Section II-Jewish Quarter and St Procopius' Basilica in Třebíč

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Jewish Quarter and St Procopius' Basilica in Třebíč

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Czech Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

1078

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2003

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
The Jewish Quarter	0 / 0	4.73	4.47	9.2	2003
The Jewish Cemetery	0 / 0	1.229	4.47	5.699	2003
St Procopius' Basilica	0/0	0.23	4.47	4.7	2003
Total (ha)		6.189	13.41	19.599	

Comment

The Jewish Quarter - Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15°52.722´ E / 49°13.053´ N The Jewish Cemetery - Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15°52.785´ E / 49°13.212´ N St Procopius' Basilica - Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15°52.408´ E / 49°13.017´ N

1.4 - Map(s)

Title		Link to source
Jewish Quarter and Basilique of St. Procopius in Třebič - map of inscribed property	05/07/2003	B

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Michal Benes

Ministry of Culture

Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkcr.cz National focal point: National Heritage Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám. 3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail: vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

 Gabriela Kaščáková National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in Telč Responsible for annual reporting

Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. Contact changes - address: Hradecká 6, CZ 588 56 Telč, email:

kascakova.gabriela@npu.cz. It is the mayor who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: Pavel Heřman, Mayor, Městský úřad Třebíč, Karlovo nám. 104/55, CZ 674 01 Třebíč, Tel.: +420 568 896 134, Email: p.herman@trebic.cz. Executive SM: Ing. Lucie Floriánová, Tel.: +420 568 896 248, Email: I.florianova@trebic.cz.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>www.trebic.cz</u>
- 2. Virtual Tour

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(ii) outstanding evidence of architectural and cultural traditions amidst a different cultural and religious environment; unique completeness and area of the Jewish Quarter with a wide range of traditional functional buildings (iii) townscape; urban structure of the Jewish Quarter; material evidence of the cultural traditions of the Jewish Diaspora; outstanding medieval edifice; wide range of historic details; original technical and architectural manifestations

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	a decisio daminiary table						
0.4	Name Publishers and Davidson and	Impa	act			Origi	in
3.1 3.1.4	Buildings and Development Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		Ι	e33		-	
3.1.5		0		~n		0	
	Interpretative and visitation facilities	0		E)		•	
3.2 3.2.1	Transportation Infrastructure Ground transport infrastructure		I	e33		0	
	·	0		9		(e)	-
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					•	L
3.3.1	Services Infrastructures Water infrastructure		l	-C17			
		0		4	_~	(1)	<u> </u>
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities			<u> </u>	4	•	
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities				ij		5
3.3.4	Localised utilities				A		F
3.3.5	Major linear utilities				A		F
3.4	Pollution						
3.4.6	Input of excess energy				A	•	F
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind				Ą	•	
3.7.3	Temperature				A	•	
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)				A	•	
3.7.8	Micro-organisms				ā	•	
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					4	
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses	0		A		•	
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage			Ŋ		(
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			_	A	(
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community				a	9	
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation		0			3	
3.9	Other human activities				- 0	9	
3.9.1	Illegal activities				a	<u>(a)</u>	100
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage		0			<u>.</u>	-
3.9.6	Civil unrest				- 0 - 1	(e)	700
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events				_0	G	G
3.10.1	Storms				a	<u>(a)</u>	
3.10.2	Flooding				- U	(e)	
3.10.6	Temperature change				-0) 6	100
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts				-1 -1	(<u>0</u>)	9 8
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events				-7	Ç)	G
3.11.2	Earthquake		<u>a</u>			<u>(a)</u>	
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide				- 1 9	3	
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition				9	3	
3.11.6	Fire (widlfires)		0			4	
					4	•	
3.12 3.12.1	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species Translocated species				E03		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_			7	<u>©</u>	
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species					()	
3.13	Management and institutional factors			-CO			
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities	0				(1)	

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Section II-Jewish Quarter and St Procopius' Basilica in Třebíč

	Name							Origin
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities							•
3.13.3	Management activities						A	•
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	(Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale		Management response	Trend
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

Ad 3.8.6. The increase in tourism is not high, the location is not overcrowded and no major change can be expected in this respect. A trend towards trashy souvenirs and attractions, as well as certain projects aimed at increasing the popularity of the location.

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The historic town of Trebic was declared a conservation area in 1990. The Jewish Quarter and St. Procopius Basilica with the castle and gardens are included within the Trebic conservation area (1990), which extends on both sides of the river Jihlava. All sites are surrounded by a common buffer zone (1996). Out of the 120 buildings of the area, 11 are protected as listed monuments, including the two synagogues and houses, as well as the cemetery and St. Procopius Basilica. At the same time, all buildings in the conservation area are protected, and the buildings in the buffer zone are

subject to planning control. Of the 120 buildings in Jewish Quarter, 90% are privately owned.

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.

Comment

Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage reservation and heritage zone protection plans; Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code; Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records. Out of the 120 buildings of the area, 25 are protected by the law and designated cultural heritage sites.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the

Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Management is exercised at different levels. At the level of the Trebic town, it is the responsibility of the city administration and planning office. The Trebic Fund has the role to obtain funding from different sources, and to initiate legal acts to sustain its activities and to promote the profile of local monuments. The District Council, the department of culture, is responsible for the supervision of protection and maintenance in the protected area and buffer zone. The regional office of the State Monuments Institute, Brno, participates in the development of relevant policies. At the State level, the Ministry of Culture, Monument Care Section, assigns financial resources from the state budget. The State Institute for Monument Care, Prague, offers professional methodological assistance in the preparation of conservation and restoration programmes and projects.

The whole nominated area is subject to the territorial conservation plan of the town of Trebic, which is one of the protected historic towns in the Czech Republic. Apart from protection, the plan provides for the functional use, control of heights and volumes of new constructions, and for traffic management in the area. Trebic is registered in the government-approved programme for the regeneration.

Comment

There is a functional management system of the property. The Management Plan (MP) is in place. Actions of the city of Třebíč to date (in chronological order): 2002 - nomination dossier including a simplified MP; 2009 - Stage 1 of the MP updating - Analysis (the Třebíč Municipal Authority); outlook: 2014 - Stage 2 of the MP updating - Action Plan (the Třebíč Municipal Authority).

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Management Plan, 2002. Others: Land Use Plan, 1998 Detailed Zoning Plan, 2001 Guidelines for the preparation and execution of civil engineering projects in the Třebíč Zámostí and Podklášteří town districts of the urban heritage zone, 2004 Strategic Development Plan of the City of Třebíč for the period between 2008 and 2013, 2008 Strategic Development Plan of the City of Třebíč for the period between 2012 and 2015, 2012

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local /

municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is only partially being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World

Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There are no significant changes in the legal status and management arranements for the World Heritage property.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	45%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	25%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	20%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	5%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

The World Heritage property has not received any Assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are adequate equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are well maintained

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

	_	-	-	• •	•	
Permanent						100%
Seasonal						0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Not applicable
Medium
Not applicable
Low
Medium
Medium
Not applicable

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-

Section II-Jewish Quarter and St Procopius' Basilica in Třebíč

making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are shared with local participants and some national agencies

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

	•
Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Currently, no such document exists. There is no need.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.8	Social/cultural	uses of heritage					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	(ii) unique completeness and area of the Jewish Quarter with a wide range of traditional functional buildings (iii) material evidence of the cultural traditions of the Jewish Diaspora wide range of historic details	Enforcement of the presentation of the property values and cultural importance	permanently by the property manager in cooperation with National Heritage Institute which provides annual monitoring system	permanently, not limited	local and regional authorities in cooparation with the National Heritage Institute	no comment

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

	ounning i	management Hoods					
4.3 Ma	4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Updating of the Management Plan	until 2015	The Municipal Authority of Třebíč	no comment		
4.7 Vis	itor Managemen	t					
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	Updating of the Management Plan	until 2015	The Municipal Authority of Třebíč	no comment		

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's **Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are predominantly intact

5.4. Additional comments on the State of **Conservation of the Property**

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on **Periodic Reporting Exercise**

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Fair

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: The Jewish Quarter - Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15°52.722′ E / 49°13.053′ N The Jewish Cemetery - Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15°52.785′ E / 49°13.212′ N St Procopius' Basilica -Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15°52.408' E / 49°13.017′ N

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise