1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property Aachen Cathedral

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

Germany

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

3

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List 1978

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (longitude / latitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | | Inscription year |
|---------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|-----|---------------------|
| Aachen Cathedral | 50.774 / 6.084 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 1978 |
| Total (ha) | | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | |

Comment

Please enter the size of the buffer zone of 67 ha. A plan of the buffer zone is prior existing in whc.

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|--|------------|----------------|
| Aachen Cathedral - Map of the inscribed property | 31/10/2011 | B |

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

 Birgitta Ringbeck Auswärtiges Amt National World Heritage Focal Point Referat 603-9 Multilaterale Kultur- und Medienpolitik

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Helmut Maintz
 Dombauverwaltung Aachen - Dombaumeister
 Cathedral architect (Dombaumeister)

Comment

Dombauleitung Aachen - Dombaumeister Helmut Maintz Cathedral architect (Dombaumeister) Klosterplatz 2 D-52062 Aachen Germany Telephone: +49241 47709123 Fax: +49241 47709144 Email: helmut.maintz@dom.bistum-aachen.de

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage</u> <u>collection</u>
- 2. Aachen Cathedral (german only)
- 3. <u>Aachener Dom (Deutsche UNESCO-Kommission german only)</u>

Comment

4. www.aachendom.de

Section II-Aachen Cathedral

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed (i)(iv)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impa | act | | Ori | gin |
|--------|---|---------|-----|--------|------|-----|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | • | | | | |
| 3.1.5 | Interpretative and visitation facilities | \odot | | 9 | | G |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | • | | | | |
| 3.3.3 | Non-renewable energy facilities | | 0 | l | 7 | 5 |
| 3.3.4 | Localised utilities | | | l | 7 | C |
| 3.4 | Pollution | 4 | | II | - | - |
| 3.4.4 | Air pollution | | | l | 7 | G |
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | I | | | - | |
| 3.7.1 | Wind | | 0 | l | 7 | 5 |
| 3.7.6 | Water (rain/water table) | | | l | 10 | G |
| 3.7.7 | Pests | | | l | 10 | G |
| 3.7.8 | Micro-organisms | | | l | 10 | 3 |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | |
| 3.8.1 | Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses | ٢ | | 9 | ۲ | S |
| 3.8.2 | Society's valuing of heritage | ٢ | | 9 | ۲ | 5 |
| 3.8.6 | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation | ٢ | 0 | 9 | 1 💿 | l. |
| 3.9 | Other human activities | • | | | | |
| 3.9.2 | Deliberate destruction of heritage | | ۲ | 9 | 7 | G |
| 3.9.5 | Terrorism | | 0 | l | 1 💿 | S |
| 3.10 | Climate change and severe weather events | · | | | | |
| 3.10.1 | Storms | | 0 | l | 7 | G |
| 3.10.7 | Other climate change impacts | | 0 | l | 7 | G |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | - | |
| 3.11.2 | Earthquake | | | 9 | ۲ | 5 |
| 3.13 | Management and institutional factors | • | | | | |
| 3.13.1 | Low impact research / monitoring activities | ٢ | | 9 | ۲ | G |
| 3.13.2 | High impact research / monitoring activities | | | 9 | ۲ | 3 |
| 3.13.3 | Management activities | | | 9 | ۲ | 3 |
| Legend | Current Potential Segative Positive | de ' | G | Outsic | le i | |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | • | Management response | Trend |
|--------|---|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | |
| | Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation | localised | intermittent or sporadic | minor | high capacity | static |
| 3.9 | Other human activities | | | | | |
| 3.9.2 | Deliberate destruction of heritage | widespread | intermittent or sporadic | insignificant | high capacity | static |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | | |
| 3.11.2 | Earthquake | widespread | one off or rare | significant | high capacity | static |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had no buffer zone at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2 Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006)

- Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

 Question 6.02

 North Dhire Worksholder Lawren the Detection
 - North Rhine-Westphalian Law on the Protection of Monuments

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or

Section II-Aachen Cathedral

Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2 Source: <u>Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006)</u> Submitted on Tuesday, October 18, 2005

Question 5.02
 Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

- Question 5.03
- Set up date: 1986

Function: Coordinating conservation in line with monument protection regulations, establishing fundamental guidelines concerning the World Heritage site

Mandate: Control of the construction budget, authorizing and monitoring the construction programme **Constituted:** formal

- Question 5.05
 - Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

There is a management plan that a) lists the conservation, maintenance and care, b) dealing with the visitors regulates c c) provides for the protection of cultural heritage in danger for aid agencies

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ? The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| Local communities / residents | Good |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Local / Municipal authorities | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Good |
| Landowners | Not applicable |
| Visitors | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Industry | Not applicable |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or

recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Section II-Aachen Cathedral

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | 0% |
|---|-----|
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 33% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 20% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | 10% |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 10% |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 0% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0% |
| Other grants | 27% |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are not secure

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are adequate equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained? Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Full-time | 75% |
|-----------|-----|
| Part-time | 25% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Permanent | 100% |
|-----------|------|
| Seasonal | 0% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Paid | 100% |
|-----------|------|
| Volunteer | 0% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| Good |
|------|
| Good |
| Fair |
| Good |
| Fair |
| Good |
| |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| Research and monitoring | Low |
|----------------------------------|------|
| Promotion | High |
| Community outreach | Low |
| Interpretation | Low |
| Education | Low |
| Visitor management | Low |
| Conservation | Low |
| Administration | Low |
| Risk preparedness | Low |
| Tourism | Low |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Low |

odians, po

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and fully implemented: all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or

recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decisionmaking to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient

Section II-Aachen Cathedral

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding **Universal Value?**

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of **Outstanding Universal Value**

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property? In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| Local communities / residents | Excellent |
|--|----------------|
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Excellent |
| Local landowners | Not applicable |
| Visitors | Excellent |
| Tourism industry | Excellent |
| Local businesses and industries | Excellent |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no need for an education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an important influence on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is excellent presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| Visitor centre | Excellent |
|----------------|-----------|
| Site museum | Excellent |

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

| Information booths | Not needed |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Guided tours | Excellent |
| Trails / routes | Not needed |
| Information materials | Adequate |
| Transportation facilities | Not needed |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| Last year | Minor Increase |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Two years ago | Minor Increase |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |
| Four years ago | Minor Increase |
| Five years ago | Minor Increase |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| Entry tickets and registries | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Tourism industry | |
| Other | |

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

Section II-Aachen Cathedral

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent | |
|--|----------------|--|
| Local / Municipal authorities | Not applicable | |
| Local communities | Not applicable | |
| Researchers | Excellent | |
| NGOs Exceller | | |
| Industry | Not applicable | |
| Local indigenous peoples | Not applicable | |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below) Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | - | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
|--------|--|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 3.8 | Social/cultural | uses of heritage | | | | | |
| 3.8.6 | | harmful CO2 concentration | automatic ventilation system to reduce CO2 concentration | continuous measurement system that elevated CO2 values, the ventilation system takes into operation | | | |
| 3.9 | Other human a | ctivities | | | | | |
| 3.9.2 | Deliberate destruction of heritage | Stone throwing leaded glass, Graffiti | property protection | | | | |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | | | |
| 3.11.2 | Earthquake | Aachen is a known earthquake zone | existing cracks are secured so that they do not re-open with an earthquake | | can not be predicted, the last earthquake took place in 1992, magnitude 5.7 on the Richter scale | | |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

| 4.3 Mar | nagement Syster | / Management Plan | | | |
|---------|--|-------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Actions Timeframe | | | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
| | There is little or no contact with industry regarding management | is not necessary | | | |

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| Conservation | Very positive |
|--|----------------|
| Research and monitoring | Very positive |
| Management effectiveness | Very positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | No impact |
| Recognition | Very positive |
| Education | Positive |
| Infrastructure development | Positive |
| Funding for the property | Very positive |
| International cooperation | Very positive |
| Political support for conservation | Very positive |
| Legal / Policy framework | Positive |
| Lobbying | Very positive |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Not applicable |
| Other (please specify) | Not applicable |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable? yes

Section II-Aachen Cathedral

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| UNESCO | Very good |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| State Party Representative | Very good |
| Advisory Body | Very poor |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value | |
|--|--|
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value | |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| UNESCO | Excellent |
|-----------------|-----------|
| State Party | Excellent |
| Site Managers | Excellent |
| Advisory Bodies | Excellent |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Geographic Information Table
 Reason for update: Please enter the size of the
 buffer zone of 67 ha. A plan of the buffer zone is
 prior existing in whc.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise