1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property
Messel Pit Fossil Site

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)
- Germany

Type of Property
natural

Identification Number
720bis

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List
1995

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Coordinates (longitude / latitude)</th>
<th>Property (ha)</th>
<th>Buffer zone (ha)</th>
<th>Total (ha)</th>
<th>Incription year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Messel Pit Fossil Site</td>
<td>49.917 / 8.754</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (ha)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
<td><strong>22.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>64.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.4 - Map(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Link to source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Messel Pit Fossil site includes 200m Gauß-Krüger grid, scale 1:2,000</td>
<td>04/10/1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map of Inscribed Property 2010</td>
<td>20/04/2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Birgitta Ringbeck
  Auswärtiges Amt
  National World Heritage Focal Point
  Referat 603-9
  Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Hessisches Ministerium für Wissenschaft & Kunst Dietrich Reinhard
Rheinstrasse 23-25
D- 65185 Wiesbaden Germany
R.Dietrich@HMWK.Hessen.de +49 611 323 463 +49 611 323 499 http://www.hmwk.hessen.de

Comment
New email-address: Reinhard.Dietrich@hmwk.hessen.de

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. **View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection**
2. **Fossillagerstätte Grube Messel (Deutsche UNESCO-Kommission)(german only)**
3. **UNESCO Commission of Germany**
4. **Natural site datasheet from WCMC**
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1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment
This site is still protected by the Federal Mining Law of Germany and the Monument Authority of the State of Hesse: Bodendenkmalpflege.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed (viii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

The Committee inscribed the nominated property on the basis of criterion (i), considering that the site is of outstanding universal value as the single best site which contributes to the understanding of the Eocene, when mammals became firmly established in all principal land ecosystems. Furthermore, the committee commended the German Government for their support of the high standards of paleontological research undertaken

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)
Federal Mining Law Germany regularly
## 3.15. Factors Summary Table

### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Origin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings and Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretative and visitation facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/cultural uses of heritage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden ecological or geological events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion and siltation / deposition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management and institutional factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low impact research / monitoring activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High impact research / monitoring activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Legend
- 🌍 Current
- 🌍 Potential
- 🌍 Negative
- 🌍 Positive
- 🌍 Inside
- 🌍 Outside

## 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spatial scale</th>
<th>Temporal scale</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Management response</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sudden ecological or geological events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion and siltation / deposition</td>
<td>restricted</td>
<td>one off or rare</td>
<td>high capacity</td>
<td>static</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection.

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an adequate or better basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity.

4.2.4 - Is the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain.

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

4.3.1.1 - Comments

Processes are under control by management.

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Processes are under control by management.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Question 5.04

A steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site.

Question 5.03

Set up date: 1995

Function: Marketing the Messel Pit; public and didactic presentation of the fossils found and granting access to the public on behalf of Land Hesse as the owner of the pit; participation in all administrative acts.

Mandate: Marketing the Messel Pit; public and didactic presentation of the fossils found and granting access to the public on behalf of Land Hesse as the owner of the pit; participation in all administrative acts.

Constituted: legal

Question 5.04

Plans in place to set up a "steering group; No plans are in place.
4.3.2 - Management Documents
Comment
Contract agreed are documented within the Hesse Ministry of Science and Art.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?
There is excellent coordination between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property.

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The management system / plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?
The management system is being fully implemented and monitored.

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?
An annual work / action plan exists and many activities are being implemented.

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local communities / residents</th>
<th>Fair</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local / Municipal authorities</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowners</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitors</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism industry</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?
Local communities have some input into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management.

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?
No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone.

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International donations (NGO’s, foundations, etc)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (National / Federal)</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental (Local / Municipal)</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In country donations (NGO’s, foundations, etc)</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other grants</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD):

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available budget is sufficient but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard.

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are secure in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term.

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?
Potential economic benefits are recognised and plans to realise these are being developed.

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?
There are adequate equipment and facilities.

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?
Equipment and facilities are well maintained.
Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Full-time | 93%
| Part-time | 7%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Permanent | 93%
| Seasonal | 7%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Paid | 100%
| Volunteer | 0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| Research and monitoring | Good
| Promotion | Good
| Community outreach | Fair
| Interpretation | Good
| Education | Non-existent
| Visitor management | Fair
| Conservation | Good
| Administration | Good
| Risk preparedness | Non-existent
| Tourism | Non-existent
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| Research and monitoring | Medium
| Promotion | Medium
| Community outreach | Not applicable
| Interpretation | Medium
| Education | Not available
| Visitor management | Medium
| Conservation | Medium
| Administration | Not available
| Risk preparedness | Not available
| Tourism | Not available
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Not available

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Section II-Messel Pit Fossil Site

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient for most key areas but there are gaps

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Details please visit www.senckenberg.de and www.grube-messel.de

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

From 2008-2012 there have been published several 100 papers in biological, palaeontological, geological journals on inter-/national level. See homepage www.senckenberg.de. Visitor and education related publications have also been published in adequate journals. Comment to 4.5.4 and 4.5.5. Data have high volume. Selection is difficult - use? Your question needs topic specification to answer it.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| Local communities / residents | Average
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Average
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable
| Local landowners | Not applicable
| Visitors | Excellent
| Tourism industry | Excellent
| Local businesses and industries | Average
Periodic Report - Second Cycle
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4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?
There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property.

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?
World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities.

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted but **improvements could be made**.

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Adequacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visitor centre</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site museum</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information booths</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided tours</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails / routes</td>
<td>Not needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information materials</td>
<td>Adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation facilities</td>
<td>Not provided but needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Not needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last year</td>
<td>Decreasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two years</td>
<td>Major Increase (100%+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three years</td>
<td>Static Minor Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four years</td>
<td>Minor Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years</td>
<td>Minor Increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?
- Entry tickets and registries
- Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment
Visitor management results are published in scientific papers. See www.grube-messel.de

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?
There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation.

4.7.6 - Are fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property.

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value.

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation.

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local / Municipal authorities</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local communities</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
No relevant Committee recommendations to implement.
4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 management needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)
Please refer to question 5.2
5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>World Heritage criteria and attributes affected</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Lead agency (and others involved)</th>
<th>More info / comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>Sudden ecological or geological events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11.5</td>
<td>Erosion and siltation/deposition</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>regularly</td>
<td>steadily</td>
<td>Senckenberg Society for Nature Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.
5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity
The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved.

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity
The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact.

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value
The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values
Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact.

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and monitoring</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management effectiveness</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure development</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for the property</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International cooperation</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political support for conservation</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal / Policy framework</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional coordination</td>
<td>Very positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

- Governmental institution responsible for the property
- Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
- Non Governmental Organization

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?
yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Party Representative</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Body</td>
<td>Very poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?
All required information was accessible.

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring and reporting</th>
<th>How?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Party</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Managers</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Bodies</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
Automatically generated in online version.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise