

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Delos

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Greece

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

530

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1990

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (latitude/longitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Delos | 37.4 / 25.267 | 350.64 | 0 | 350.64 | 1990 |
| Total (ha) | | 350.64 | 0 | 350.64 | |

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|--|------------|---|
| Delos. Definition of boundaries, scale 1:10000 | 07/12/2007 |  |

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

The Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports is the competent body supervising the site and overseeing all works carried out. The 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities, the competent regional service of the Ministry, is responsible for its management and protection. All the works carried out in the archaeological site are supervised by the Committee for the Conservation of the Monuments of Delos

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Panagiotis Chatzidakis
Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Tourism
Head of the Ephorate, Archaeologist
21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities

Comment

Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports Panagiotis Chatzidakis
Head of the Ephorate, Archaeologist 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities 10 Epameinonda Str 105
55 Athens Greece Telephone: +30 210 3250148 / +30 210 3310966 / +30 210 3251096 Fax: +30 210 3215897 Email: pchatzidakis@culture.gr

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Prefecture of CYCLADES \(Hellenic Ministry of Culture\)](http://www.prefectureofcyclades.gr/)

Comment

the above mentioned link should be replaced with the following: Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports
(http://odysseus.culture.gr/h/3/eh351.jsp?obj_id=2371)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for Delos has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is under evaluation.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (ii): Delos had considerable influence on the development of architecture and monumental arts during the Greco-Roman period, such as seen in the immense Hellenistic sanctuary. A great part of its treasure of masterpieces was found during the excavations and is exhibited today in Delos' Museum. This influence was matched later by the important role it has played since the 15th century in furthering our knowledge of ancient Greek art from a widely renowned site, which is among the first sites in Greece that captured the attention of archaeologists and travellers. Criterion (iii): The island of Delos bears unique witness to the civilizations of the Aegean world since the 3rd millennium BC. From the 7th century BC to the pillage by Athenodoros in 69 BC, the island of Delos was one of the principal Pan-Hellenic sanctuaries. The feast of the Delians, which was celebrated every four years in the month of May until 316 BC, included gymnastic, equestrian and musical competitions, Archaic Age dances, theatrical productions and banquets. Like the Olympic and the Pythic Games, it was one of the major events in the Greek world. Criterion (iv): The archaeological site of Delos provides an outstanding example of an architectural ensemble that restores the image of an extremely important cosmopolitan Mediterranean port that began to prosper since 314 BC, reaching outstanding levels during the 2nd and 1st centuries BC. Warehouses and trading companies abounded, large residential areas were established, public buildings were founded by associations of bankers, traders and shipowners. Moreover, there were an unprecedented number of sanctuaries dedicated to foreign religions: temples of Sarapis, Isis and Anubis, temples to the Syrian gods Haadad and Atargatis, and even a synagogue in the stadium district. Criterion (vi): Delos is directly and tangibly associated with one of the principal myths of Hellenic civilisation. It was on this arid islet that Leto, made pregnant by Zeus and fleeing the vengeance of Hera, gave birth to Apollo and Artemis after a difficult labor. According to a Homeric hymn, the island, which until then had been floating, became anchored to the floor of the ocean. The newborn Phoebus- Apollo threw off his swaddling clothes, bathed the universe in light and began walking with his cithar and his bow. Kynthos, the mountain of Zeus, and the wheel-shaped lake close to which the pregnant Leto suffered labor pains for nine days and nights, remain essential landmarks of the island's sacred geography, which was clearly defined by the additions made to the Delian sanctuary to Apollo between the 6th and the 1st centuries BC.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Sea-salt. Minute drops of sea water carried by the wind form a hard crust on the surface of the mosaic floors and in some cases cause exfoliation or minute cracks on the marbles. Conservation as well as consolidation of the monuments wherever it is necessary, is provided by the competent 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities and the Committee for the Conservation of the Monuments of Delos.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impact | | | | | | Origin |
|---------------|---|-----------|----------|----------|--------|---------|--|--------|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | | | |
| 3.1.5 | Interpretative and visitation facilities | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | | |
| 3.2.3 | Marine transport infrastructure | | | | | | | |
| 3.6 | Physical resource extraction | | | | | | | |
| 3.6.4 | Water (extraction) | | | | | | | |
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | | |
| 3.7.1 | Wind | | | | | | | |
| 3.7.2 | Relative humidity | | | | | | | |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | | |
| 3.8.6 | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation | | | | | | | |
| 3.13 | Management and institutional factors | | | | | | | |
| 3.13.1 | Low impact research / monitoring activities | | | | | | | |
| 3.13.2 | High impact research / monitoring activities | | | | | | | |
| 3.13.3 | Management activities | | | | | | | |
| Legend | Current | Potential | Negative | Positive | Inside | Outside | | |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | Impact | Management response | Trend |
|-------|--|---------------|--------------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | |
| 3.7.1 | Wind | restricted | intermittent or sporadic | minor | medium capacity | static |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

There is continuous monitoring and conservation-consolidation whenever it is necessary. Sensitive sculptures have been transported to the Museum and replaced with exact replicas

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The entire islands of Delos and Rheneia comprise an archaeological site and are protected in accordance with the provisions of Law 3028/2002, Government Gazette 153/A/28-6-2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general"

The island of Rheneia was designated as an archaeological site, passing Law 3028/2002, Government Gazette 153/A/28.6.2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general".

The existing protection arrangements are considered sufficiently effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 25, 2005

• Question 6.02

The entire islands of Delos and Rheneia comprise an Archaeological Site (Ministry of Culture decision 66618/2919/1993 (ΥΠΠΟ/ΑΡΧ/Α1/Φ.43/66618/2919/1993), Government Gazette 83/10-2-1994) and are protected in accordance with the provisions of Law 3028/ Government Gazette 153/A/28-6-2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general".

Comment

By the ministerial decision no.YPPOT/GDAPK/ARX/A1/F21/53038/2672,published in Government Gazette no.208/AAP/14 June 2012,the entire island of Delos together with the island of Rheneia, the islets Grand Rematiaris, Lesser Rematiaris,Kounelonisi and the sea area around them have been designated a single, unified land and sea Archaeological Site, and are protected in accordance with the provisions of Law 3028/2002. No change in the boundaries of the World Heritage property.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Delos Working Group, which was renamed the Committee for the Conservation of the Monuments of Delos, is comprised of specialized scientists who, with meetings and

visits, plan, supervise and execute work programmes for the conservation, support, restoration of the monuments as well as for the presentation and protection of the archaeological site. Its mandate is to investigate issues of the conservation, support and restoration of the antiquities and it is legally constituted.

A Site Manager is employed on full-time basis. There is no management plan. The management and protection of monuments and archaeological sites in Greece is not based on an actual management plan. Greece has a system of protection, which is administered centrally by the Ministry of Culture through its regional services. The Ministry of Culture is the competent body supervising the sites and overseeing all works carried out. As far as the safeguarding of cultural and natural heritage is concerned, there are cases where other competent bodies besides the Ministry of Culture are involved. The Ministry of Culture sets out the guidelines and the general policy for the management of the monuments and sites, which is then implemented by the competent Ephorates.

In the case of the Archaeological Site of Olympia, the 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities is responsible for the management and protection of the monuments. The current management system is considered sufficiently effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Monday, October 31, 2005

• Question 5.02

Sterling group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 1994

Function: The Delos Working Group, which was renamed the Committee for the Conservation of the Monuments of Delos, is comprised of specialized scientists (Archaeologists, Architects, Conservators) who, with meetings and visits, plan, supervise and execute work programmes for the conservation, support, restoration of the Monuments as well as for the presentation and protection of the Archaeological Site.

Mandate: To investigate issues of the conservation, support and restoration of the antiquities.

Constituted: legal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation

Comment

The word Olympia should be corrected to Delos. The phrase should be "In the case of the Archaeological Site of Delos, the 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities is responsible for the management and protection of the monuments."

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Good |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Not applicable |
| Visitors | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Industry | Not applicable |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No local communities are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.7.1, 4.3.7.2, 4.3.7.8, 4.3.8.1. Only the employees of the Ministry of Culture and Sports and some visiting Scholars live on Delos. Although there is not a local community on the island itself, the island lies within the boundaries of the Municipality of Mykonos (distance from Delos 1.5 miles), therefore Mykonians are considered -and feel- as the local community. 4.3.10 Since 2009, after a decision of the Municipality of Mykonos, lands of Delos are not rented anymore to Mykonian farmers

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

By ministerial decision

no.YPPOT/GDAPK/ARX/A1/F.21/53038/2672 published in Government Gazette no.208/AAP/14 June 2012, the entire island of Delos together with the island of Rheneia, the islets Grand Rematiaris, Lesser Rematiaris, Kounelonisi & the sea area around them have been designated a single, unified land and sea Archaeological Site, & are protected in accordance with the provisions of Law 3028/ Gov. Gazette 153/A/28-6-2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general"

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | 30% |
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 70% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | |
| Other grants | |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.1.7 and 4.4.1.8: Revenues from tickets as well as from commercial operator payments are collected by the Archaeological Receipts Fund (T.A.P.), a public jurisdiction legal entity under the auspices of the Ministry of Culture & Sports, and they are redistributed to the archaeological sites and museums of the country according to their needs. Therefore, such revenues are subject to centralized administration and for that reason it is not possible to estimate their contribution to the total funding of each property.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Full-time | 100% |
| Part-time | |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Permanent | 40% |
| Seasonal | 60% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| | |
|-----------|------|
| Paid | 100% |
| Volunteer | |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Research and monitoring | Good |
| Promotion | Fair |
| Community outreach | Good |
| Interpretation | Good |
| Education | Fair |
| Visitor management | Good |
| Conservation | Good |
| Administration | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Tourism | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Research and monitoring | High |
| Promotion | Medium |
| Community outreach | Medium |
| Interpretation | High |
| Education | High |
| Visitor management | Medium |
| Conservation | High |
| Administration | High |
| Risk preparedness | High |
| Tourism | Medium |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | High |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.4.15:None of the 4 answers fully reflects the property's current situation. However, 4.4.15.4 is closer to the current status, since constant care for transferring technical skills among the personnel exists at a permanent basis, although there is no specific capacity development plan or programme.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Many articles and studies by Greek and foreign Scholars have been published (no web links). Articles by French and other nationality Scholars appear yearly in BCH (http://cefael.efa.gr/result.php?nocache=36vx66bnj6f4&site_id=1&serie_id=BCH&ce=upuo7sjmj337cftvc1102d8lg4ls3tr7&sp=1) Many finds from Delos were loaned for nine temporary exhibitions (3 in Greece, 6 abroad) and entries were published.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.5.2 The 4 answers do not fully describe the property's research. There is extensive research taking place in Delos and it is relevant to management needs and to improving the understanding of OUV, but there is not an integrated programme of research.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities / residents | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Not applicable |
| Visitors | Average |
| Tourism industry | Excellent |
| Local businesses and industries | Excellent |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| | |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Visitor centre | Not needed |
| Site museum | Excellent |
| Information booths | Not needed |
| Guided tours | Excellent |
| Trails / routes | Excellent |
| Information materials | Excellent |
| Transportation facilities | Not needed |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Last year | Minor Increase |
| Two years ago | Minor Increase |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |
| Four years ago | Minor Increase |

| | |
|----------------|----------------|
| Five years ago | Minor Increase |
|----------------|----------------|

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| |
|------------------------------|
| Entry tickets and registries |
| Visitor surveys |

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Average |
| Local communities | Non-existent |
| Researchers | Excellent |
| NGOs | Non-existent |
| Industry | Not applicable |
| Local indigenous peoples | Not applicable |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.8.1.4 Although there is not a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, there is considerable monitoring and it is relevant to and directed towards the management needs and improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value.

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
|-------|--|--|--|------------|------------|--|---------------------|
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | |
| 3.7.1 | Wind | The factor might slowly affect the integrity of architectural elements of the monuments. | conservation and consolidation of the monuments wherever it is necessary | continuous | continuous | Ministry of Culture and Sports, 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities, Committee for the Conservation of the Monuments of Delos | no comments |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------|---|---------------------|
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
| 4.1.1 | There is a need for a buffer zone | Preparation of the relevant documents for approval by the World Heritage Committee. | 1-2 years | Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports, 21st Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities | no comments |
| 4.3 Management System / Management Plan | | | | | |
| 4.3.10 | There is little or no contact with industry regarding management | No actions need to be taken. In the island of Delos live only the personnel employed by the Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports and visiting Scholars. There is no industry. | - | - | - |

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

The ongoing works of excavation, studying, conservation and consolidation with funding from the European Union and the Greek State (National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) 2007 -2013, with an adsorbed amount of 429.218,97 € from 2011 till 2013) constantly enhance and highlight the values for which it was designated a World Heritage Site.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Conservation | Very positive |
| Research and monitoring | Positive |
| Management effectiveness | Positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Positive |
| Recognition | Positive |
| Education | Positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Very positive |
| International cooperation | Positive |
| Political support for conservation | Positive |
| Legal / Policy framework | No impact |
| Lobbying | Positive |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Positive |
| Other (please specify) | Not applicable |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

| |
|---|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
|---|

| |
|--|
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
|--|

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| UNESCO | Very good |
| State Party Representative | Very good |
| Advisory Body | Fair |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| |
|-------------------------------|
| The World Heritage Convention |
| Monitoring and reporting |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| UNESCO | Excellent |
| State Party | Excellent |
| Site Managers | Excellent |
| Advisory Bodies | Not Applicable |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for Delos has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and it is under evaluation.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise