

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Rock Drawings in Valcamonica

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Italy

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

94

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1979


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Parco Comunale di Luine di Darfo-Boario Terme	0 / 0	10.1	405.87	415.97	
Parco Archeologico Nazionale dei Massi di Cemmo	0 / 0	2.98	161.93	164.91	
Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seralina-Bedolina, in Capo di Ponte	0 / 0	8.62	152.9	161.52	
Parco Comunale di Sellero	0 / 0	83.02	74.6	157.62	
Parco Pluritematico "Coren de le Fate" di Sonico	0 / 0	83.21	95.59	178.8	
Parco Nazionale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Capo di Ponte/ Riserva Regionale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo	0 / 0	244.37	127.34	371.71	
Total (ha)		432.3	1018.23	1450.53	

Comment

We have to correct the name of the: - Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seradina-Bedolina (instead of Seralina-Bedolina) - Riserva Naturale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo (instead of Riserva Regionale)

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Rock Drawings in Valcamonica: Map showing the location of all the inscribed components and their buffer zones	13/04/2010	

Section II-Rock Drawings in Valcamonica

Rock Drawings in Valcamonica: Map showing the boundary and buffer zone of the component "Parco Comunale di Luine di Darfo-Boario Terme"	13/04/2010	
Rock Drawings in Valcamonica: Map showing the boundary and buffer zone of the component "Parco Archeologico Nazionale dei Massi di Cemmo"	13/04/2010	
Rock Drawings in Valcamonica: Map showing the boundary and buffer zone of the component "Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seralina-Bedolina, in Capo di Ponte"	13/04/2010	
Rock Drawings in Valcamonica: Map showing the boundary and buffer zone of the component "Parco Comunale di Sellero"	13/04/2010	
Rock Drawings in Valcamonica: Map showing the boundary and buffer zone of the component "Parco Pluritematico "Coren de le Fate" di Sonico"	13/04/2010	
Rock Drawings in Valcamonica: Map showing the boundary and buffer zone of the component "Parco Nazionale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Capo di Ponte/Riserva Regionale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo"	13/04/2010	

Comment

We have to correct the name of the: - Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seradina-Bedolina (instead of Seralina-Bedolina) - Riserva Naturale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo (instead of Riserva Regionale)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Adele Cesi
Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali
Funzionario
Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale - Servizio 1

Comment

Ministero dei Beni e delle Attività Culturali e del Turismo Adele Lagi Funzionario Ufficio Patrimonio Mondiale UNESCO, Segretariato Generale, Servizio 1 Via del Collegio Romano, 27 00186 Roma Italy Telephone +39 06 67232683 e-mail adele.lagi@beniculturali.it

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Sergio Cotti Piccinelli
Comunità Montana di Valle Camonica
Responsabile ufficio Cultura e Valorizzazione del Territorio

Comment

The Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia (via De Amicis 11, Milano-IT; sba-lom@beniculturali.it) has filled in the Second Periodic Report as the first one because it is the Institution for the tutelage of the heritage, its knowledge, research and enhancement (Legislative Decree 42/04). The Comunità Montana di Valle Camonica is responsible of the administrative issues as decided by the Institutional Coordination Group, established for the achievement of the Management Plan.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [Rock Art and Petroglyphs in VALCAMONICA](http://www.vallecamonicaunesco.it/)

Comment

<http://www.vallecamonicaunesco.it/>

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance

Valcamonica's rock art, which consists of over 140,000 engravings on about 2,400 rocks distributed on both sides of an entire valley, constitutes an exceptional example of this kind of manifestation of human thought.

The number, duration and variety of the engravings, representing for example navigation, dance, war and ploughing and their relationship with contemporary archaeological sites, contribute to the exceptional value of this assemblage. Furthermore, the apparent continuation of the practice of engraving for a period of more than 8,000 years, from the Epipaleolithic until the Roman and Mediaeval periods, and in some cases until modern times, links this extraordinary expression of human creativity to present day communities.

Criterion (iii): The rock drawings of Valcamonica stretch back over the 8 thousand years which precede our present era. It is unnecessary to accent the conspicuously invaluable nature of human renderings which are of so great an antiquity.

Criterion (vi): The rock drawings of Valcamonica constitute an extraordinary figurative documentation of prehistoric customs and mentality. The systematic interpretation, typological classification, and the chronological study of these configurations in stone have brought about a considerable contribution to the fields of prehistory, sociology and ethnology.

Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

Section II-Rock Drawings in Valcamonica

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.3	Industrial areas							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.1	Water infrastructure							
3.3.4	Localised utilities							
3.3.5	Major linear utilities							
3.4	Pollution							
3.4.3	Surface water pollution							
3.4.4	Air pollution							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification							
3.5.3	Land conversion							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.10.6	Temperature change							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.3	Services Infrastructures				
3.3.1	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3.4	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.3.5	restricted	one off or rare	significant	high capacity	static
3.4	Pollution				
3.4.3	localised	one off or rare	minor	low capacity	static
3.4.4	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.5	Biological resource use/modification				
3.5.3	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.8	localised	frequent	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.9	Other human activities				
3.9.2	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	medium capacity	static

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	one off or rare	significant	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

The negative factors identified cannot be solved by local management agencies but by policy, both national and global (Kyoto Protocol).

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The site, since it is part of the national archaeological patrimony, is safeguarded by legislation (Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, n° 42. "Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code") which confers the responsibility for tutelage upon the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities. This same law (art. 88 c. 1) also makes the Ministry responsible for archaeological research (and other activities which aim at the discovery of archaeological artefacts), to which end it can make use of the collaboration of other bodies (art. 89, c. 1), by granting them a research concession.

The State, which operates on UNESCO Site N° 94 "Rock Drawings of Valle Camonica" in the form of the Lombardy

Soprintendenza di Archaeological Heritage is responsible for specialist activities and is in possession of the relevant personnel (archaeologists, conservation workers, architects, topographers, photographers and computer specialists), active in the fields of archaeological research, maintenance, conservation, recording and development of sites and museums. All the rock-art localities belonging to the "Rock Drawings in Valcamonica" World Heritage Site listed above are already operative (or under development) as Parks and, as such, regulated by specific administrative regulations (Regolamento for National Parks; Delibere Comunali and Delibere Regionali di approvazione dei Piani for municipal Parks), which are incorporated into local planning instruments (Piani Regolatori Generali e Piano Territoriale di Coordinamento della Provincia). The regulations regarding the National Parks and Riserva Regionale contain indications concerning the management of areas of archaeological, environmental, botanical and ethnographic importance. The Capo di Ponte council planning regulations were modified in July 2005 in response to the coming into force of Regional Law N° 12/2005 ("Legge per il governo del territorio") which identifies "F Zones" in which the prehistoric rock art widely present in the territory must be safeguarded and developed, and allows normal life to continue in the town itself. Similar rules will be adopted by the other local authorities, with the coordination of the Soprintendenza for Archaeological Heritage of Lombardia.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

• Question 6.02

The site, since it is part of the national archaeological patrimony, is safeguarded by legislation (Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, n° 42. "Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code") which confers the responsibility for tutelage upon the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities (art. 4 c. 1 "Al fine di garantire l'esercizio unitario delle funzioni di tutela, ai sensi dell'articolo 118 della Costituzione, le funzioni stesse sono attribuite al Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali, di seguito denominato "Ministero", che le esercita direttamente o ne può conferire l'esercizio alle regioni, tramite forme d'intesa e coordinamenti ai sensi dell'articolo 5, commi 3 e 4"). In particular, all the rock-art localities belonging to the "Rock Drawings in Valcamonica" World Heritage Site listed above are already operative (or under development) as Parks and, as such, regulated by specific administrative regulations (Regolamento for National Parks; Delibere Comunali and Delibere Regionali di approvazione dei Piani for municipal Parks), which are incorporated into local planning instruments (Piani Regolatori Generali e Piano Territoriale di Coordinamento della Provincia). The regulations regarding the National Parks and Riserva Regionale contain indications concerning the management of areas of archaeological, environmental, botanical and ethnographic importance. The Capo di Ponte council planning regulations were modified in July 2005 in response to the coming into force of Regional Law N° 12/2005 ("Legge per il governo del territorio") which identifies "F Zones" in which the prehistoric rock art widely present in the territory must be safeguarded and developed, and allows normal life to continue in the town itself. Similar rules will be adopted by the other local authorities, with the coordination of the Soprintendenza for Archaeologica Heritage of Lombardia.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding

Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

The legal framework of the Buffer zone does not provide sufficient measures of protection against the impact of local development plans.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

A formally constituted steering group has been set up to guide the management of the site in 2004. A working party was set up to negotiate and develop a Management Plan; a Declaration of Intent (Dichiarazione di Intenti) was approved and signed in date 20th December 2004. The principal participants were: Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities; Soprintendenza for Archaeological Heritage of Lombardia (in collaboration with the Regional Directorate for Cultural Heritage and Landscape of Lombardia); Provincia di Brescia (to which the Regional Authority of Lombardia has assigned additional powers with respect to local museum organization: Deliberazione della Giunta Regionale n. 7/9393 in date 14th June 2002. Legge Regionale 1/2000, art. 4, comma 134, lett. a); Comunità Montana di Valle Camonica; Consorzio dei Comuni del Bacino Imbrifero Montano della Valle Camonica (BIM). The Municipalities in whose territory the Parks lie are also involved: Capo di Ponte (Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seradina-Bedolina); Darfo Boario Terme (Parco Comunale di Luine); Sellero (Parco Comunale); Sonico (Parco pluritematico del "Còren de le Fate"); Consorzio per le incisioni rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo (Managing authority of the Riserva Regionale Naturale " Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e

Paspardo"). After agreement was reached with these bodies on the overall structure of the Site Management Plan, a technical and scientific consulting group was set up, together with those cultural and scientific organizations concerned with rock art in the valley whose authoritative role in the study of the inscriptions is generally recognised, and also including those local organizations involved in the administration and management of inter-municipal areas of natural beauty and interest (Parco dell'Adamello). This "Technical and Scientific Group" includes, as a subcommittee, those involved in educational activities connected with the rock engravings. On 8th July 2005, the Management Plan was adopted by the bodies which had participated in its preparation, and thus contributed to this first concerted action for the protection, conservation and development of Valle Camonica's rock art, which is certainly in itself a positive step, because it has led to the development of a common method of working and selecting operational strategies to guarantee the patrimony's future. In subsequent deliberations during summer and autumn 2005, the individual authorities formally approved the Management Plan in its final form.

Several Parks within the site are directly administered by local authorities (the Parchi comunali di Luine and Sellero, managed by Darfo-Boario Terme and Sellero Councils respectively; the Parco Comunale di Sonico by Sonico Council and the Parco dell'Adamello; the Riserva Regionale Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo by the Consortium of Ceto, Cimbergo and Paspardo Councils).

On the basis of current legislation (Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, N° 42, "Culturale Heritage and Landscapae Code", art. 4 c.1), all are subject to the control of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities, locally represented by the Soprintendenza for the Archaeological Heritage of Lombardia.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

• **Question 5.02**

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• **Question 5.03**

Set up date: 2004

Function: A working party was set up to negotiate and develop a Management Plan; a Declaration of Intent (Dichiarazione di Intenti) was approved and signed in date 20th December 2004 (ENCLOSURE 3). The principal participants were: -Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities-Soprintendenza for Archaeological Heritage of Lombardia (in collaboration with the Regional Directorate for Cultural Heritage and Landscape of Lombardia); -Provincia di Brescia (to which the Regional Authority of Lombardia has assigned additional powers with respect to local museum organization: Deliberazione della Giunta Regionale n. 7/9393 in date 14th June 2002. Legge Regionale 1/2000, art. 4, comma 134, lett. a). -Comunità Montana di Valle Camonica; -Consorzio dei Comuni del Bacino Imbrifero Montano della Valle Camonica (BIM). The Municipalities in whose territory the Parks lie are also involved: -Capo di Ponte (Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seradina-Bedolina); -Darfo Boario Terme (Parco Comunale di Luine); -Sellero (Parco Comunale); -Sonico (Parco pluritematico del "Còren de le Fate"); -Consorzio per le incisioni rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo (Managing authority of the Riserva Regionale Naturale " Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo"). After agreement was reached with these bodies on the overall structure of the Site Management Plan, a technical and scientific consulting group was set up, together with those cultural and scientific organizations concerned with rock art in the valley whose authoritative role in the study of

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

the inscriptions is generally recognised, and also including those local organizations involved in the administration and management of inter-municipal areas of natural beauty and interest (Parco dell'Adamello). This "Technical and Scientific Group" includes, as a subcommittee, those involved in educational activities connected with the rock engravings.

Mandate: To elaborate and initiate a Management Plan for UNESCO Site N° 94

Constituted: formal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site


- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

Several Parks within the site are directly administered by local authorities (the Parchi comunali of Luine and Sellero, managed by Darfo-Boario Terme and Sellero Councils respectively; the Parco Comunale di Sonico by Sonico Council and the Parco dell'Adamello; the Riserva Regionale Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo by the Consortium of Ceto, Cimbergo and Paspardo Councils). On the basis of current legislation (Legislative Decree 22 January 2004, N° 42, "Culturale Heritage and Landscapae Code", art. 4 c.1), all are subject to the control of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage anche Activities, locally represented by the Soprintendenza for the Archaeological Heritage of Lombardia.

Comment

The Management Plan (MP), ended in 2005, was shared by all the public authorities and the institutions of research of the Valley. In 2006 the Institutional Coordination Group (GIC) was established for carrying out the MP. Among the MP's positive effects there is the establishment of new parks (2) in a network of the Rock Art Parks. Since 2007 GIC has interacted with the Cultural District of Valcamonica (promoted by Bank Cariplo Foundation). A program of monitoring of the actions has started.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
"Rock drawings in Val Camonica" Management Plan	N/A	Available	01/12/2005	

Comment

A Management Plan has been drafted and sent to the World Heritage Centre.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

Section II-Rock Drawings in Valcamonica

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The periodic meetings of the GIC allow the regular monitoring of new projects through the control of the implementation of the Management Plan. Within the Valley there is a good cooperation with the authorities responsible for the tutelage of the landscape and of the environmental (Adamello Park).

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Governmental (National / Federal)	25%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	50%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	25%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	30%
Part-time	70%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	98%
Seasonal	2%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	99%
Volunteer	1%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

Section II-Rock Drawings in Valcamonica

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

POGGIANI KELLER R., LIBORIO C., RUGGIERO M.G., a cura di, 2007, Arte rupestre della Valle Camonica Sito Unesco n. 94. 2005 Piano di Gestione, Quaderni del Parco Nazionale delle Incisioni Rupestri, 2, Bergamo,+CD. POGGIANI KELLER R., a cura di, La Valle delle Incisioni. 1909-2009 cento anni di scoperte. 1979-2009 trenta anni con l'UNESCO in Valle Camonica, catalogo della mostra, Brescia 2009. Etc.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Poor

Section II-Rock Drawings in Valcamonica

Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

The several Institutions involved (State, Region, Municipalities) collect data in different ways and some parks don't have employees for collecting data. It is important for the future to integrate the data collection in order to make a visitor use Management Plan for the UNESCO site. For 2015 there is a MiBACT's proposal to give the entry charges of National Parks and Museums to the sites for their management.

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or

improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

A monitoring of the UNESCO Site was realized during 2010 by SiTI (Istituto Superiore sui Sistemi Territoriali per l'Innovazione), titled "Monitoraggio dell'efficacia della gestione dei siti iscritti alla World Heritage List dell'Unesco" and edited on 2012.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.5	Major linear utilities	III, VI	Preventive analysis of all the projects about maior lines utilities in the Valley	Monitoring of all the projects for archaeological preventive analysis.	ongoing	Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia	All the projects about public actions must be examined by the Soprintendenza as to D.Lgs. 42/2004 and D.Lgs. 163/2006
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.3	Land conversion	III, VI	Survey and GPS of the sites with engraved rocks. Programs for the maintenance of the woods and parks where carved rocks are situated.	Monitoring of the state of conservation of the rocks and carved figures and monitoring of the natural environment.	ongoing	Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia with local institutions (Institutional Coordination Group-GIC, Parco dell'Adamello)	Periodic surveys of the rocks engraved and of the natural environment will be compared with other data (presence of micro-organisms, colluvium and climate changes).
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	III, VI	Analysis of the state of conservation of the rocks to realize a program of restoration	New analysis will be realized on a sample of rocks spread all over the Valley for obtaining comparable data.	Ongoing	Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia	Periodic surveys of the rocks engraved and of the natural environment will be compared with other data.
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.6	Temperature change	III, VI	Periodic check to analyze the severe weather events, the frequency and the impact on the site	Monitoring of the difference of temperature during the different seasons and between night and day	ongoing	Institutional Coordination Group (GIC)	It is not easy to find solutions in short or medium term as it is a natural factor and it could be solved by global policy.
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	III, VI	Periodic maintenance of the sites with rock art to avoid deposits of colluvium	Monitoring of the rocks to avoid deposits of colluvium and comparison of data.	ongoing	All the institutions involved in the management of the parks and the municipalities, in coordination with Institutional Coordination Group (GIC) and Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia	Periodic surveys of the rocks engraved and of the natural environment will be compared with other data.
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	III, VI	Maintenance of the sites to avoid fire of the woods and close cooperation with all the institutions involved	Monitoring of the parks where engraved rocks are situated.	ongoing	All the institutions involved in the management of the parks and the municipalities, in coordination with Institutional Coordination Group (GIC), Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia and Parco dell'Adamello.	It is important the control of the woods and a close cooperation among all the institutions involved in the management of the UNESCO Site (Institutional Coordination Group-GIC, Soprintendenza Beni Archeologici, Municipalities, Parco dell'Adamello)

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	Analysis of the current situation about educational material for different target and purposes and professional improvement of the educators.	ongoing	Institutional Coordination Group (GIC) in cooperation with the Distretto Culturale Valle Camonica	The Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia started programmes with local high schools in order to implement the awareness on the following subjects: UNESCO and WHL; diffusion of rock art in the world; promotion of the UNESCO n. 94.
-------	---	---	---------	---	---

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The Institutional Coordination Group (GIC) and the Soprintendenza per i Beni Archeologici della Lombardia, with all the other institutions, carry out all the actions for the maintenance of the State of Conservation of the Property and its OUV.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very poor
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value has been revised in compliance with the new format, based on the Decision 34 COM 10B.3 of the World Heritage Committee in 2007. It is currently subject to the evaluation of Advisory Bodies

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: We have to correct the name of the: - Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seradina-Bedolina (instead of Seralina-Bedolina) - Riserva Naturale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo (instead of Riserva Regionale)

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: We have to correct the name of the: - Parco Archeologico Comunale di Seradina-Bedolina (instead of Seralina-Bedolina) - Riserva Naturale delle Incisioni Rupestri di Ceto, Cimbergo e Paspardo (instead of Riserva Regionale)

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise