

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Verla Groundwood and Board Mill

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Finland

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

751


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Verla Groundwood and Board Mill	61.062 / 26.641	22.778	80.027	102.805	1996
Total (ha)		22.778	80.027	102.805	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
GIS boundary outline for Verla Groundwood and Board Mill	08/12/2005	

Comment

The map will be delivered later by National Board of Antiquities.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Margaretha Ehrström
National Board of Antiquities
Senior Advisor

Comment

Stefan Wessman Senior researcher P.O. Box 913 FIN-00101
Helsinki Finland Telephone: +358401286256
Email: stefan.wessman@nba.fi

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Tarja Antikainen
Verla Mill Museum/ UPM-Kymmene Oyj
Director of Verla Mill Museum

Comment

Verla Mill Museum/UPM-Kymmene Oyj, Ville Majuri, Director of Verla Mill Museum, Myllytie 29, FIN-45910 Voikkaa
Telephone: +358 20 4152206 Email: ville.majuri@upm.com

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [National Board of Antiquities](#)
- [Verla Groundwood and Board Mill](#)

Section II-Verla Groundwood and Board Mill

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Muinaismuistolaki, rakennussuojelulaki.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The retrospective Statement of OUV was submitted to the Finnish Advisory Body in 2002.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.1	Water infrastructure					
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities					
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities					
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection					
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production					
3.6	Physical resource extraction					
3.6.4	Water (extraction)					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.3	Temperature					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)					
3.7.7	Pests					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms					
3.10.2	Flooding					
3.10.6	Temperature change					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species					
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	low capacity	static
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities	restricted	on-going	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.5 Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	static
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.2	Relative humidity	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	frequent	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	static
3.7.7	Pests	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	frequent	minor	medium capacity	increasing
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	extensive	frequent	minor	low capacity	increasing
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms	extensive	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.10.6	Temperature change	extensive	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	restricted	on-going	minor	low capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities / landowners**.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Saturday, October 29, 2005

- **Question 6.02**

UPM-Kymmene Corporation runs the Mill Museum and is the biggest landowner of Verla. The responsibility of Verla within the Company, is shared between the department of administration, who runs the Museum and the department of real estate, who is responsible for the other historic buildings on the site. The target is to integrate the responsibilities into one effective unit.

Comment

UPM-Kymmene Corporation still runs the Mill Museum and is the biggest landowner of Verla. The Mill Museum is

responsible for the buildings owned by UPM-Kymmene corporation. The company KSS Energia Oy is responsible for the power plant area with its buildings. In addition, a small area in the World Heritage property is owned by private landowner. osay`leiskaava rak.suojelulaki muinaismuistolaki

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

WHC Note (July 2012):

In the First Cycle of the PR it was mentioned that a management plan will be completed and adopted in December 2008. However, the only information available at the World Heritage Centre is dating from the time of inscription of the property. If the above mentioned Management Plan is currently in force, please provide its 2 paper and electronic versions to the WHC. If a more recent Management Plan is in force, please submit 2 paper and electronic versions to WHC. In either of the above cases, the submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Saturday, October 29, 2005

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

- **Question 5.02**
Stering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site
- **Question 5.03**
Function: The Site Commission of Verla approves the annual budget and plan of action, including also repairs and conservation work. The Site Commission is also responsible for the development of Verla as a public attraction according to the provisions of Verla as a World Heritage Site
Mandate: Members of the Site Commission are the landowners of the Site and National Board of Antiquities.
Constituted: formal
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management under protective legislation
 - Consensual management

Comment

The first management plan is being done at the moment and it will be completed and adopted in June 2013. Question 5.03: The Site Commission of Verla guides the management and the use of the site. Landowners make decisions that affect their property.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The first management plan is being done at the moment and it will be completed and adopted in June 2013. Question 5.03: The Site Commission of Verla guides the management and the use of the site. Landowners make decisions that affect their property.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system **is not being implemented**

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair

Section II-Verla Groundwood and Board Mill

Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Question 4.3.10: UPM-Kymmene Corporation is the main landowner of the site.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Governmental (National / Federal)	15%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	15%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	
Other grants	70%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The owners of the site are responsible for the financing of Verla.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	30%
Part-time	70%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	30%
Seasonal	70%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	95%
Volunteer	5%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Not applicable
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

Section II-Verla Groundwood and Board Mill

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Not applicable
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Question 4.4.1.9: 70 % = UPM Kymmene Corporation. The owners of the site are responsible for the finance of Verla.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Thesis: The Mill Unites and Separates - Verla Groundwood and Board Mill Community from 1880s till 1960s. University of Turku, Inkeri Ahvenisto, 2008. The first management plan is being done at the moment and it will be completed and adopted in June 2013 Some exhibitions publications. Some studies of restoration.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations, but not easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Poor
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
-----------	----------------

Section II-Verla Groundwood and Board Mill

Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.5 Biological resource use/modification							
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	(iv)	Forestry.	monitoring is done by the owner of the site.	Constant.	UPM Kymmene Corporation	-
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.2	Relative humidity	(iv)	shelter damn	monitoring of the temperature and humidity, monitoring of the leaks	shelter damn 2013-2014	UPM Kymmene Oyj, KSS Energia Oy (landowners)	-
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	(iv)	see question 3.7.2 above	see question 3.7.2 above	see question 3.7.2 above	see question 3.7.2 above	-
3.8 Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(iv)	co-operation with local people.	-	constant	-	-
3.10 Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.6	Temperature change	(iv)	the heating of the buildings	-	2014 -	UPM Kymmene Oyj	-
3.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species	(iv)	preventive measures, (for example cutting down trees)	-	constant	Landowners	-

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.1.4	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities / landowners	education, information	constant	Verla Mill Museum (UPM Kymmen Oyj)	-	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	See question 4.1 above.	See question 4.1 above.	See question 4.1 above.	-	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.5	The management system is not being implemented	The management plan will be completed in 2013.	2013	Verla Mill Museum	-	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	More co-operation, more infomation adn new materials.	2013 -	Verla Mill Museum	-	
4.8 Monitoring						
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	The key indicators must be defined more accurately.	2013 -	Verla Mill Museumm (UPM Kymmene Oyj)	-	

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

Section II-Verla Groundwood and Board Mill

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The retrospective Statement of OUV was submitted to the Finnish Advisory Body in 2002.
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: The map will be delivered later by National Board of Antiquities.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise