

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**  
Old town of Regensburg with Stadthof

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**  
**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Germany

**Type of Property**  
cultural


**Identification Number**  
1155

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**  
2006

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Old town of Regensburg with Stadthof	49.021 / 12.099	182.8	775.6	958.4	2006
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>182.8</b>	<b>775.6</b>	<b>958.4</b>	

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Old town of Regensburg with Stadthof - inscribed property	16/07/2006	

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Birgitta Ringbeck  
Auswärtiges Amt  
National World Heritage Focal Point  
Referat 603-9  
Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Matthias Ripp  
City of Regensburg  
World Heritage Coordinator
- Christine Schimpfermann  
Stadt Regensburg  
Referentin  
Planungs- und Baureferat
- Timo Niebsch

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Regensburg des Welterbe Erleben](#)

**Comment**

There is an english version of our website:  
<http://www.regensburg.de/sixcms/detail.php/60796>

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Comment**

An updated version has ben sent through our national focal point for acceptance by UNESCO

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**  
(ii)(iii)(iv)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

Detailed description of the attributes can be found here:<http://www.regensburg.de/de/unesco-world-heritage/the-world-heritage-site/criteria/60809>

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3. Factors Affecting the Property**

**3.14. Other factor(s)**

**3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**  
none

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.2	Commercial development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.1	Water infrastructure						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.2	Flooding						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events				
3.10.2	Flooding				

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The following legislation is responsible for protecting the whole area: the Bavarian Building Ordinance from 1864 (for the last time amended in 1997) with regard to building rights and the Bavarian Law for the Protection of Historical Buildings and Monuments from 1973 with regard to curatorial measures. All of the bordering areas which are of optical relevance within the line of vision of individual buildings or of the ensemble as a whole fall within the category of „neighbourhood“ in terms of the Bavarian Law for the Protection of Historical Buildings and Monuments. In accordance with the terms of article 6 of this law anyone who wants to build or implement renovation measures within the environs of these ensembles or any of their historical buildings or monuments is required to apply for curatorial permits. The buffer zone extends far beyond the boundaries of the respective immediate area as interpreted in

the practice by the Bavarian Law for the Protection of Historical Buildings and Monuments without, however, standing in contradiction to this law or the legal basis for the Bavarian Building Ordinance. On the contrary, the buffer zone serves to correctly interpret the terms „neighbourhood“ and „environs“ in the sense of both of the above named laws. In consequence the buffer zone encompasses those sections of Regensburg's urban area which lie within the line of vision of an observer positioned in the Old Town of Regensburg. Local measures to protect the world heritage area are also in operation, above all the „Satzung über örtliche Bauvorschriften zum Schutze der Altstadt von Regensburg (Altstadtschutzsatzung)“ (Statutes concerning Local Building Ordinances for the Protection of the Old Town of Regensburg (Old Town Protection Statutes). The statutes were promulgated in 1982 on the legal basis of article 91 of the Bavarian Building Ordinance. The outward manifestation of the Old Town of Regensburg (also from an aerial perspective) as well as the appearance of individual buildings within this area is the objects considered worthy of preservation. The purpose of the statute is to implement building measures and advertising which show consideration and respect for the existing historical buildings and for indigenous styles of design and their traditional rules. The statute applies to outside walls, roofs, roof superstructures and segments, windows and other openings, balconies, railings, overhead lines, antennas, enclosures and advertising billboards.

- Federal Building Code Baugesetzbuch - BauGB Issued on 08.12.1986; here as revised in the publication made on 27.08.1997 - Federal Law Gazette I p. 2141 The Federal Building Code constitutes the legal basis for building construction and development planning in respect of all building projects both inside and outside the World Heritage area. In the interests of simplifying legislation, the Federal Building Law Bundesbaugesetz (1960) and the Act for the Promotion of Urban Construction Städtebauförderungsgesetz (1971) were combined into a single statute. The old regulations laid down in municipal building law were then oriented more towards the present and future tasks of urban construction, and the instruments required to this end were improved.
- Federal Law on Nature Conservation & Landscape Protection [Bundesnaturschutzgesetz - BnatSchG] As revised on 25.03.2002 (Federal Law Gazette I p. 1193). This federal law is the basis for the Bavarian Law on Nature Conservation and local ordinances on nature conservation. This relevance is of significance to the historic green belt which forms the perimeter of the World Heritage area to the south of the Danube, as well as to individual specially marked zones within the area and in the buffer zone. Bavarian Constitution Dated 02.12.1946, last revised in the law dated 20.06.1984 (Law Gazette p. 223). In the Constitution of the Free State of Bavaria, key aspects of preserving historic buildings and ancient monuments are defined as tasks incumbent upon the state.
- Bavarian Building Regulations [Bayerische Bauordnung - BayBO] In the version published on 04.08.1997 (Law Gazette p. 433), last revised in the law dated 07.08.2003 (Law Gazette p. 497 FNBayRS 2132-1-I). The Bavarian Building Regulations form the legal basis for all building projects inside and outside the nominated area. They apply to all building structures and building products.

- Bavarian Law for the Protection and Preservation of Monuments (Monument Protection Law - Denkmalschutzgesetz - DSchG) In the version published on 25.06.1973 (Law Gazette p. 328 [BayRS 2242-1-K]), last revised 1.8.2003 (Law Gazette p. 475) The Monument Protection Law is the most important legal basis for all alterations of every structure inside the World Heritage area. The provisions for protection of this law are also valid for built monuments, for archeological monuments and for all listed movable monuments outside the nominated area.
- Bavarian Law on Nature Conservation, Landscape Protection & Outdoor Recreation (Bayerisches Naturschutzgesetz - BayNatSchG) In the version published on 18.08.1998 (Law Gazette p. 593), amended by the laws dated 27.12.1999 (Law Gazette p. 532), 24.04.2001 (Law Gazette p. 140), and 24.12.2002 (Law Gazette p. 975). The law forms the legal basis for a number of ordinances at local authority level, which affect both the core area and the buffer zone.
- By-Laws on Local Building Regulations for Protecting the Old City Centre of Regensburg (Altstadtschutzsatzung) Dated 16.12.1982 (Official Gazette no. 5 dated 31.01.1983). The preservation and renewal of the townscape of the old city centre of Regensburg is a matter of architectural, cultural and social significance that has high priority and is in the public interest. On the basis of Art. 91 (1) nos. 2 and 4 and Art. 91 (2) no. 1 of the Bavarian Building Code, the City of Regensburg has therefore issued By-Laws for protecting the old city centre. The aim is to ensure that when the old city centre of Regensburg's townscape - which has been created over the centuries - continues to develop in keeping with the times, the historic buildings, local characteristics and traditional set-ups are taken into account in order to preserve the unique appearance and atmosphere of this city.
- By-Laws on Using Public Roads & Spaces in the City of Regensburg for Special Purposes (Sondernutzungssatzung) Adopted by the city council on 18.12.2000 (Official Gazette no. 52 dated 27.12.2000) as a substitute for the By-Laws on using space for a special purpose dated 30.10.1996 (Official Gazette no. 47 dated 18.11.1996), and amended in the By-Laws dated 14.08.2003 (Official Gazette no. 35 dated 25.08.2003).

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or

Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

The following institutions are responsible for the presentation, preservation and maintenance of the area „Old Town of Regensburg with Stadthof“ (as well as for the individual historical buildings and ensembles which lie within the jurisdiction of the city of Regensburg but not within the borders of the World Heritage area): - Bayerisches Landesamt für Denkmalpflege (=The Bavarian Regional Office for the Preservation of Historical Monuments): 2 archeologists, 2 art historians, 1 building researcher, 1 restorer, 1 graphic artist, 4 digging technicians - Staatliches Hochbauamt Regensburg (=State Structural Engineering Office): 3 architects - Staatliche Dombauhütte Regensburg (=State Cathedral Construction Works): 1 works supervisor („Hüttenmeister“), 11 master stonemasons - City of Regensburg, Office for Archives and Preservation of Historical Monuments: 1 historian, 1 art historian, 1 archeologist, 1 architect - City of Regensburg, City Museums of Regensburg: 3 art historians, 1 archeologist, 2 restorers - City of Regensburg, City Planning Office: 9 architects - City of Regensburg, Building Ordinance Office: 1 jurist, 2 architects, 5 constructional engineers - City of Regensburg, Structural Engineering Office: 1 architect, 1 constructional engineer, constructors as required - City of Regensburg, Underground Construction Engineering Office: 9 graduated engineers, 9 constructional engineers, 20 constructors 73. City of Regensburg, Regensburg Tourist Office: 1 Manager, 140 guides - Diocese of Regensburg, Episcopal Building Committee: 2 architects - Diocese of Regensburg, Art Collection: 2 art historians - The Administrative Headquarters for the Lutheran Church: 1 architect - The University of Regensburg Building Office: 2 architects - The Thurn und Taxis Building Office: 2 architects - 2 Local history guardians („Heimatspfleger“)

**Comment**

Add: City of Regensburg - World Heritage Coordination Unit: 1 World Heritage Manager (historical geographer) - 1 public relation officer - 1 art historian-

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

A revised version of the management plan has been elaborated during the last three years. Through the integration of local stakeholders and extensive participation of the citizens, as well as the early integration of bodies responsible for potential funding an Integrated Cultural Heritage Management Plan has been drafted and was adopted by the city council in February 2012. The methodology used was developed in a joint European initiative called the Hero (Heritage as opportunity) project.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	5%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	35%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	25%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	33%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	70%
Part-time	30%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	80%
Seasonal	20%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Excellent

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

A new visitor centre on World Heritage issues has opened in May 2011. Anually around 300.000 visitors are using this institution. More information can be found here: <http://www.regensburg.de/de/unesco-world-heritage/visitor-centre/60801>

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **underway**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## **5. Summary and Conclusions**

### **5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

#### **5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

No factor is both current and negative.

### **5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

#### **5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	No impact

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**  
Reason for update: An updated version has been sent through our national focal point for acceptance by UNESCO

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**