

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Archaeological Sites of Mycenae and Tiryns

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Greece

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

941

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1999

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Archaeological Site of Mycenae , Province of Argolid , Peloponnese , Greece	37.733 / 22.75	120	0	120	1999
Archaeological Site of Tiryns , Province of Argolid , Peloponnese , Greece	37.6 / 22.8	70	0	70	1999
Total (ha)		190	0	190	

Comment

The Archaeological site of Mycenae has a buffer zone which was defined in a descriptive way (according to paragraph "b" of the Ministerial Decree No 2160/1964 Governmental Gazette B/ 67/15.2.1964) during its inscription in the WH List.

1.4 - Map(s)

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports - 4th Ephorate of Prehistoric & Classical Antiquities

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Vassiliki Papamichalopoulou
Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports-4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities
Archaeologist

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Tiryns \(Hellenic Ministry of Culture\)](#)
2. [Mycenae \(Hellenic Ministry of Culture\)](#)

Comment

The abovementioned links are not active. Please, replace them with the two following: 1. Mycenae (Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports)
http://odysseus.culture.gr/h/3/eh351.jsp?obj_id=2573 2. Tiryns (Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports)
http://odysseus.culture.gr/h/3/eh351.jsp?obj_id=2382

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the Archaeological Sites of Mycenae and Tiryns has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is under evaluation.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (i): The architecture and design of Mycenae and Tiryns, such as the Lion Gate and the Treasury of Atreus and the walls of Tiryns, are outstanding examples of human creative genius. Criterion (ii): The Mycenaean civilisation, as exemplified by Mycenae and Tiryns, had a profound effect on the development of classical Greek architecture and urban design, and consequently also on contemporary cultural forms. Criterion (iii): Mycenae and Tiryns bear unique testimony to the political, social and economic development of the Mycenaean world, thus representing the apogee of this early stage of Greek civilization. Criterion (iv): Both sites illustrate, in a unique manner, the achievements of Mycenaean civilization in arts, architecture and technology, which laid the foundations for the evolution of later European cultures. Criterion (vi): Mycenae and Tiryns are indissolubly linked with the Homeric epics, the Iliad and the Odyssey, the influence of which upon European literature and the arts has been profound for more than three millennia.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value



























































3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	    				
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	  				
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure	  				
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	    				
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	    				
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	    				
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	   				
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	   				
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	   				
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	   				
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities	    				
3.13.3	Management activities	    				
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

There is a buffer zone only in the Archaeological site of Mycenae. As far as Tiryns is concerned, there is adequate monitoring and intensive controls in the surrounding area of the property, along with a strict national legal framework, that ensure the adequate maintenance of its OUV.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The archaeological site of Mycenae is protected by the directives of the Law 5351/32 'Regarding Antiquities'. This protection is backed by the Declarations legally determining the boundaries

a) of the Archaeological Site, and

b) of the Zone under special protection

(Min. Dec. 2160/12 - 2 – 1964; Official Gov. Pap. 67/B/15 - 5 - 1964)

The legal measures for the protection of the archaeological site and of the 'buffer zone', as defined in 1d-e, are provided

by the implementation of the laws and directives mentioned above.

The implementation of the Laws is ensured by daily supervision by the employees of the Ephorate (archaeologists and guardians) in charge of the site in the previously mentioned legally protected area. Any transgression is denounced accordingly to the police station and court.

Comment

The archaeological sites of Mycenae and Tiryns are protected by the provisions of Law No 3028/2002, on the "Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general". The re-designation of the two archaeological sites took place recently: for Mycenae by the Ministerial Decision YPPOT/GDAPK/DIPKA/TAX/F43/106947/29431/6172/5062 (GG 335/ AAP/ 31-10-2012) and for Tiryns by the Minist. Decision YPAITHPA/GDAPK/DIPKA/GDAPK/DIPKA/TAX/F43/104966/28613/6026/4858 (GG 375/AAP/20.11.2012).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.2.3.4: The answer refers to the Archaeological site of Mycenae

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The site is managed jointly by The Ministry of Culture, The Direction of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities, and the 4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.

The management is exercised on a regional and on a property level by the Director of the Ephorate, Elizabeth Spathari. On a property level the curator of the site is the archaeologist Helen Palaiologou,

Agreed plans related to property (e.g. regional, local plan, conservation plan, tourism development plan) Since March 1998, the archaeological site of Mycenae has been included in the existing Work Team for the Conservation of the Monuments of the Asclepeion of Epidaurus. The Program for the Restoration and Conservation of the monuments of the site has been merged in a new Program which includes the configuration and promotion of the site. There is no management plan. The management and protection of monuments and archaeological sites in Greece is not based on an actual management plan. Greece has a system of protection, which is administered centrally by the Ministry of Culture through its regional services. The Ministry of Culture is the competent body supervising the sites and overseeing all works carried out. As far as the safeguarding of cultural and natural heritage is concerned, there are cases where other competent bodies besides the Ministry of Culture are involved. The Ministry of Culture sets out the guidelines and the general policy for the management of the monuments and sites, which is then implemented by the competent Ephorates.

In the case of the Archaeological Sites of Mycenae and Tiryns, the local Department of the Archaeological Service of the Ministry of Culture, the 4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities is responsible for this implementation.

Comment

The Director of the 4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities is Alcestis Papadimitriou. The curator of the site is the archaeologist Vasiliki Papamichalopoulou. From 1999 till 2010, the scientific Committee for Mycenae has carried out projects for stabilisation, conservation and enhancement of the site (extensive network of pathways,informative material etc). The interpretation of the site is complemented by the operation of the new archaeological museum, founded in 2003

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	23%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	77%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.1.7 and 4.4.1.8: Revenues from tickets as well as from commercial operator payments are collected by the Archaeological Receipts Fund (T.A.P.), a public jurisdiction legal entity under the auspices of the Ministry of Culture & Sports, and they are redistributed to the archaeological sites and museums of the country according to their needs. Therefore, such revenues are subject to centralized administration and for that reason it is not possible to estimate their contribution to the total funding of each property

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	55%
Seasonal	45%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.4.15:None of the 4 answers fully reflects the property's current situation. However, 4.4.15.4 is closer to the current status since constant care for transferring technical skills among the personnel exists at a permanent basis although there is no specific capacity development plan or programme.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs

and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Archaeological Atlas of Mycenae, 2003, The Archaeological Society of Athens Well Built Mycenae, The Helleno-British Excavations within the Citadel, Fascicules 13 & 24 (2007), 16/17 (2011), 34/1 (2013) P. Stockhammer, Kontinuität und Wandel. Keramik der Nachpalastzeit aus der Unterstadt von Tiryns (2008). A. Papadimitriou, Tiryns. Historischer und Archäologischer Führer (2001).

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Excellent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or

improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	The factor might slowly affect the integrity of the architectural elements of the monuments.	Proactive conservation and consolidation of the monuments wherever it is necessary	Continuous monitoring of the monuments by the conservators of the 4th Ephorate	On-going procedure	4th Ephorate of Prehistoric & Classical Antiquities None
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Rain could affect the stability of some architectural elements	Immediate actions for the stabilisation of the architectural elements to be taken by the staff of the 4th Ephorate whenever necessary	Continuous monitoring	On-going procedure	4th Ephorate of Prehistoric & Classical Antiquities None
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	Micro-organisms might slowly affect the surface of some architectural components of the monuments.	Cleaning of the surface of the stones whenever necessary	Continuous monitoring by the conservators of the 4th Ephorate	On-going	4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities None
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	Although there are not such activities within the boundaries of the properties, some rare incidents have been noticed in the surrounding area	Intensive monitoring and immediate actions of the 4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities in collaboration with the local police department	Continuous monitoring	On-going	4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities None

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	The 4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities in collaboration with the competent Department of the Ministry is planning an educational programme for school students	2-3 years	4th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities Directorate of Museums, Exhibitions and Educational Programmes of the Ministry of Culture and Sports	-	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the Archaeological Sites of Mycenae and Tiryns has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is under evaluation.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The Archaeological site of Mycenae has a buffer zone which was defined in a descriptive way (according to paragraph "b" of the Ministerial Decree No 2160/1964 Governmental Gazette B/ 67/15.2.1964) during its inscription in the WH List.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

6.9 : It is the first Periodic Reporting exercise for the property