

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Berlin Modernism Housing Estates

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Germany

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

1239


**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

2008

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Gartenstadt Falkenberg , Berlin	52.411 / 13.567	4.4	31.2	35.6	0
Siedlung Schillerpark , Berlin	52.559 / 13.349	4.6	31.9	36.5	0
Großsiedlung Britz (Hufeisensiedlung) , Berlin	52.448 / 13.45	37.1	73.1	110.2	0
Wohnstadt Carl Legien , Berlin	52.546 / 13.434	8.4	25.5	33.9	0
Weiße Stadt , Berlin	52.569 / 13.351	14.3	50.1	64.4	0
Großsiedlung Siemensstadt (Ringsiedlung) , Berlin	52.539 / 13.278	19.3	46.7	66	0
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>88.1</b>	<b>258.5</b>	<b>346.6</b>	

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Berlin Housing Estates	30/01/2006	

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Birgitta Ringbeck  
Auswärtiges Amt  
National World Heritage Focal Point  
Referat 603-9  
Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Dagmar Tille  
Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung und Umwelt  
Berlin
- Jörg Haspel

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

**Comment**

<http://www.welterbesiedlungen-berlin.de>

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

The set of housing estates in the Berlin Modern Style provides outstanding testimony to the implementation of housing policies during the period 1910 – 1933 and especially during the Weimar Republic, when the city of Berlin was characterized by its political, social, cultural and technical progressiveness. The housing estates reflect, with the highest degree of quality, the combination of urbanism, architecture, garden design and aesthetic research typical of early 20th century modernism, as well as the application of new hygienic and social standards. Some of the most prominent leading architects of German modernism were involved in the design and construction of the properties; they developed innovative urban, building and flat typologies, technical solutions and aesthetic achievements.

Criterion (ii): The six Berlin housing estates provide an outstanding expression of a broad housing reform movement that made a decisive contribution to improving housing and living conditions in Berlin. Their quality of urban, architectural and garden design, as well as the housing standards developed during the period, served as guidelines for social housing constructed since then, both in and outside Germany. Criterion (iv): The six Berlin housing estates are exceptional examples of new urban and architectural typologies, designed in the search for improved social living conditions. Fresh design solutions and technical and aesthetic innovations were incorporated by the leading modern architects who participated in their design and construction.

The six properties were selected out of the ensemble of housing estates of the period existing in the city, on the basis of their historical, architectural, artistic and social significance and the fact that, due to their location, they suffered little damage during World War II. Even though minor reconstruction and interior changes were carried out in the post war period, restoration works within the framework of the protection law of 1975 and their current state of conservation achieve a high standard of integrity and authenticity. Adequate protection is ensured by the legislation in place, especially by the Berlin Law on the Preservation of Historic Places and Monuments (1995). The properties, buildings and open spaces, are in a good state of conservation. The management system, including policies, structures and plans, proves to be adequate and includes all concerned stakeholders.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(ii)(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**





















### **3. Factors Affecting the Property**

#### **3.14. Other factor(s)**

##### **3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	   	
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>		
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	  	
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>		
3.10.1	Storms	  	
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.3	Management activities	   	
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative
	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

none

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

none

## 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The properties are protected by the Denkmalschutzgesetz Berlin (The Berlin Law on the Preservation of Historic Places and Monuments) of 24 April 1995 as conservation areas (applying for the total premises) and they are entered on the Berlin register of historic places. The protection covers all structures on the housing estate including outdoor facilities and water bodies related to them. Further, the outdoor facilities of Gartenstadt Falkenberg and Weisse Stadt, as well as part of Siedlung Schillerpark, are protected as historic gardens and entered on the Berlin Register of Historic Places. The Berlin Law on the Preservation of Historic Places and Monuments of 24 April 1995 regulates the tasks, the object and the organization of heritage protection in Berlin,

and applies general regulations on protection, on measures to preserve monuments and historic places, on public grants and on procedures.

When the authority for the preservation of monuments and historic places of Berlin declares a place to be monument or historic place and enters it on the Register of Monuments and Historic Places, its owner is obliged to preserve the monument and its immediate environment. Any alteration in appearance, partial or complete removal, repair, reconstruction or change of use of the monument requires the consent of the authority for the protection of monuments. Since Wohnstadt Carl Legien is part of a formally established preservation area, it is additionally subject to the 2003 preservation regulation. According to this, any removal, alteration of structures or alteration of the utilisation of structures in the covered area requires permission. The district office of Pankow, Berlin, Department for Urban Development, Urban Planning Office, grants the necessary permits. Besides specific protection legislation, planning and management instruments also contribute to the legal framework. Among them are the German Building Code and specific development plans.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

none

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

The management process includes the participation of public agencies and owners. The relevant authority for the protection of monuments may require owners to carry out certain measures for preserving the monument. Alternatively, and in cases of imminent danger to monument, the relevant authority for the protection of monuments may carry out the necessary measures on its own initiative. The authority for approval is in each case the respective district office of Berlin represented by the Lower monument preservation authority. On the basis of the Law on the Preservation of Historic Places and Monuments, it will decide on the monument preservation both for projects which require a building permit and for projects which do not normally require a building permit under the building regulations. The Lower monument preservation authority will make its decisions in agreement with the relevant special purpose authority (Landesdenkmalamt, state monument preservation office of Berlin). Where the two authorities are unable to agree, the Berlin government office for urban development in its capacity as Supreme monument preservation authority will decide, after hearing both the Lower monument preservation authority and the state monument preservation office. The cooperatives that constructed the housing ensembles play a significant role in the management structure, since they reinvest the income from flat rentals into the maintenance of the ensembles. Private gardens are maintained by owners on the basis of specific recommendations. Policy framework: management plans and arrangements, including visitor management and presentation. The management of the properties is based on the following plans: - Development plans and monument preservation plans According to the Baugesetzbuch (German Building Code BauGB) development plans are the most important instruments for guiding and controlling the urban development of a community. They must take into account the interests of monument preservation. The preparation of development plans is divided into a preparatory phase, setting up non-binding development plans (land-use plans) and district area development plan for the entire territory of the community. There are binding development plans for individual parts of the community territory, connected with requirements of the land-use act, which defines the kind and extent of structures, type of buildings and degree to which the land may be built up. The Building Code regulates the permissibility of projects and, in the specific urban development legislation, stipulates the establishment of rehabilitation, development and preservation areas. Due to the division of Berlin after 1945, building legislation developed differently in East and West Berlin and these differences still influence current valid building legislation, even after the re-unification of the two German states. The western districts still apply the 1958/60 land-use plan, which has been transferred as development plan under the 1960 Federal Building Act (renamed Building Code in 1987). In the practice of development law, the land-use plan now acts as an alternative development plan. A comparable set of instruments covering the entire area does not exist for the East Berlin districts. Legally binding development plans for these districts were established in 1990. In those areas of the East Berlin which are not covered by development plans, building permits are granted under Section 34 of the Building Code. The urban development department of each district office establishes its own development plans in coordination with the other district authorities, as well as with the development departments of the governmental urban development authorities and with the special purpose authority, the Landesdenkmalamt, of Berlin. The

Landesdenkmalamt or the relevant monument preservation authority may require the establishment of monument preservation plans, catalogues of preservation measures, maintenance documentations, etc. Inhabitants of the nominated properties are sufficiently aware of the historic and architectural values of the housing ensembles. They actively participate in conservation, preservation and monitoring. Resources, including staff levels, expertise and training The preservation and maintenance of the housing estates is supported by the scientific staff of the Berlin monument preservation authorities. Relevant employees are trained art historians, architects, landscape architects or restorers. With their experience, the members of the state monument council give recommendations or support the involved parties, if specific plans are produced. The state monument council (Landesdenkmalrat) is an expert autonomous body, and pursuant to Section 7 of the Berlin Law on the Preservation of Historic Places and Monuments, it acts as consultant to the member of the Berlin government responsible for the preservation of monuments. Owners also have experienced employees for repair and maintenance measures. Most are architects and civil engineers. When thorough refurbishment works have to be planned or supervised, the relevant contracts are often awarded to architects with experience in the preservation of monuments.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

While restoring the settlements the architects in charge carried out sufficient documentation of the findings and measures taken (materials, colour, detail design). The documentation of this is usually handed over to the owners and shall serve as reference for further necessary restoration works together with updating the research in the future. This is the main manual for preserving the settlements.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

**No annual work / action plan** exists

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

### 4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

### 4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

### 4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

### 4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

none

### 4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

In some cases the public ownership turned into private ownership of parts of the settlement (Britz settlement) or the whole settlement got a new owner (Carl-Legien settlement).

## 4.4. Financial and Human Resources

### 4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	22%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	11%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	1%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	66%

## Section II-Berlin Modernism Housing Estates

### 4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

### 4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

### 4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

### 4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

**Potential** economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed

### 4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

### 4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

none

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Not applicable



## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Promotion	Not applicable
Community outreach	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not applicable
Education	Not applicable
Visitor management	Not applicable
Conservation	Not applicable
Administration	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Not applicable
Tourism	Not applicable
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

**No capacity** development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

none

## 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Landesdenkmalamt Berlin im Auftrag der Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung: Berlin Modernism Estates. Inscription on

## Section II-Berlin Modernism Housing Estates

the UNESCO World Heritage List. Berlin 2007. (2nd edition 2009).

### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

none

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Poor

### 4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

### 4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

### 4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

### 4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Adequate

### 4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

none

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Major Increase (100%+)
Two years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Tourism industry
------------------

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

Info-stations as visitor-centers were opened in two of six settlements. Visitor data is collected by the private initiatives running these visitor centers.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely **confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

none

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Not applicable
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



**5. Summary and Conclusions**

**5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

**5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

No factor is both current and negative.

**5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

**5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	none	not defined	not identified	contact with industry is for management and conservation not relevant

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

#### 5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

### 5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 5.4.1 - Comments

### 6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Negative
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

#### 6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

#### 6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

#### 6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

## Section II-Berlin Modernism Housing Estates

#### 6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

#### 6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

**Not all** of the required information was accessible

#### 6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

#### 6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

#### 6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

#### 6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise