

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Crespi d'Adda

Comment

The right name is Crespi d'Adda and not Crespi d''Adda with the second apostrophe.

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

730

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Crespi d'Adda	45.593 / 9.538	0	0	0	1995
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

The coordinates indicate a place a little aside of the company town. It would be better to insert more central coordinates, for example, those in front of the entrance of the factory, which are 45.596420, 9.535432. The property has an area of 82,99 ha.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Restrictions of the Municipal Urban Plan and of the Coordination Territorial Plan of the Park of the Northern Adda region, scale: 1:10,000	03/10/1994	
Perimeter of the area scale 1:5.000	03/10/1994	

Comment

A more complete version of the map that do not imply any modification of boundaries or other data about the property is available.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo
Francesca Riccio Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39 06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Immacolata Gravallese
Municipality of Capriate San Gervasio
Segretario Comunale

Comment

Municipality of Capriate San Gervasio Major Valeria Radaelli
Piazza della Vittoria 4 24042 Capriate San Gervasio (BG) Italy
Telephone: +39 02 920991280 Fax: +39 02 920991209 Email: cultura@comune.capriate-san-gervasio.bg.it

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- Villaggio Crespi
- Associazione Culturale NEMA
- www.crespidadda.it

Comment

The only Web Address of the Property will be www.crespidaddaunesco.org

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)(v)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities		
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure		
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure		
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		
3.3	Services Infrastructures		
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities		
3.4	Pollution		
3.4.4	Air pollution		
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric		
3.7.2	Relative humidity		
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage		
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses		
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage		
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation		
3.9	Other human activities		
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage		
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events		
3.10.1	Storms		
3.13	Management and institutional factors		
3.13.3	Management activities		
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative
	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend		
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution	widespread	on-going	minor	low capacity	static	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	widespread	frequent	minor	widespread	medium capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	restricted	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static	

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is **no buffer zone**, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The entire property was in company ownership until the 1970s and was then split up. There are now four separate categories of ownership: public (belonging to the Municipality); individual private; property of the Leglertex-Polli Group; and property of the Roman Catholic Church (Curia of Bergamo).

Under the provisions of Law No 1497 of 28 June 1939 on "Protection of natural beauties" Crespi d'Adda is designated as an "urban centre of historical character and environmental importance," which imposes a number of restrictions on owners. Law No 431 of 8 August 1985 brought the entire area surrounding the river Adda and the woodlands round the urban centre within the terms of this designation. Only the Crespi family mausoleum is protected as an individual monument under the provisions of Law No 1089 of 1 June 1939.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 30, 2005

• Question 6.02

The Detailed Plan approved by the Municipal Council with act n° 9, 05/04/2004. This Plan regulates decisions about methods of intervention on the environmental and architectural heritage, on the basis of historical studies and analysis.

Comment

The entire property was in company ownership until the 1970s and was then split up. There are now four separate categories of ownership: public (belonging to the Municipality); individual private; property of the Roman Catholic Church (Curia of Bergamo); property of the Leglertex-Polli Group. This last property, in 2013, was bought by the Italian businessman Antonio Percassi who now owns it with his company - Odissea SRL.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The relevant administrative body is the Municipality of Capriate san Gervasio. Its Municipal Urban Plan for Crespi d'Adda, prepared according to Law No 1150 of 17 August 1942 and adopted by the Town council on 23 June 1994, contains regulations controlling interventions on private and public buildings for which official authorization is obligatory. At

the time of the submission of the nomination, this plan was open for public consultation, but has since been approved and is in force. The consorzio per il Parco Regionale dell'Adda Nord and the consorzio della Comunità dell'Isola Bergamasca also have roles in connection with the overall management of the area. The Piano Territoriale di Coordinamento (Territorial Coordination Plan) prepared in conformity with national and regional legislation, has been in force since 6 December 1993. It has a wider field of application than the Municipal Urban Plan. So far as Crespi d'Adda is concerned, there are three levels of regulation, affecting areas of natural interest, historical sites and areas with morphological and structural characteristics which must be preserved, and areas which need to be inserted into a specific context (ie those where recuperative measures need to be taken). In the Plan of provincial coordination, actions for the enhancement of the territory are previewed also for the site. The levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site are national; regional; local (Adda Regional Park, Parish, Associations, and private citizens). The site is managed under protective legislation (Consensual management). The municipality of Capriate San Gervasio is responsible for over-seeing the implementation of the management plan and monitoring its effectiveness.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Wednesday, November 30, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:
In the Plan of provincial coordination, actions for the enhancement of the territory are previewed also for the site.
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation
 - Consensual management
 - Other effective management system

Natural Park of Adda Nord

Comment

The relevant administrative body is the Municipality of Capriate san Gervasio. Its Municipal Urban Plan for Crespi d'Adda was prepared according to Law No 1150 of 17 August 1942. It was approved with the deliberation of the Town council number 20 on 16 April 1999, adopted by the Town council on 5 April 2004 and published in the official bulletin of the Lombardy region, series listings number 30 of 21th July 2004. It contains regulations controlling interventions on private and public...

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A Management Plan has been drafted but it is still yet to be seen and approved by the Municipality of Capriate San Gervasio.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

No management system is currently in place

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	4%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	19%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	62%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	15%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	33%
Part-time	67%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Non-existent
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Dragoni I., Il villaggio operaio di Crespi d'Adda e la Colonia Guell: appropriazione territoriale e riutilizzo, Mazzoleni C., Identità e memoria: la fabbrica-villaggio di Crespi d'Adda Nasi A., La presenza del verde nel primo Novecento: villaggi operai e nuovi quartieri urbani nel triangolo industriale Villa C., Intorno alla pietà già Crespi

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but **not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Not provided but needed
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is **little or no contact** between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or

improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Non-existent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution	To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history.	ARPA Lombardia operates daily for the prevention and protection of the environment, supporting the regional and local institutions in various activities.	ARPA Lombardia operates daily to monitorize air pollution	Daily	ARPA Lombardia ok
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history;	Data collected from ARPA Lombardia also involve the detection of Relative Humidity.	The data downloaded from the website of ARPA Lombardia are subject to daily and periodic checks and inspections. Therefore, the hourly data can be invalidated and consequently the daily ones may change or be invalidated.	Daily	ARPA Lombardia ok
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates a significant stage in human history.	The Municipal Administration works to ensure the safety of the site in general. The new owner of the factory has set up a security service to prevent damage.	Daily	Now	Municipal Administration and the owner of the factory ok
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	To be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history;	Every day you can access the data measured by the Meteorological Network ARPA Lombardia	Data are available for the following variables: precipitation, temperature, atmospheric pressure, wind speed and direction, relative humidity, global radiation, net radiation.	Daily	ARPA Lombardia ok

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.5	No management system in place	The current Municipal administration is trying to locate a suitable legal form to create a local authority which could be its enactment and, at the same time, that could manage the property with an ongoing and effective vision.	Daily	To be created	ok	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.3	The budget is inadequate for management needs	The municipal administration or/and the local authority that will be created for the site management shall ask the competent agency (Ministry, Unesco) the possibility of funding the activities necessary to make the site operative.	In a year	Municipal Administration, new Local Authority	ok	

4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Through the municipal administration and the new local authority connected to property management, it should be possible to begin a series of actions that could bring sustainable job at the Unesco site.	In a year.	Municipal Administration, new Local Authority	ok
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	The municipal administration and the new local authority linked to the management of the property will organize a visitor management plan more finished and based on the needs of today's and future visits.	In two years	Municipal Administration, new Local Authority	ok
4.7.5	There is little or no contact with the tourism industry	The municipal administration and the new local authority involved in the management of the property will prepare a plan to involve and certify the regional stakeholders in the tourism sector.	in two years	Municipal Administration, new Local Authority, regional stakeholders in the tourism sector	ok
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	Some monitoring activities have been undertaken, but are still very few and based only on some elements.	Every year	Municipal Administration, new Local Authority	ok

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	None
Site Managers	None
Advisory Bodies	None

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Name of World Heritage Property**
Reason for update: The right name is Crespi d"Adda and not Crespi d"Adda with the second apostrophe.
- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: The coordinates indicate a place a little aside of the company town. It would be better to insert more central coordinates, for example, those in front of the entrance of the factory, which are 45.596420, 9.535432. The property has an area of 82,99 ha.
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: A more complete version of the map that do not imply any modification of boundaries or other data about the property is available.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise