

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Archaeological Site of Delphi

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Greece

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

393

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1987



1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Archaeological Site of Delphi	38.481 / 22.496	51.04	14313.67	14364.71	1987
Total (ha)		51.04	14313.67	14364.71	

Comment

Please, replace the abovementioned coordinates of the property with the following: Longitude: 38.482 Latitude: 22.501

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Archaeological site of Delphi inscribed property	26/02/2009	
Archaeological site of Delphi inscribed property and its buffer zone	26/02/2009	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports - 10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Athanasia Psalti
Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Tourism
Head of the Ephorate, Archaeologist
10th Ephorate of Prehistoric & Classical Antiquities

Comment

The name of the Ministry has changed to "Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports"

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Hellenic Ministry of Culture](#)

Comment

Please, change the name of the second link to "Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports" Please also add the following page of the Archaeological Museum of Delphi on Facebook https://www.facebook.com/delphimuseum?ref_type=bookmark

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the Archaeological Sites of Delphi has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is under evaluation.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (i): The layout of Delphi is a unique artistic achievement. Mt. Parnassus is a veritable masterpiece and is where a series of monuments were built whose modular elements -terraces, temples, treasuries, etc.- combine to form a strong expression of the physical and moral values of a site which may be described as magical. Criterion (ii): Delphi had an immense impact throughout the ancient world, as can be ascertained by the various offerings of kings, dynasts, city-states and historical figures, who deemed that sending a valuable gift to the sanctuary, would ensure the favour of the god. The Sanctuary at Delphi, the object of great generosity and the crossroads of a wide variety of influences, was in turn imitated throughout the ancient world. Its influence extended as far as Bactria, following the conquest of Asia by Alexander the Great. Even pillaging of the Sanctuary by the emperor Nero and by Constantine the Great, who transported spoils from it to Rome and Constantinople, added to the artistic influence of Delphi. Criterion (iii): Delphi bears a unique testimony to the religion and civilization of ancient Greece. At the legendary site where Apollo slew the serpent Python, celestial cults replaced chthonian cults and introduced the old heritage of myths originating from primitive times. The Delphic oracle, over which four sacred wars were fought, is one of the focal points of Greek political history, while the Theatre and the Stadium, where the Pythian Games took place every four years, were places of community celebrations. Criterion (iv): Delphi, situated in a magnificent natural setting which is still intact, is an outstanding architectural ensemble and an example of a great Pan-Hellenic sanctuary, symbol of unity of the ancient Greek world. Criterion (vi): According to the ancients, the Temple of Apollo was where the Omphalos was located, that is, the navel of the universe, the centre of the earth. Delphi is consequently directly and tangibly associated with a belief of manifest universal significance.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.3	Industrial areas					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure					
3.3.4	Localised utilities					
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.1.3	Industrial areas	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	restricted	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.1	Illegal activities	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.2	Earthquake	localised	frequent	minor	high capacity	increasing

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The site falls under a special legislation: Law 3028/2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general. Through the Ministry of Culture decision 13624/728/27.03.91, Government Gazette 259/B/91 (On Zones A and B of the «Delphic Landscape»), and the 1266/91 Ministry of Culture decision («On land uses and building conditions in Zone B»), the archaeological site of Delphi is part of an extended geographical area of protected landscapes and monuments. Building is prohibited in the area of the slopes of Mt. Parnassus and the olive grove, while there is also a protection zone corresponding to an area which extends in two prefectures; within this area, the construction of small farm houses is permitted in lots of 20,000 square meters. Since the time of inscription, and in accordance with Law 1892/90/ Ministerial Decision 1266/91 (which complemented

and extended the scope of protection of the 1972 designation of the archaeological site), the broader geographical area surrounding Delphi, was divided into 2 zones protecting the Delphic landscape:

- Zone A where building is prohibited
- Zone B where building is permitted under certain conditions.

Concurrently, the National Board for Land Planning has placed the area of the Delphic landscape "under protection order".

The existing protection arrangements are considered highly effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 25, 2005

• Question 6.02

Through the Ministry of Culture decision 13624/728/27.03.91 (ΥΠΠΟ/ΑΡΧ/Α1/Φ10/13624/728/27.03.91), Government Gazette 259/B/91 (On Zones A and B of the «Delphic Landscape»), and the 1266/91 Ministry of Culture decision («On land uses and building conditions in Zone B»), the archaeological site of Delphi is part of a most extended geographical area of landscape and monuments under protection. Building is prohibited in the area of the slopes of Mt. Parnassus and the olive-grove, while there is also a protection zone corresponding to an area which extends in two prefectures (Biotia and Phokida): from Zemeni in Arachova up to Amphissa (Zone B'); within this area, the construction of small farm houses is permitted in lots of 20,000 square meters.

Comment

1) Redesignation of the boundaries of the archaeological site by the Ministerial Decision YPPOT/GDAPK/ARX/A1/F43/16921/835 (Government Gazette 141/ AAP/19-04-2007) 2) New delimitation of the boundaries of Protective Zones A and B by the Ministerial Decision YPPOT/GDAPX/A1/F43/35829/1801 (Government Gazette 141/AAP/02.05.2012)

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

There is no management plan. The management and protection of monuments and archaeological sites in Greece is not based on an actual management plan. Greece has a system of protection, which is administered centrally by the Ministry of Culture through its regional services. The Ministry of Culture is the competent body supervising the sites and overseeing all works carried out. As far as the safeguarding of cultural and natural heritage is concerned, there are cases where other competent bodies besides the Ministry of Culture are involved. The Ministry of Culture sets out the guidelines and the general policy for the management of the monuments and sites, which is then implemented by the competent Ephorates.

In the case of Delphi, the 10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities is responsible for the management and protection of the monuments.

A Site Manager is employed full-time. There is no steering group.

The current management strategy is judged sufficiently effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 25, 2005

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

Section II-Archaeological Site of Delphi

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources


4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	48%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	50%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Purchase of a sandblaster and seven deshumidifiers for the restoration of objects from the site of Delphi	1991	50000.00	
Total		50000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.1.7 and 4.4.1.8: Revenues from tickets as well as from commercial operator payments are collected by the Archaeological Receipts Fund (T.A.P.), a public jurisdiction legal entity under the auspices of the Ministry of Culture & Sports, and they are redistributed to the archaeological sites and museums of the country according to their needs. Therefore, such revenues are subject to centralized administration and for that reason it is not possible to estimate their contribution to the total funding of each property

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	25%
Seasonal	75%

Section II-Archaeological Site of Delphi

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.4.15:None of the 4 answers fully reflects the property's current situation. However, 4.4.15.4 is closer to the current status since constant care for transferring technical skills among the personnel exists at a permanent basis although there is no specific capacity development plan or programme.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-

making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

J.F. Bommelaer, Delphica1, A nouveau les comptes de Delphes et la reconstitution du temple d' Apollon au IVe siècle av. J.-C., BCH 132, 2008, 1 Etudes, p. 221-255. A. Perrier, La moisson et les pigeons. Note sur l'assise sommitale du pilier de Prusias a Delphes, BCH 132, 2008, 1 Etudes, p. 257-270. Douthe M., Kyriakidis N., Les archontes du nom de Kleophanes a Delphes: note de chronologie et d' epigraphie. BCH 132, 2008, 1 Etudes, p. 271-282. Hansen E., Trois notes d' architecture delphique. BCH 133, 2009, 1 Etudes, p. 113-152. Perrot S., Pommes agonistiques a Delphes: reflexions autour du cognassier sacre d' Apollon. BCH 133, 2009, 1 Etudes, p. 153-168. Mathe V., Un abaque a Delphes. BCH 133, 2009, 1 Etudes, p. 169-178. Polley C., Du bitune a la resine: A propos du remplissage d'une Oreille de griffon de Delphes. BCH 128-129, 2008-2009, 1 Etudes, p. 67-118. Deltenre F.D., La datation du debut de le troisieme guerre sacree. Retour sur l'interpretation des comptes de Delphes, BCH 134, 2010, 1 Etudes, p. 97-116. Biard G., Diplomatie et statues a l'epoque hellenistique: a propos du decret de l' Amphictionie pyleo-delphique CID IV 99. BCH 134, 2010, 1 Etudes, p. 131-151. Rousset D., Tirolagos G., Fadin L., Le lotissement de terres a Delphes au IIe siècle apr. J.C. Du texte au terrain. BCH 130, 2006, 2 Etudes, p. 639-663. Frielinghaus H., Die helme von Delphi. BCH 131, 2007, 1 Etudes, p. 139-185. Papalexandrou, Nasso "Keledones: Dangerous performers in early Delphic lore and ritual structures" Hephaisistos 21-22 (2003-2004) 145-168 -- Howe, Timothy "Pastoralism, the Delphic Amphiktyony and the First Sacred War: the Creation of Apollo's Sacred Pastures" Historia 52.2 (2003) 129-146 -- Bowden, Hugh "The Functions of the Delphic Amphiktyony before 346 BCE" SCI 22 (2003) 67-83 -- Champion, Craig B. "In Defense of Hellas: The Antigonid Soteria and Paneia at Delos and the Aetolian Soteria at Delphi" AJAH 3-4 (2004-2005 [2007]) 72-88 -- Sosin, Joshua D. "Alexanders and Stephanephoroi at Delphi" CPh 99.3 (2004) 191- -- Neer, Richard "The Athenian Treasury at Delphi and the Material of Politics" CIAnt 23.1 (2004) 63-94 -- Rigsby, K. "Claudius at Delphi" ZPE 146 (2004) 99-100 -- Rutherford, Ian "The Keian Theoria to Delphi: Neglected Data from the Accounts of the Delphic Naopoiioi (CID 2.1-28)" ZPE 147 (2004) 107-114 -- Herda, Alexander "Apollon Delphinios, das Prytaneion und die Agora von Milet, Neue Forschungen" AA 2005.1 (2005) 243-294 -- Salt, Alun; Boutsikas, Efrosyni "Knowing when to consult the oracle at Delphi" Antiquity 79.305 (2005) 564-572 -- Nisetich, Frank "Poems: To a beautiful hygienic; The charioteer of

Delphi; Philologia Perennis; Sunset; Waiting for the mail" CML 26.1 (2006) 73-78 -- Gunther, Ruth "Delphi im 7. Jh. v. Chr. Ein Beitrag zu den Kultgemeinschaften in den Heiligtumern des Apollon und der Athena" Hephaisistos 24 (2006) 47-57 -- Pownall, Frances "Rationalization as a moral tool: Ephorus and the foundation of the Delphic oracle [issue: (Ir)rationality in the Ancient World, edd Laurel Bowman and Greg Rowe]" Mouseion 6.3 (2006) 353-69 -- Pownall, Frances "Rationalization as a moral tool: Ephorus and the foundation of the Delphic oracle [vol: (Ir)rationality in the Ancient World]" Mouseion 6.3 (2006) 353-69 -- Kulishova, O.V. "The Delphic omphalos: The ideas of geographical and sacral centre in ancient Greece [in Russian]" VDI 259 (2006) 140-153 -- Lehoux, Daryn "Drugs and the Delphic Oracle" CW 101.1 (2007) 41-56 -- Hornblower, Simon "Did the Delphic amphiktion play a political role in the Classical period?" MHR 22.1 (2007) 39-56 -- Selivanova, L.L. "On the source of Delphic inspiration [in Russian]" VDI 261 (2007) 157-173 -- Larson, Stefanie "Reassessing an Archaic Boiotian Dedication (Delphi Museum Inv. No. 3078)" ZPE 162 (2007) 99-106 -- Adornato, Gianfranco "Delphic Enigmas? The Gelas anasson, Polyzalos, and the Charioteer Statue" AJA 112.1 (2008) 29-56 -- Bommelaer, Jean-Francois "Delphica 1. - A nouveau les comptes de Delphes et la reconstruction du temple d' Apollon au IVe siecle av. J.-C." BCH 132 (2008) 221-255 -- De Boer, Jelle Zeilinga "Delphi's small 'omphalos': An enigma" SyllClass 18 (2008) 81-104 -- Green, Peter "Possession and Pneuma: The essential nature of the Delphic oracle" Arion 17.2 (2009) 27-47 -- Cummins, Monessa Finnerty "The Praise of Victorious Brothers in Pindar's "Nemean" Six and on the Monument of Daochus at Delphi" CQ 59.2 (2009) 317-334 -- Saba, Sara "Delphi, Sardis and Citizenship: A Note" Dike 12-13 (2009-2010) 171-180 -- Partida, Elena C. "From hypaethral depots to hypaerthal exhibitions. Casting light on architecture and society in 4th-3rd cent. B. C. Delphi" MDAI(A) 124 (2009) 273-324 -- Hale, John "Of gods and gases: The geological processes that may explain the mystery of the Delphic Oracle" Minerva 21.4 (2010) 22-25 -- Kirichenko, Alexander "Von Delphi nach Kyrene. Dichtung und Religion in Kallimachos" Hymnus auf Apollon" WS 123 (2010) 37-61 -- Kuchel, P.W. "Ancient omphalos at Delphi: Geometrically a space-inverting anamorphoscope" Archaeometry 53.2 (2011) 387-395 -- Strolonga, Polyxeni "The Foundation of the Oracle at Delphi in the Homeric Hymn to Apollo" GRBS 51.4 (2011) 529-551 -- PDFs for issue Ossa-Richardson, Anthony "Nicholas Peiresc and the Delphic Tripod in the Republic of Letters" JWI 74 (2011) 263-279 -- Volioti, Katerina "Travel tokens to the Korykian Cave near Delphi: Perspectives from material and human mobility" Pallas 86 (2011) 263-285 -- Bommelaer, Jean-Francois "Delphica 2. Les periboles de Delphes" Pallas 87 (2011) 13-38 -- Kyriakidis, Nicolas "'De l'importance de ne pas etre phocidien". Enjeux politiques et identitaires des recits des origines delphiques" Pallas 87 (2011) 77-93 -- McInerney, Jeremy "Delphi and Phokis: a Network Theory Approach" Pallas 87 (2011) 95-106 -- Partida, Elena C. "The nexus of inter-regional relations established by creators and artisans in the Ancient sanctuary and the town of Delphi" Pallas 87 (2011) 223-242 -- Volioti, Katerina "Haimonian lekythoi from Delphi, Phokis and West Lokris in a geographical context" Pallas 87 (2011) 243-264 -- Hilton, J. "The Cult of Neoptolemos at Delphi in Helidoros" Aithiopika" AClass 55 (2012) 57-68 -- Dunn, Charlotte and Wheatley, Pat "Craterus and the Dedication Date of the Delphi Lion Monument [Studies presented to John Yardley]" AHB 26.1-2 (2012) 39-48 -- Stoneman, Richard "Consulting the Oracle: Delphi was not the only place the Greeks visited when they wanted to discover what the future held" Minerva 24.3 (2013) 26-29 -- Grzesik, D. "Abbreviated Decrees of Delphi" ZPE 186 (2013) 157-162 -- Kamen, D. "Slave-Prostitutes and

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

ergasia in the Delphic Manumission Inscriptions" ZPE 188 (2014) 149 +- Davies, John "The Phokian Hierosylia at Delphi: Quantities and Consequences". In BkColl: Nicholas Sekunda (ed) Corolla Cosmo Rodewald. Monograph Series Akanthina 2. (Gdansk 2007) 75-96 +- review by P.J. Rhodes ISBN 978-83-7531-060-3 Platon Pétridis, D'un bout du golfe à l'autre : les lampes corinthiennes découvertes à Delphes , 313-349, BCH 135, 1, 2011. Platon Pétridis, Fouilles de Delphes:La céramique protobyzantine de Delphes

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Poor

Section II-Archaeological Site of Delphi

Other	Not needed
-------	------------

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.3	Industrial areas	It concerns an enterprise in the buffer zone. Its visual impact is minor.	The Ministry of Culture and Sports has approved a study for the restoration of this building's facades.	Continuous.	5 years.	10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	None.
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.4	Localised utilities	It concerns cell phone and TV towers in the buffer zone. They are visible from the property but the visual impact is minor.	The 10th Ephorate has proceeded to legal actions for their removal	Continuous.	On-going.	10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	None.
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals	It threatens the integrity of the monuments.	Construction of a new fence in the northern part of the area where the problem exists.	Continuous.	Three months.	10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	None.
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	Micro-organisms cause damage on the surface of the monuments.	Continuous conservation works by the staff of the Ephorate.	Continuous.	On-going.	10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	None.
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.2	Earthquake	The earthquakes may cause damages to monuments.	A study for the fastening of the rock slopes is in progress. Furthermore, the Ministry of Culture and Sports has constructed for the protection of the monuments two metal fences in the area of Castalia and in the ancient Stadium.	Continuous.	On-going.	Ministry of Culture and Sports, Ministry of Infrastructure, Transport and Networks, 10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	None
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	The falls of the rocks occur in areas that are not accessible to visitors.	A study for the fastening of the rock slopes is in progress. Furthermore, the Ministry of Culture and Sports has constructed for the protection of the monuments two metal fences in the area of Castalia and in the ancient Stadium.	Continuous.	On-going.	10th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities.	None.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

Section II-Archaeological Site of Delphi

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the Archaeological Sites of Delphi has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is under evaluation.

• Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Please, replace the abovementioned coordinates of the property with the following: Longitude: 38.482 Latitude: 22.501

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise