

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

The *Trulli* of Alberobello

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

787

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996







1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Casa d'Amore	0 / 0	0.01	?	0.01	
Piazza del Mercato	0 / 0	0.1	?	0.1	
Museo Storico	0 / 0	0.076	?	0.076	
Trullo Sovrano	0 / 0	0.13	?	0.13	
Quartiere Aja Piccola	0 / 0	2.4	?	2.4	
Quartiere Monti	0 / 0	7.8	?	7.8	
Total (ha)		10.516	0	10.516	

Comment

The coordinates assigned to the centroids of the components in the current maps are incorrect. The correct coordinates are: Casa d'Amore (40°47'4.32"N- 17°14'16.99"E) Piazza del Mercato (40°47'2.77"N - 17°14'19.30"E) Museo Storico (40°47'2.73"N - 17°14'20.84"E) Rione Aja Piccola (40°46'59.60"N - 17°14'23.50"E) Rione Monti (40°46'55.58"N - 17°14'10.94"E)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Casa D'Amore, scale 1:500	03/12/2007	
Piazza del Mercato, scale 1:300	03/12/2007	
Museo Storico, scale 1:300	03/12/2007	
Trullo Sovrano, scale 1:500	03/12/2007	
Aja Piccola Quarter, scale 1:1000	03/12/2007	
Monti Quarter, scale 1:2000	03/12/2007	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo
Francesca Riccio Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39 06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Domenica L'Abbate
Municipality of Alberobello - Assessorato al centro storico e all'urbanistica
Assessore urbanistica

Comment

Michele Maria Longo Mayor of Alberobello Piazza del Popolo 32 70011 Alberobello (BA) Italy Telephone: +39 080-4036235 Fax: +39 080 4325325 email: sindaco@comune.alberobello.ba.it

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [Comune di Alberobello \(only in Italian\)](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)(v)

Comment

The Committee decided to inscribe the nominated property on the basis of cultural criteria (iii), (iv) and (v) considering that the site is of outstanding universal value being an exceptional example of a form of building construction deriving from prehistoric construction techniques that have survived intact and functioning into the modern world. (World Heritage Committee decision, 1996). The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.5	Major linear utilities					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	localised	frequent	significant	low capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

An update of town planning tools is required.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Monti Quarter was declared a National Monument in 1928. The Monti and Aja Piccola Quarters were declared a monumental area by legislative decree in 1930. Law Number 1089/1939, which imposes control over any works within or in the vicinity of the protected monuments, is also applicable to these areas.

The trulli are largely in private ownership, though certain of them have been acquired by the Town Council of Alberobello.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 16, 2005

• Question 6.02

The norms which protect the site are dictated by state (legislative decree 22 January 2004, Code for cultural

heritage and landscape), regional and municipal laws. All the Historical Center is undergoing Retrieval Plans provided for by the Piano Regolatore Generale approved in 1980, which found out some areas to submit to Retrieval Plans of both the Historical Center and of the Environment. In order to carry on such plans the whole Historical Monumental Center and the environment have been checked, any building unit has been recorded and the whole building tissue has been studied both from the urban and from the environmental point of view. The restoration techniques of trulli have been studied, codified and included in the Handbook for the Restoration of Trulli

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Management and preservation involve both public institutions and private citizens in proportion to the particular asset of property on the site. The Municipality carries on a conference of permanent services with all the societies that offer services in the included areas (Telecom, Enel).

In 2005, the Municipality had set up a qualified team working at the Management Plan and also charged with the management and the running of the site. A management plan was finally presented in 2011. It will last for five years. The primary objective of the Management Plan for the UNESCO site "Trulli of Alberobello" is to ensure the preservation of integrity and authenticity of the site universal values, which make it important for the whole of humanity, so that the future generations can

understand, appreciate and use them. Therefore, the management plan core is a research targeted to promote activities and projects aimed at the valorization of the cultural and socio - economic potentialities of the UNESCO site included in the town of Alberobello. The plan develops on four main parts: 1. the site description and the evaluation of its universal value; 2. the identification of the other values of the site and the definition of its peculiar characteristics; the definition of historical and economic limits of the territory; the identification of the key stakeholders; the current site management; the planning tools in force at a local and at a more extended level; the vision for the future of the UNESCO site, as emerged through a large number of initiative for the involvement of the population (particularly of the schools of Alberobello); the "SWOT analysis"; 3. strategies and objectives for the UNESCO site "Trulli of Alberobello"; definition of management projects, with definition of timing, costs, implementation modalities and funding sources to be drawn on to cover the necessary expenses; 4. mechanisms for the implementation and monitoring of the plan.

The General Housing Plan (GHP) of the Town of Alberobello was approved by the Bari Provincial Council in October 1978. The Soprintendenza per i Beni Ambientali e Architettonici di Puglia is responsible for overseeing aspects of the Plan relating to the Quarters and monuments Subject to law No 1089/1939. The properties that are the subject of this nomination are identified as restoration areas within the historic town centre. Key elements of the GHP in respect of these properties are the systematic purchase of groups of neglected or abandoned houses with special architectural and historical features and structural reassessment and reconstruction of properties so as to eliminate undesirable or unnecessary interventions. The GHP includes two types of Reassessment Plan. The Reassessment and Preservative Reconstruction Plans are concerned with the preservation of the physical features of the built-up area. The Recovery, Reassessment, and Reconstruction Plans provide for combined interventions, either for conservation reassessment and reconstruction (including demolition where deemed essential) or for reconstructions resulting in changes in 55 the structural aspects of the areas concerned. Both types of plan are restricted to small areas defined in the GHP as "project areas." Regional Law NO 72/1979 is a source of financial support for work connected with the *trulli*. It allocates funds for the Alberobello Town Council to expropriate, purchase, restore, and preserve properties, and contributions to enable private owners to carry out necessary works. A Historic Town Sector within the Alberobello Town Planning Office is responsible for implementing this law. It has been responsible for carrying out a systematic study of all the *trulli* in the town Using aerial photography. All projects coming within this programme are subject to the approval of the Soprintendenza. When Regional Law NO 72/1979 ceased to apply, the Town was granted almost 1 billion lire (US\$ 450,000) of European Union financing administered by the Puglia Region. This is for the same categories Of works as those covered by the Law.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2


Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 16, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":
The Municipality is setting up a qualified team working at the working out of the Management Plan. It will also be charged with the management and the running of the site.
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation
 - Consensual management

- Other effective management system
Management and preservation involve both public institutions and private citizens in proportion to the particular asset of property on the site. The Municipality carries on a conference of permanent services with all the societies that offer services in the included areas (Telecom, Enel)

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan_Trulli Alberobello_2011	N/A	Available	17/03/2011	

Comment

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is a range of administrative bodies / levels involved in management but there is **little or no coordination** between them for managing different aspects of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Non-existent
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	10%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	10%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	20%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	60%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Education	Not available
Visitor management	Not available
Conservation	Low
Administration	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is drafted or in place, but is **not being implemented**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for most key areas but there are gaps

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared with local participants and some national agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a limited and *ad hoc* education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, but it could be improved

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but improvements could be made

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

There isn't an organic document about v/sitor Management.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1 Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing (iii), (v)	Preparation of a new Master Plan which would govern the new transformations.	There is an administrative monitoring on public works.	Within 1 year a review of the "Preliminary Planning Document" is planned.	Town of Alberobello, residents.	In the last few years have been built residential areas close to the monumental areas.
3.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure (iii)	It is expected the closure to vehicular traffic of the two districts monumental.	There are no permanent survey stations of vehicular flows.	2 years	Town of Alberobello.	The reorganization of viability will provide alternative access to some residential areas, currently accessible only through the Rione Monti.
3.3 Services Infrastructures						
3.3.5	Major linear utilities (iv)	Landfill of wires in monumental areas.	The information on the wires are not collected in a systematic way.	2 years	Town of Alberobello, Suppliers electricity	In 2008, television antennas have been removed from the trulli, there is still the problem of wires.
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation (iii)	Drafting a Visitor Management Plan.	There are no data on the daily tourism.	18 months	Town of Alberobello, associations.	The Building Regulations prohibits the change of use of the trulli in 2007.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	Definition of a buffer zone.	8 months	Town of Alberobello, Research Centres	The current Management Plan contains a proposal for the delimitation of a buffer zone based on the landscape planning tool.	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	Definition of an funding strategy.	2 years	Town of Alberobello	The redefinition of the methods of funding the site is required.	
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Strengthening the Historic Centre Bureau	2 years	Town of Alberollo	There is an Historic Centre Bureau that should be reinforced with new skills	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Realization of a multi-year program intended for Educational Institutions.	1 year	Town of Alberobello, research centers	Projects related with education and information should to be included in the new management plan.	
4.7 Visitor Management						
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	Fulfillment of a study on the management of tourists.	2 years	Town of Alberobello, trade associations	Projects related with management of visitors should to be included in the new management plan.	
4.8 Monitoring						

4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Review of the Management Plan of the site	2 years	Town of Alberobello, research centers, stakeholders	This point is connected with 4.6.3 e 4.7.4
-------	--	---	---------	---	--

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

Reason for update: The Committee decided to inscribe the nominated property on the basis of cultural criteria (iii), (iv) and (v) considering that the site is of outstanding universal value being an exceptional example of a form of building construction deriving from prehistoric construction techniques that have survived intact and functioning into the modern world. (Word Heritage Committee decision, 1996). The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: The coordinates assigned to the centroids of the components in the current maps are incorrect. The correct coordinates are: Casa d'Amore (40°47'4.32"N- 17°14'16.99"E) Piazza del Mercato (40°47'2.77"N - 17°14'19.30"E) Museo Storico (40°47'2.73"N - 17°14'20.84"E) Rione Aja Piccola (40°46'59.60"N - 17°14'23.50"E) Rione Monti (40°46'55.58"N - 17°14'10.94"E)

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise