

1. World Heritage Property Data**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Bronze Age Burial Site of Sammallahdenmäki

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Finland

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

579rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1999


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Bronze Age Burial Site of Sammallahdenmäki	61.121 / 21.778	0	0	0	1999
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

The area of the Property and buffer zone is missing, here is the data: Property (ha) 36, buffer zone (ha) 33,3

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Bronze Age Burial Site of Sammallahdenmäki - inscribed property	04/12/1999	

Comment

A new map will be submitted to the Focal Point this year (2013), no changes will be made to the Property or the buffer zone. The new map will meet the requirements for the retrospective inventory map.

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Margaretha Ehrström
National Board of Antiquities
Senior Advisor

Comment

National Board of Antiquities Stefan Wessman Senior Researcher P.O.Box 913 FIN-00101 FIN 00101 Helsinki Finland Telephone: +385-400-1286256 Email: stefan.wessman@nba.fi

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Hanna-Leena Salminen
Rauma Museum
Curator

Comment

Correct telephonenumber: +358 44 793 3526 No fax in use anymore.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)

Comment

New website for the property is planned and hopefully it will be online by the end of this year (2013). The webaddress will be: www.rauma.fi/sammallahdenmaki

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value****2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance****Comment**

The retrospective Statement of Universal Value has been submitted January, 31. 2012, but has not yet been adopted by WHC.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

Sammallahdenmäki forms the largest, most varied and most complete burial site from the Scandinavian Bronze Age. Stone burial cairns were typical for the western bronze culture. Situated in a rugged, rocky landscape, the cairns bear exceptional witness to the social and religious structures of northern Europe more than three millennia ago. The Sammallahdenmäki burial site includes all elements and individual structures of cairns in an imposing natural setting.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value****3. Factors Affecting the Property****3.14. Other factor(s)****3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.3	Industrial areas								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.3	Services Infrastructures								
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities								
3.3.4	Localised utilities								
3.5	Biological resource use/modification								
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals								
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.1	Wind								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review, complete and update the information provided below, if appropriate.

The cairn area inscribed, which covers 35ha, is protected under the provisions of the Finnish Antiquities Act (295/63), which makes it an offence to dig, cover, change, harm, or remove an ancient monument without prior authorization of the National Board of Antiquities (Museovirasto). The boundaries of the site and of its buffer zone (55ha) were defined in 1993 by the provincial government in agreement with the National Board.

The site and its surroundings are also protected by means of the Finnish regional planning system. Regional plans, which

must be approved by the Ministry of the Environment, constitute the most stringent form of legislative protection in Finland. In the successive Regional Plans for Satakunta from 1985 to the present, the buffer zone is listed as a "culturally-historically important environment as defined on the basis of landscape, historical, architectural-historical or architectural-artistic criteria."

Comment

A few corrections for the text: The cairn area inscribed covers 36 ha. Together the site and the buffer zone cover an area of 69,3 ha. The sentence that starts: "In the successive..." can be taken away and this put on its place: Both the site and the buffer zone have special status and protection in the latest Regional plan of Satakunta from the year 2011.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review, complete and update the information provided below. If a more recent management plan / system is in force, we will very much appreciate it if you could provide its 2 paper and electronic copies to the WHC. The submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation.

The site is in private ownership.

The National Board of Antiquities is responsible for the management of the site. There is no permanent staff specifically charged with the promotion or the maintenance of the site.

Agriculture is the only form of development allowed in the area. No type of construction or clear felling is permitted without prior authorization from the responsible agency. The buffer zone consists mostly of outcrops, fields, and forested areas. It is adjacent to the Saarnijärvi Natural Preserve, a protected natural area.

The management plan for the site drawn up by the National Board of Antiquities aims at keeping it in an untouched state. Maintenance is limited to clearing fallen branches and trees from the site and its paths, and the removal of deciduous saplings from the cairns themselves. No more than a few days are considered to be needed each year for this purpose.

Visitor access is directed by means of marked paths. The natural aspect of the site is considered to be of significant value in understanding and presenting it.


Some 100 people visit the area annually, mainly local schoolchildren. The area is accessible to tourists by marked routes from major highways. An interpretation board displays information on the site and guided tours can be organized through the National Board of Antiquities.

The site is part of the "Footsteps of the Forefathers" touring route developed by a local tourist society. This initiative is included in a larger project of Site Register for Prehistory Touring.

Comment

A new management plan is being drafted and it will be submitted to Focal Point in 2013. Here are some corrections for the provided text. Some 8000 people visit the site annually. The amount of visitors has increased since the World Heritage nomination in 1999 by thousands of visitors. The site is accessible to tourists by marked routes from major highways. There are interpretation board displays on the site and guided tours can be organized through Rauma City Tourist information.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
The Bronze Age Burial Cairn Area of Sammallahdenmäki: Management Plan	N/A	Available	01/01/1999	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Poor

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	60%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	40%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%

Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

We have not received any assistance from the World Heritage Fund during the past 3 years and to our knowledge not ever.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

There is **no budget** for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

Existing sources of funding are **not secure**

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is **basic** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	80%
Part-time	20%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	50%
Volunteer	50%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
-------------------------	------

Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Non-existent
Tourism	Non-existent
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Not available
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Low
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for most key areas **but there are gaps**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Raike, Eeva 2002. Lappi. Sammallahdenmäki. Pronssikautisen röykkiöalueen tutkimuskaivaus. Museovirasto. Arkeologian osasto. Topografinen arkisto. http://kulttuuriymparisto.nba.fi/netsovellus/rekisteriportaali/portti/default.aspx?sovellus=mjreki&taulu=T_KOHDE&tunnus=406010010

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	N/A
Five years ago	N/A

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Visitor surveys
Other

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

A visitor management plan will be drafted as a part of the new management plan for the site during the year 2013.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring****4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs****4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	This does not affect the WHC or attributes straight but possibly through a long time process.	Management is trying to increase the involvement of all the stakeholders to the WH work on the site, courage locals to take action themselves.	Regular visitor and stakeholder surveys, inviting locals to happenings on the site.	1-5 years	Rauma city officials. No additional comments.
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation	This does not affect the WHC directly but possibly through a long time process.	A visitor management plan should be made, more guidance for visitors is needed in the interpretation of the site, but also practical things like routemarks are needed.	Should be done every 2-3 years.	1-3 years	Rauma town, National Board of Antiquities no additional comments

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.4.3	There is no budget for effective management	There is no budget for the site, even though some funds are available. A budget is needed. Better understanding of different outside funding options for projects.	1-5 years	Rauma city, National Board of Antiquities	No additional comments	
4.4.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	There are no so called earmarked funds for the management of the Site in the Rauma city's budget. There should be a separate budget for the Site.	1-3 years	Rauma town	No additional comments	
4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	Continuous lobbying for a full time World Heritage employees for the site. Better understanding of different outside funding options for different projects.	1-5 years	Rauma City and National Board of Antiquities	No additional comments.	
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects						
4.5.2	Research in the property is not planned	A research plan for the Site should be drafted in co-operation with the site managers, National Board of Antiquities and representatives from different levels of education.	1-2 years.	Rauma city officials, National Board of Antiquities	No additional comments	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.1	World Heritage emblem not displayed	Hopefully we are able to put a proper large emblem on the site no later than the year 2014.	1-2 years	Rauma City officials	No additional comments.	
4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	World Heritage education programme is being drafted as apart of the new management plan for the site.	1-2 years	Rauma City officials	No additional comments.	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

no

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

There should be more practical examples for some of the questions, it was not always clear, what the question means exactly. .

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: The retrospective Statement of Universal Value has been submitted January, 31. 2012, but has not yet been adopted by WHC.
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: The area of the Property and buffer zone is missing, here is the data: Property (ha) 36, buffer zone (ha) 33,3
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: A new map will be submitted to the Focal Point this year (2013), no changes will be made to the Property or the buffer zone. The new map will meet the requirements for the retrospective inventory map.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise