural Heritage and Landscape of Emilia-Romagna, the Superintendency for Architectural Heritage and landscape of Ravenna and the Archdiocese of Ravenna and Cervia, was put into legal form in order to produce a Management Plan, a necessary instrument for defining and making operational a system of protection and development procedures. In the context of the agreement a coordination committee was formed presided over by the Municipal administration of Ravenna and consisting of representatives of the promoting bodies. The purpose of this committee is to define strategies and measures for pursuing the objectives of knowledge, protection, promotion and compatible enhancement of the site. The mandate of the committee is the coordination of competent bodies in regard to the assets, in order to produce

an integrated model of management and the Public Bodies and Associations that contribute to the production of culture, for the purpose of including the resource represented by the site in the context of the social and economic development strategies of the territory with a view to knowledge and protection.

The management organisation of the site has a complex structure, at the first level consisting of the owners, the Church and the State, in the persons of their relative management bodies, 'Opera di Religione' and Superintendency, and a system level. In fact the real management is the responsibility for the owners. In particular the 'Opera di Religione' of the Archdiocese of Ravenna and Cervia directly manages the Basilica of San Vitale, Mausoleum of Galla Placidia, Archiepiscopal Chapel and the Neonian Baptistery and Sant'Apollinare Nuovo, while Sant'Apollinare in Classe, the Arian Baptistery and Theodoric's Mausoleum are managed by the Superintendency for architectural heritage and landscape of Ravenna, a peripheral arm of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities. The Municipality of Ravenna then has the role of managing development and promotion policies of the territory in which the cultural assets comprising the site are located.

For the purpose of ensuring an adequate level of coordination and integration among the bodies concerned at a higher level of coordinated management has been formed, by the agreement mentioned in paragraph 05.02, through the coordination committee presided over by the Municipal Administration, whose first act was to produce the UNESCO Historical Buildings Management Plan, an instrument necessary for optimising the inclusion of sites in an urban fabric in which they are deeply embedded by means of including in a system the many artistic features and deep cultural forces that are the origin and future of Ravenna. The Municipality of Ravenna- Tourism and Cultural Activities Service is responsible for over-seeing the implementation of the management plan and monitoring its effectiveness. A UNESCO office has been set up in the ambit of the Service by legislative act LW number 262/05 of 23/08/2005.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• **Question 5.02**

Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• **Question 5.03**

**Set up date:** 2005

**Function:** On 14/02/2005 and institutional agreement drawn up by the Municipality of Ravenna, Regional Management of Cultural Heritage and Landscape of Emilia-Romagna, the Superintendency for Architectural Heritage and Landscape of Ravenna and the Archdiocese of Ravenna and Cervia, was put into legal form in order to produce a Management Plan, a necessary instrument for defining and making operational a system of protection and development procedures. In the context of the agreement a coordination committee was formed presided over by the Municipal administration of Ravenna and consisting of representatives of the promoting bodies. The purpose of this committee is to define strategies and measures for pursuing the objectives of knowledge, protection, promotion and compatible enhancement of the site.

**Mandate:** The mandate of the committee is the coordination of competent bodies in regard to the assets, in order to produce an integrated model of management and the Public Bodies and Associations that contribute to the production of culture, for the purpose of including the resource represented by the site in the context of the social and

economic development strategies of the territory with a view to knowledge and protection.

**Constituted:** formal

**• Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- o Management by the State Party
- o Other effective management system

The management organisation of the site has a complex structure, at the first level consisting of the owners, the Church and the State, in the persons of their relative management bodies, 'Opera di Religione' and Superintendency, and a system level. In fact the real management is the responsibility for the owners. In particular the 'Opera di Religione' of the Archdiocese of Ravenna and Cervia directly manages the Basilica of San Vitale, Mausoleum of Galla Placidia, Archiepiscopal Chapel and the Neonian Baptistery and Sant'Apollinare Nuovo, while Sant'Apollinare in Classe, the Arian Baptistery and Theodoric's Mausoleum are managed by the Superintendency for architectural heritage and landscape of Ravenna, a peripheral arm of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities. The Municipality of Ravenna then has the role of managing development and promotion policies of the territory in which the cultural assets comprising the site are located.

For the purpose of ensuring an adequate level of coordination and integration among the bodies concerned at a higher level of coordinated management has been formed, by the agreement mentioned in paragraph 05.02, through the coordination committee presided over by the Municipal Administration, whose first act was to produce the Unesco Historical Buildings Management Plan, an instrument necessary for optimising the inclusion of sites in an urban fabric in which they are deeply embedded by means of including in a system the many artistic features and deep cultural forces that are the origin and future of Ravenna.

**Comment**

The management of the site involves the actual owners, Church and State, with their respective management bodies: Opera di Religione for the Basilica of San Vitale, Mausoleum of Galla Placidia, Archiepiscopal Chapel, Neonian Baptistery and Sant'Apollinare Nuovo, the Superintendency for the Basilica of Sant'Apollinare in Classe, Arian Baptistery and Theodoric's Mausoleum. The Municipality of Ravenna provides development and promotion policies and the Regional Direction supervises all activities.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

We are finishing to complete the updated version of the Management Plan that is due to publication soon. As soon as possible, this document will be sent to the World Heritage Centre.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but **little or no cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World**

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	70%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	10%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	20%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Costs related to conservation (restoration, prevention, studies and researches) are supplied by the Superintendency (State owned and managed) thanks to national fundings and thanks to tickets and tourist services incomes. The Municipality takes care of environmental interventions and sustainability of fruition.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	93%
Part-time	7%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	75%
Seasonal	25%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	98%
Volunteer	2%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Low
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The management and preservation expertise are implemented on a local basis thanks to the skills of the Superintendency for Environmental and Architectural Heritage and of the Archdiocese of Ravenna.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Since the last periodic report, a series of 26 papers/books about the World Heritage property have been published up to now. The complete bibliography is available at our offices (Tourism and Cultural Activities Service - Municipality of Ravenna).

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value



**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing	(I) (II) (III) (IV)	Control of private actions so that they are neither building violations nor cause of chemical, environmental and structural damage	Monitoring of compliance with the existing planning by skilled professionals	Annual and triennial	Superintendency for Environmental and Architectural Heritage, Municipality of Ravenna - Urban Service	/
3.1.3	Industrial areas	(I) (II) (III) (IV)	Convert dismissed areas in cultural buildings and services Contrast to creation of new industrial complexes	The Municipality of Ravenna does the monitoring activities in the scope of its specific planning in accordance with the Superintendency for Environmental and Architectural Heritage	Quinquennial planning and annual monitoring	Municipality of Ravenna - Urban Service	/
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities	(I) (II) (III) (IV)	Fostering of reconversion to renewable energy facilities	Quinquennial planning and annual monitoring	Quinquennial activity	Municipality of Ravenna	/
3.3.4	Localised utilities	(I) (II) (III) (IV)	Revision and upgrade of urban mobility plan	Quinquennial monitoring	Biannual check	Municipality of Ravenna - Viability Service	/
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.4	Air pollution	(I) (II) (III) (IV)	Weekly traffic interruption for vehicles not in line with latest pollution restrictions Communication of air pollution values and awareness campaign Reduction of domestic heating systems activity	Specific air pollution substances survey Traffic control Domestic heating monitoring	Weekly and biannual	Municipality of Ravenna - Environment Service	/
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>						
3.6.3	Oil and gas	(I) (II) (III) (IV)	Reduction of off-shore gas platforms extracting activity Coast protection (rocks, sand, dikes and barriers)	Biannual	Annual and triennial	Municipality of Ravenna, Province of Ravenna, Emilia - Romagna Region, ENI industries	/

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no cooperation with industry regarding management	Monitoring of industrial stakeholders to be involved in the management of the site	Triennial activity	Municipality of Ravenna	/		
4.4 Financial and Human Resources							
4.4.13	Promotion	New financial and human resources sourcing in the scope of the 2019 European Capital of Culture project	Quinquennial	Municipality of Ravenna	/		
4.8 Monitoring							
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	Key indicators definition is being implemented in the management plan that is going to be finished and published soon	6 months	Municipality of Ravenna	/		

4.8.4	<b>Implementation of Committee recommendations is planned, but has not yet begun</b>	Creation of a specific staff in order to plan and schedule the implementation of the Committee recommendations	Quinquennial	Municipality of Ravenna	/
-------	--	--	--------------	-------------------------	---

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Non Governmental Organization
External experts
Others

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**