

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Greece

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

392


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1986

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae	37.435 / 21.897	20.46	201.58	222.04	1986
Total (ha)		20.46	201.58	222.04	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae. Definition of boundaries, scale 1:5000	07/12/2007	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports - 7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports- 7th Ephorate of Prehistoric & Classical Antiquities Leventouri Zacharoula
7th Ephorate of Prehistoric & Classical Antiquities
270 65 Ancient Olympia Greece
zleventouri@culture.gr 0030 26240 23753, 0030 26240 22529 0030-26240-22529

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Hellenic Ministry of Culture](#)

Comment

The name of the Ministry has changed to Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the Archaeological Sites of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is under evaluation.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Criterion (i) The Temple of Bassae represents a unique artistic achievement, remarkable for its archaic features (elongated surface, an exceptional proportion of 15 columns on the longer side and 6 columns on the facade, and a north-south exposure), and for its daring innovations: use of Ionic and Corinthian orders for a Doric edifice, the variety of materials used, and the originality of the layout of the cella and the adyton. Criterion (ii) The capital of the central column of the Temple of Bassae is the most ancient Corinthian capital, and as such the temple may be considered a model for all "Corinthian" monuments of Greek, Roman and subsequent civilisations. Criterion (iii) Isolated as it is in a conserved environment, the temple of Apollo is an outstanding example of a Hellenic votive sanctuary in a rural setting. The temple, as well as its sculptural decoration consist one of the best preserved samples of the ancient Greek civilization, from the period of its heyday (5th century BC).

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property















































3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Erosion of the foundations of the monument due to the nature of the building material (limestone). A major project is being implemented comprising special studies and interventions such as erection of a shelter, restoration, reinforcement of the foundations and proactive protection from future earthquakes. The competent agencies are the 7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities and the Committee for the Conservation of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	  	
3.3	Services Infrastructures		
3.3.4	Localised utilities	   	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric		
3.7.3	Temperature	    	
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events		
3.10.1	Storms	    	
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events		
3.11.2	Earthquake	   	
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	    	
3.13	Management and institutional factors		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	   	
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities	    	
3.13.3	Management activities	    	
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative  Positive  Inside  Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.3	Temperature	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	static
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The site has a special legislation: Law 3028/2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general. A Designation of the general region of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius as an archaeological site exists, as per Ministry of Culture decision 44671/1836 Athens 5-11-1986 (Government Gazette – Volume two 7880). Following expropriation proceedings, an additional expanse of 4.5 hectares was added to the archaeological site in 1996. Fencing was placed around the greater part of the site in 1996. The monument is guarded at all times. In 1992 the "Credit Management Fund for the Execution of Archaeological Projects" was established - a legal entity operating under private law, supervised and funded by the Ministry of Culture. The Fund is in charge of managing the funds for this specific project.

The existing protection arrangements are considered highly effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 25, 2005

• Question 6.02

Law 3028/ 2002 On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage. Designation of the general region of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius as an archaeological site. Ministry of Culture decision 44671/1836(ΥΠΠΟ/ΑΡΧ/Α1/Φ07/44671/1836) Athens 5-11-1986 (GOVERNMENT GAZETTE - VOLUME TWO 7880)

Comment

The "Credit Management Fund for the Execution of Archaeological Projects", which was responsible for the administration of the funds, was repealed by the Law 4109/2013 (Government Gazette 16/ Α/ 23-1-2013) and the Committee for the Conservation of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius is now supervised by the Directorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities of the Ministry of Culture and Sports (Ministerial Decision ΥΡΑΙΤΗΡΑ - GGP/ GDAPK/ DIPKA/ TAX/ 86602/ 43054/ 5964/ 2940/ 30-5-2013).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Committee for the Conservation of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius was established in 1975. The Committee commenced operations as a Working Group and two years later was subsumed by the Ministry (Presidential Decree 941/1977 Article 96) as a private collective body authorized to supervise the systematic works necessary for the conservation of the monument.

The management and protection of monuments and archaeological sites in Greece is not based on an actual management plan. Greece has a system of protection, which is administered centrally by the Ministry of Culture through its regional services. The Ministry of Culture is the competent body supervising the sites and overseeing all works carried out. As far as the safeguarding of cultural and natural heritage is concerned, there are cases where other competent bodies besides the Ministry of Culture are involved. The Ministry of Culture sets out the guidelines and the general policy for the management of the monuments and sites, which is then implemented by the competent Ephorates.

In the case of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae, the 7th Ephorates of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities is responsible for the management and protection of the monuments.

A Site Manager is employed on full-time basis.

The current management system is judged highly effective.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 25, 2005

• Question 5.02

Sterling group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 1975

Function: The Committee for the Conservation of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius (C.C.T.A.E.) was established in 1975 by K. Trypanis, Minister of Culture and the Sciences at the time. The committee commenced operations as a Working Group and two years later was subsumed by the (organisation of the) Ministry (Presidential Decree 941/1977 Article 96) as a private collective body authorized to supervise the systematic works necessary for the conservation of the monument.

Constituted: legal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation

Comment

For the Committee for the Conservation of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius see comment 4.2.1

4.3.2 - Management Documents

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Periodic Report - Second Cycle


Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	45%%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%%
Governmental (National / Federal)	55%%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%%
Other grants	0%%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Purchase of 2 portable micro-earthquake monitoring systems and a micro-climatological monitoring device for the Temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae	1988	30000.00	
Total		30000	

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.1.7 and 4.4.1.8: Revenues from tickets as well as from commercial operator payments are collected by the Archaeological Receipts Fund (T.A.P.), a public jurisdiction legal entity under the auspices of the Ministry of Culture & Sports, and they are redistributed to the archaeological sites and museums of the country according to their needs. Therefore, such revenues are subject to centralized

Section II-Temple of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae

administration and for that reason it is not possible to estimate their contribution to the total funding of each property.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%%
Part-time	

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%%
Seasonal	10%%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%%
Volunteer	

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Non-existent
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Non-existent
Tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.4.15:None of the 4 answers fully reflects the property's current situation. However, 4.4.15.4 is closer to the current status, since constant care for transferring technical skills among the personnel exists at a permanent basis, although there is no specific capacity development plan or programme.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

"Epicurean Apollo.Guide for Epicurean Apollo and the region", Prefecture of Ilia

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.3	Temperature	Changes of temperature during the year slowly cause surface deterioration of the structural material (limestone).	1) The erection of the shelter 2) Conservation works	Continuous monitoring by the 7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities and the Committee for the Conservation of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius	On-going	1) 7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities 2) Committee for the Conservation of the Temple of Apollo Epicurius	None
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	Lighting strikes could be a threat for the monuments' natural environment.	Lighting protection installed	Continuous monitoring by the 7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	On-going	7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	None
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	Fires might affect the integrity of the monument	Cleaning of the surrounding area, continuous guarding, cooperation with the competent local authorities and services	Continuous monitoring by the 7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities	On-going	7th Ephorate of Prehistoric and Classical Antiquities, Fire Department.	None

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.8	Local communities have no input into management decisions	The monument is located in an isolated area. Therefore, local communities resident only in the buffer zone and in a considerable distance from the monument	-	-	-	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The questions are in many cases very general. Different categories of properties should have special questionnaires based on their own particularities

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Draft Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the Archaeological Sites of Apollo Epicurius at Bassae has been submitted to the World Heritage Centre and is under evaluation.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise