

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Hanseatic City of Lübeck

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Germany

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

272bis

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1987



### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Zone 1: Burgkloster - Aegidienstrasse , Germany	53.867 / 10.692	?	?	0	1987
Zone 2: Petrikirche - Dom zu Lübeck , Germany	53.863 / 10.683	?	?	0	1987
Zone 3: Marienkirche, Rathaus, Marktplatz , Germany	53.868 / 10.684	?	?	0	1987
<b>Total (ha)</b>			<b>0</b>		

#### Comment

The geographic information was submitted to the World Heritage Center, attested fully satisfactory and will be presented to the World Heritage Committee at its 37th session. Zone 1 : Burgkloster-Aegidienstraße / 59,1 ha / N 53 52 00 E10 41 30 Zone 2 : Petrikirche – Dom zu Lübeck / 19,5 ha / N 53 51 45 E10 41 00 Zone 3 : Marienkirche, Rathaus, Marktplatz / 2,5 ha / N 53 52 04 E10 41 04 TOTAL 81,1 ha property / 693,8 ha buffer zone / N 53 52 00 E10 41 30

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Town of Lübeck World Heritage site	18/11/2008	
Hanseatic City of Lübeck - minor boundary modification	09/01/2009	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Birgitta Ringbeck  
Auswärtiges Amt  
National World Heritage Focal Point  
Referat 603-9  
Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Christine Koretzky  
Hansestadt Lübeck  
Site Manager

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Hanseatic City of Lübeck \(german only\)](#)

#### Comment

Worldheritage of Luebeck: [www.unesco.luebeck.de](http://www.unesco.luebeck.de)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is transmitted to the Heritage Center and will be presented on the 37. session of the Heritage committee, 16. – 27.06.2013 in Kambodscha

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>		
3.1.2	Commercial development		
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities		
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>		
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure		
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>		
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities		
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>		
3.4.4	Air pollution		
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative
		Positive	Inside
			Outside

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.4	Pollution				
3.4.4	Air pollution	widespread	on-going	minor	low capacity
					static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

##### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

- **Question 6.02**

Effective protection has been guaranteed by inscribing all buildings in Lübeck Old Town worthy of protection pursuant to Land Schleswig-Holstein Law on Monument Protection. The protection on this basis is enhanced by an urban planning framework and a statute on developing and maintaining the entire area of the Old Town, by designating some 50% of the Old Town as a redevelopment area in line with urban development assistance and binding building plans for individual areas.

The local protection and statute laws are being continued and further developed in line with the needs of the preservation and development of the World Heritage site.

Identification of the entire inner city area as an excavation protection area in 1992

#### Comment

Ongoing inscription of listed monuments and a excavation protection area (1992) according to the Monument Protection Act of Schleswig-Holstein (DSchG - revised 12.01.2012) A Redevelopment statute (1979) and a Preservation Statute (1973) according to the Federal Building Code (BauGB - last revised: 22. Juli 2011) Design statute for Lübeck (1982) according to the State Building Code of Schleswig Holstein (LBO - revised on 22.01.2009)

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

##### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

- **Question 5.02**

Sterling group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

- **Question 5.03**  
**Set up date:** 1997  
**Function:** Communicating principles of the maintenance of the Old Town  
**Mandate:** Advising on the conservation of monuments and archaeology in particularly problematic cases  
**Constituted:** formal
- **Question 5.05**  
Overall management system of the site
  - Management under protective legislation
  - Consensual management

**Comment**

Management Tools: Statutory Heritage Management Plan, various Land Use Plans, Regional Plan of Schleswig-Holstein, Redevelopment statute, Preservation statute, Design statute, Urban planning framework, Listed monument plan  
Management Groups: Heritage Coordinator, Urban Planning Department, World Heritage Advisory Body, Preservation and Archeology Department

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Westliche Randbebauung des Lübecker Marktes. Planungen für das ehemalige Post- und Stadthausgrundstück am Lübecker Markt. Bericht der Hansestadt Lübeck für die UNESCO	N/A	Available	01/09/2001	
Bericht der Hansestadt Lubeck für die UNESCO. Westliche Randbebauung des Lubecker Marktes. Planungen für das ehemalige Post- und Stadthausgrundstück am Lubecker Markt	N/A	Available	01/01/2001	
Management Plan. World Heritage "Hanseatic City of Lubeck". November 2011	In Force	Available	13/03/2012	

**Comment**

Sichtachsenstudie Welterbe "Lübecker Altstadt"; Prüfung der Sichtbeziehungen vom Lübecker Umland auf die Silhouette des Welterbes "Lübecker Altstadt" . November 2011 (view axis study)

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully implemented** and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	42%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	13%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	16%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	29%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

No assistance from the World Heritage Fund

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	90%
Part-time	10%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good

Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Excellent

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

**4.7. Visitor Management**

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

**4.8. Monitoring**

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Excellent
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Excellent

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **complete**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.4</b>	<b>Air pollution</b>	The Heritage criteria is not affected.	There is no action planed.	Changes to the bricks are observed.	constantly	Preservation Departement Air pollution damages the bricks of the monuments.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
<b>4.1.2</b>	<b>Boundaries could be improved</b>	A minor boundary modification will be made.	Spring 2014	World Heritage Cordinator	The boundaries do not content an historic aera in the north-west of the city with a big number of very old listed buildings and street lines which date to the time of the Hanesatic League	



**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**  
Reason for update: The Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is transmitted to the Heritage Center and will be presented on the 37. session of the Heritage committee, 16. – 27.06.2013 in Kambodscha
- **Geographic Information Table**  
Reason for update: The geographic information was submitted to the World Heritage Center, attested fully satisfactory and will be presented to the World Heritage Committee at its 37th session. Zone 1 : Burgkloster-Aegidienstraße / 59,1 ha / N 53 52 00 E10 41 30 Zone 2 : Petrikirche – Dom zu Lübeck / 19,5 ha / N 53 51 45 E10 41 00 Zone 3 : Marienkirche, Rathaus, Marktplatz / 2,5 ha / N 53 52 04 E10 41 04 TOTAL 81,1 ha property / 693,8 ha buffer zone / N 53 52 00 E10 41 30

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**