

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Kronborg Castle

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Denmark

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

696rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (longitude / latitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Kronborg Castle, Helsingør, Island of Sjælland, Denmark	56.039 / 12.621	0	0	0	0
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

Property:18 ha Buffer Zone 1690 ha Total: 1708 ha Inscription
Year: 2000

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Kronborg Castle - inscribed property	02/12/2000	

Comment

New map is send to state party

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Bolette Lehn Petersen
Heritage Agency of Denmark
Architect MAA

Comment

Heritage Agency of Denmark has changed its name to:
Danish Agency for Culture new email: blp@kulturstyrelsen.dk
(same person)

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Agency for Palaces & Cultural Properties MOOS Louise Rue
Kronborg 2C
3000 Helsingør Denmark lrm@ses.dk +45 49 25 03
08 +45 49 21 30 52

Comment

New emailadress and phonenumber: lrm@slke.dk +45 4925
0308 +45 49213078 No fax

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
- [Kronborg Castle](#)
- [Kronborgs Slott i Helsingør \(Helsingborg CityGuide\)](#)

- [Kronborg Castle \(Slots- og Ejendomsstyrelsen / Castles & Properties Agency\)](#)

Comment

Please delete nummer 3 & 4

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

No

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

OUV is formulated and sent to UNESCO November 2010
(together with the management Plan)

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed (iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

An outstanding example of a Nordic renaissance castle, with a significant role in the northern european history, and with a strategic placement at the entry of the sound between Denmark and Sweden.

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The OUV covers the heritage site.

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.1	Housing						
3.1.2	Commercial development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure						
3.3	Services Infrastructures						
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities						
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution						
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.2	Flooding						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)						
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.2	Commercial development	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	static
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	localised	frequent	significant	high capacity	increasing
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	widespread	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition	extensive	on-going	significant	high capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional) Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review, complete and update the information provided below.

As a number of other in situ ancient monuments, the castle and fortress are protected under the Museum Act (Section 29), cf. Ministerial Order no. 1505 of 14 December 2006. The castle, the fortifications and most of the buildings in the area are also included under the Act on Preservation of Ancient Buildings and Urban Environments, cf. Ministerial Order no. 1088 of 29 August 2007, which protects buildings against alterations while at the same time making requirements on historical, professional building maintenance. All building works on protected buildings are subject to permission from the authorities if the work goes beyond normal maintenance.

The Heritage Agency of Denmark manages the Museum Act and the Preservation of Buildings Act. The entire Kronborg area lies within the beach protection line, cf. the Protection of Nature Act (Section 15), cf. Ministerial Order no. 933 of 24 September 2009. The entire fortification, including Grønnhave, the Coast Batteries and the ramparts are included under the Protection of Nature Act (Section 3), which deals with pasture and lakes. Elsinore Municipality administers these regulations. The Kronborg area is the habitat of the sand lizard and several bat species. These are included under the EU Habitat Directive, which protects the habitat of the species. Finally, the wall rue fern species, which grows on the rampart walls, is protected under the Red List from 1997.

Kronborg is included in Elsinore Municipality's District Plans no. 1.119 and 1.121. District Plan 1.119 'Kulturhavn Kronborg, Kulturværfet og Søfartsmuseet' (Culture Harbour Kronborg, Culture Yard and Maritime Museum) from 2007 facilitates the completion of the Culture Harbour project.

Comment

Please change The Heritage Agency of Denmark to The Danish Agency of Culture. Bufferzone is part of Elsinore Municipality District Plan.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Note WHC (July 2012): Please carefully review, complete and update the information provided below. If a more recent management plan / system is in force, we will very much appreciate it if you could provide its 2 paper and electronic copies to the WHC. The submission should be accompanied by a cover letter to DIR/WHC. Thank you for your cooperation.

Kronborg is managed in collaboration between different parties. Heritage Agency of Denmark holds national responsibility for the World Heritage monument in relation to UNESCO and handles all contact with UNESCO. Kronborg Castle and Fortress belongs to the Danish Crown, who also holds overall responsibility for the World Heritage monument. The Crown has delegated ownership responsibility and management to the Palaces and Properties Agency – under the auspices of the Danish Ministry of Finance.

The Palaces and Properties Agency holds the overall responsibility for Kronborg's preservation and operation. This means that the Palaces and Properties Agency establishes requirement specifications, purchases and prioritises the preservation and operation work. The execution of the concrete measures is most often handled by external suppliers based on tender material and operations contracts.


The Palaces and Properties Agency continually prepares operations and maintenance plans for the castle and fortifications. The plans for building maintenance and technical improvements have a 10-year perspective, whereas the plans for the green area and technical operation are established in 4-year operations contracts with external suppliers and 2-year restoration plans. The maintenance plans ensure the professional and estimated basis for prioritisation and decisions concerning new measures in relation to the restoration, maintenance, operation, development and utilisation of Kronborg.

Building inspections are carried out every two years, where the current state of the castle and the other buildings and structures is assessed, and at this stage, specific action areas concerning the preservation of the buildings and structures are determined in consultation with the royal building inspectors. Similarly, systematic grounds inspections are carried out with registration and prioritisation of maintenance and restoration of fortifications and the green areas. The work is prioritised in relation to the Palaces and Properties Agency's general cultural strategy and budget, the total cultural property portfolio's development plans, user requirements and the maintenance-related prioritised order that is indicated in the building inspection reports. In this way, the Agency ensures on behalf of the Crown, that cultural and historical values are preserved for future generations.

Comment

Please change The Palaces and Properties Agency to Agency for Palaces and Cultural Properties. Please change Heritage Agency of Denmark to The Danish Agency of Culture.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan Kronborg. November 2010.	In Force	Available	06/01/2011	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Good

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No local communities are resident in or living near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Please note that there now is defined a bufferzone for the world heritage site together with local municipality and state party.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	95%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	5%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

No

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	30%
Part-time	70%

Section II-Kronborg Castle

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	95%
Seasonal	5%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

All papers are used within the agency, and are communicated to relevant external partners.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but not easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Poor
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Poor
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

Section II-Kronborg Castle

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	8 -
	array
	1 3
	2 3
	3 3
	4 3
	5 3
	6 3
	7 2
	array
	1 Rating on a 4 point scale on adequacy
	2 Not needed
	3 Not provided but needed
	4 Poor
	5 Adequate
	6 Excellent

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

We have yearly visitor satisfaction surveys from the last 5 years. These are in danish, and can be send upon request.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **complete**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

State party has implemented standards for the bufferzone.

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.2	Commercial development	none	keep focus on the balance between visitor activities and protection.	Yearly	endlessly	SLKE	none
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure	Protection of the geographical landscape	Actions if needed	Yes	Always	SLKE	None
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution	Protection of the geographical landscape	Actions if needed	Yes	Always	SLKE	None
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/deposition	Protection of the geographical landscape and buildings	Actions part of the yearly building/site inspection	Yes yearly	Always	SLKE	None

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	Not relevant, since this is already resolved within the Elsinore Municipality District Plan.	None	Elsinore Municipality	None
4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Not relevant, since this is resolved within the Elsinore Municipality District Plan.	None	Elsinore Municipality	None
4.5 Scientific Studies and Research Projects					
4.5.2	Research in the property is not planned	There are ideas to find relevant research project together with universities. This should be executed, if needed funding is found.	2015-2020	Agency for Palaces and Cultural Properties	None
4.5.3	No active outreach of research results to national or international agencies	Since no new research, there is no outreach. when research projects above is finishh these will be distributed.	2017-2020	Agency for Palaces and Cultural Properties	None
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	This should be a focus within the next years. The Nordic World Heritage Foundation is planning a seminar on capacity and competence building in 2013. Hereafter a draft for an awareness program can be prepared.	2014-2015	Agency for Palaces and Cultural Properties	None
4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	There are several presentation of the OUV, but it is wanted to enhance this within the context of the renaissance periode. This should be an exhibit to be presented within the next 10 years.	2016-2023	Agency for Palaces and Cultural Properties	None

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**
Reason for update: OUV is formulated and sent to UNESCO November 2010 (together with the management Plan)
- **Geographic Information Table**
Reason for update: Property: 18 ha Buffer Zone 1690 ha Total: 1708 ha Inscription Year: 2000
- **Map(s)**
Reason for update: New map is send to state party

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise