

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

18th-Century Royal Palace at Caserta with the Park, the Aqueduct of Vanvitelli, and the San Leucio Complex

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

549rev


#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1997

### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
18th-Century Royal Palace at Caserta with the Park, the Aqueduct of Vanvitelli, and the San Leucio Complex	41.073 / 14.326	87.37	110.76	198.13	1997
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>87.37</b>	<b>110.76</b>	<b>198.13</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
18th Century Royal Palace at Caserta with the Park, the Aqueduct of Vanvitelli and the San Leucio Complex	26/02/2009	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi  
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali  
Funzionario  
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

#### Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo  
Francesca Riccio Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone: +39 06 67232140 Fax: +39 06 67232105 Email: francesca.riccio@beniculturali.it

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Paola Raffaella David  
Superintendence for architectural, landscape, historical, artistic and ethnoantropological heritage for the provinces of Benevento e Caserta - MIBAC  
Soprintendente

#### Comment

Some data are changed: Salvatore Buonomo Soprintendente  
Via Appia 2 - Palazzo Reale 81100 Caserta Italy Telephone: +39 0823 277540/ 537/ 437 Email: salvatore.buonomo@beniculturali.it

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- <http://www.reggiadicaserta.org/>

#### Comment

web address of the Reggia of Caserta and Caroline Aqueduct is: <http://www.reggiadicaserta.beniculturali.it> web address of the Belvedere of San Leucio is: <http://www.comune.caserta.it>

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value are going to be revised.

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Secret storage of solid and toxic waste outside the site. Wild abandon of solid waste outside the site.

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.3	Industrial areas						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure						
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4	Localised utilities						
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.2	Ground water pollution						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste						
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals						
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>						
3.6.2	Quarrying						
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>						
3.9.1	Illegal activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.9.3	Military training						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1	Storms						
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts						

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>		
3.11.1	Volcanic eruption		
3.11.2	Earthquake		
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>		
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species		
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.3	Management activities		
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative
	Positive	Inside	Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.3</b>	<b>Industrial areas</b>	restricted	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
<b>3.3.2</b>	<b>Renewable energy facilities</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	increasing
<b>3.3.4</b>	<b>Localised utilities</b>	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.3</b>	<b>Surface water pollution</b>	localised	one off or rare	minor	no capacity and / or resources	static
<b>3.4.4</b>	<b>Air pollution</b>	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
<b>3.4.5</b>	<b>Solid waste</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>					
<b>3.6.2</b>	<b>Quarrying</b>	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.6</b>	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.1</b>	<b>Illegal activities</b>	localised	frequent	minor	low capacity	decreasing
<b>3.9.2</b>	<b>Deliberate destruction of heritage</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	decreasing
<b>3.9.3</b>	<b>Military training</b>	localised	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
<b>3.10.1</b>	<b>Storms</b>	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	no capacity and / or resources	increasing
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>					
<b>3.12.2</b>	<b>Invasive/alien terrestrial species</b>	restricted	frequent	significant	low capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Most of the negative factors like pollution and solid waste, do not depend on the Site Management, but they are linked to the problems of the area that the government is slowly trying to solve. Other factors, like storms are linked to the climate changes and they are not predictably, even though the Site management is going to find ways for the prevention of damages.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

**There is a buffer zone**

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The problems of the boundaries and the buffer zone are the same that we can find into the Site. So the solutions have to be found not only by the direct management, but by the government because of their complexity. One of the principal aim of the Management authority is to increase the people"s awareness of living into these areas.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Royal Palace and Park, with the English Garden, the Bosco di San Silvestro, the Belvedere Estate, the village of Casertavecchia, and the Carditello hunting lodge, are protected by the basic Italian antiquities legislation, Law No 1089/1939. The former Royal Estate of San Leucio, Monte Sommacco, Monte Marmorella, the Tifatini Hills, the area around Casertavecchia, and the land on either side of the Viale Carlo are covered by the basic environmental protection Law No 1497/1939.

### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

There are the landscape's plans approved by State on all territories included in the buffer zone, excepting Acquedotto Carolino. These rules must be accept by urban plan in order to be more efficient. The protection of Acquedotto Carolino is entrusted by the Mount Taburno's landscape's Plan, and by the Caserta's one.

#### Comment

The Laws No 1089/1939 and No1497/1939 have been organised in a more modern text: Legislative Decree 42/2004, that is known as the "Code of Cultural Heritage and Landscape"

### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

The Italian legislation can be consider a good framework for the mainteing of the Outstanding Universal Value, even though there are all the conditions to improve it for giving more importance to the World Heritage properties

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

The Palace and Park (which receive over one million visitors a year), the Bosco di San Silvestro, and the Carolino Aqueduct are State-owned. The Municipality of Caserta owns the Belvedere Estate and the Waterworks Syndicate of the Lower Volturno Basin owns the hunting lodge at Carditello.

Management of the Royal Palace and Park (including the English Garden) is the responsibility of the Soprintendenza per i Beni Ambientali, Architettonici, Artistici e Storici per le Provincie di Caserta e Benevento, which is a regional agency of the Ministry for Cultural and Environmental Property (Ministero per i Beni Culturali e Ambientali). This body is also responsible for supervision of all protected monuments in the region. Parts of the Royal Palace complex are in use by the Italian Air Force, which assumes responsibility for their management. Maintenance of the Park is carried out by an independent enterprise, working on a contract that is renewable annually. The Bosco di San Silvestro is managed by volunteers of the World Wildlife Fund for Nature (WWF), which preserves it as a flora and fauna "oasis," open to the public. The Municipality of Caserta manages the Belvedere Estate, the Tifatini Hills, and the Royal hunting lodges on Monte Sommacco and Monte Marmorella.

The Soprintendenza is awaiting approval of its request that there should be designation under the provisions of Law No 1497/1939 of a wide area around the entire estate within which there will be controls over new construction. A landscape management plan (Piano Paesistico) for the San Leucio Estate, the two Royal hunting lodges, and the Tifatini Hills, as provided for in Law No 1497/1939, was approved by the Ministry of Cultural and Environmental Property in January 1996. This limits interventions to those designed to preserve the tree cover or restore areas damaged by fire or other causes. The Carolino Aqueduct still functions, bringing water to the town, and is regularly maintained by the waterworks company. Work is in progress in preparing a management plan for the Belvedere Estate, where restoration work is still in progress.

A formally constituted steering group was set up in 2005 to write the Management Plan for the site included in the World Heritage List. The site is managed by the State Party, under protective legislation; today each part of the complex is managed by the public agencies owners of the parts, according to protection laws and provisions given by Soprintendenza (local structures of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and activities). The levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site are national; regional and local. Soprintendenza for cultural heritage and activities of Caserta is responsible for over-seeing the implementation of the management plan and monitoring its effectiveness as determined in the understanding protocol firmed by State and local agencies.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Friday, October 28, 2005

**• Question 5.02**

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

**• Question 5.03**

**Set up date:** 2005

**Function:** To write the Management Plan for the site included in UNESCO's World Heritage site.

**Mandate:** To define the Management Plan reaching an agreement with all agencies.

**Constituted:** formal

**• Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

Today each part of the complex is managed by the public agencies owners of the parts, according to protection laws and provisions given by Soprintendenze (local structures of the Ministry of cultural heritage and activities).

**Comment**

In 2013, the Management Committee, headed by the Superintendent, has drafted a Management Plan for the property.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

The management plan of the UNESCO site has been prepared in the spring of 2013, and transmitted to the competent bodies of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities and Tourism that required some additions to its information.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

**No annual work / action plan** exists

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair



Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Poor

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The management system has been established in 2013 in according with the local authorities. It includes works and actions planning for the next three years. Because of the embryonic stage of the planning actions, there are no strong contacts with the local committee and population yet, but one of the first aim to pursue is to involve local population and industries (includes the touristic one) into the management decisions.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

Since December 2013 the direct management of the Vanvitelli's Complex was transferred from the Superintendence for Architectural Heritage of Caserta and Benevento to the Special Superintendence for the Museum of the City of Naples and Caserta Royal Palace in Naples. The coordinated management of the property among the different subjects involved in the management is "de facto" but It is expected a review of the related agreements.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	85%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	14%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	

In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	1%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

No assistance received in the last 6 years.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Even though the Site has visitor charges and Commercial operator payments (above all from filming permits), the funding sources have to be earmarked by the national government because the Site Management have no economical autonomy at all.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	87%
Part-time	13%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Not available
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Not available
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs**

**and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **not shared** at any level

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

The programme of research at the property has been planned into the Management System (plan of Knowledge) so the first paper will be published in the next months

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

**Not displayed** at all

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following**

**visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Poor

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

Many programs have been planned for the education, information and awareness building into the Management system, but they have to be well defined among all the official Site Management characters and to be put in action. This situation depends also on the "youth" of the Management system, as known finished in 2013.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
------------------------------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

There is a visitor management document including the rules and the ways allowed which is well exposed

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

Visitors are an important factor for the life of the Site

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
<b>3.1.3</b>	<b>Industrial areas</b>	Criterion i, ii, iii, iv	relocation projects	authorizations	annual	Regione Campania no comment
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
<b>3.3.4</b>	<b>Localised utilities</b>	i, ii,iii,iv	improvement	number	annual	Comune di Caserta - Provincia di Caserta - Regione Campania - no comment
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Physical resource extraction</b>					
<b>3.6.2</b>	<b>Quarrying</b>	Criteria: i,ii,iii because quarrying changes the landscape around the Site	The actions aim to reduce or to not increase quarryings near the boundaries and the buffer zone of the Site	authorizations	annual	Regione Campania no comment
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>					
<b>3.9.3</b>	<b>Military training</b>	i,	progressive reduction of admissions	number	annual	Ministero della Difesa progressive reduction of admissions
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
<b>3.10.1</b>	<b>Storms</b>	Criteria: i,ii, iii, iv because storms could damage the property	preventive actions, maintenance	annual	every day	Fire Department climate changes are not easy to be prevented, because they are often unknown and unexpected
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>					
<b>3.12.2</b>	<b>Invasive/alien terrestrial species</b>	Criteria: iii because pest birds and parasitics could damage monuments and plants	preventive actions, maintenance	number	depending on the annual program of maintenance	ASL - healthcare organization no comment

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
<b>4.1.4</b>	<b>The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners</b>	agreed with the local authorities adequate forms of signaling the boundaries of the property	annual	Superintendence for the architectural, landscape, historical and artistic of Caserta and Benevento.	no comment	
<b>4.1.5</b>	<b>The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners</b>	agreed with the local authorities adequate forms of signaling the boundaries of the buffer zone	annual	SBAPSAE	no comment	
<b>4.4 Financial and Human Resources</b>						
<b>4.4.3</b>	<b>The budget is inadequate for management needs</b>	ask the public and private entities in the area, to plan investment in the activities of the Site	annual	Superintendence for the architectural, landscape, historical and artistic of Caserta and Benevento	no comment	
<b>4.4.6</b>	<b>Inadequate equipment and facilities</b>	ask the public and private sector, to allocate more tools and equipment for the activities of the Site	annual	SBAPSAE	no comment	
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>						
<b>4.6.1</b>	<b>World Heritage emblem not displayed</b>	in the management plan there is a project for the creation of an emblem of the site unesco	the project is expected in about a year	Superintendence for architectural, landscape, historical and artistic and ethno-anthropological Caserta and Benevento	there is the possibility to hold a competition of ideas for the young to the logo design	

4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.5	<b>Contact with the tourism industry is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters</b>	schedule regular meetings with representatives of class to inform all the activities implemented to enhance and promote	annual	Superintendence for architectural, landscape, historical and artistic and ethno-anthropological Caserta and Benevento	no comment

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
--

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Many questions are not easy to respond, because they are not strictly correspondent to the reality of the Property. Because of the great differences among the Sites involved into the periodic reporting, it could be useful to create more questionnaires for more similar Sites

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very poor
Advisory Body	Fair

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

**Not all** of the required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

##### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies.

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise