

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Holašovice Historical Village Reservation

#### Comment

A name change or, possibly, name simplification could be considered. The name could be changed to, for example, Holašovice Historic Village.

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Czech Republic

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

861

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998



### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Holašovice Historical Village Reservation	48.96 / 14.253	11.4	367.5	378.9	1998
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>11.4</b>	<b>367.5</b>	<b>378.9</b>	

#### Comment

Exact coordinates (longitude / latitude) of midpoint are 14° 16,408´ E / 48° 58,193´ N.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Holašovice Historical Village Reservation	30/01/2009	
Holašovice Historical Village Reservation Inscribed Property and Buffer Zone	30/01/2009	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Michal Benes  
Ministry of Culture  
Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

#### Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová  
Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division  
Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkcr.cz National focal point: National Heritage Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám. 3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail: vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Michaela Špinarová  
National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in České Budějovice  
Responsible for annual reporting

#### Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. It is the mayor who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: Jan Jílek, Jankov 46, 37384 Dubné, Czech Republic, telephone: +420 775 106 025, email: jan.jilek@popron.cz. Mrs. M. Špinarová has a new email address, this is as follows: spinarova.michaela@npu.cz.

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [www.holasovice.cz](http://www.holasovice.cz)

#### Comment

www.holasovice.eu; www.holasovice.cz

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(ii) rich stucco decoration; farmstead pattern (iv) civic design; historic land parcelling

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

### 3.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
3.1.1	Housing						
3.1.3	Industrial areas						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities						
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure						
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities						
3.3.4	Localised utilities						
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>						
3.4.2	Ground water pollution						
3.4.3	Surface water pollution						
3.4.4	Air pollution						
3.4.5	Solid waste						
3.4.6	Input of excess energy						
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Biological resource use/modification</b>						
3.5.3	Land conversion						
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals						
3.5.5	Crop production						
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>						
3.7.1	Wind						
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>						
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses						
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation						
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
3.10.1	Storms						
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

No factor is both current and negative.

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Potential risk to the visual integrity of the property skyline can consist in the mass increase of individual elements of the stone circle built on the south-eastern outskirts of the village outside the boundary of the VCA in 2008. Although they include mobile, mostly stone and wood elements, it is necessary to monitor the acceptable level of mass increase to avoid that it defaces the distinctive skyline of the property and to make sure that it remains integrated into the surrounding landscape.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Under the provisions of Law No 20/87 on State Care of Historical Monuments, implemented by Decree No 66 of the Ministry of Culture of 26 April 1988, 23 buildings around the village square of Hlasovice are designated as protected monuments. The whole village was designated by State Decree No 127/1995 as an historic preservation site, in

accordance with Article 5 of the 1987 Law. All interventions must be authorized by the relevant authority. The District Committee in České Budejovice declared the area round the village a conservation zone in September 1996. This means that all proposals for building, reconstruction, or other forms of intervention must be submitted to the District authorities for approval.

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

##### • Question 6.02

Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and conservation zones.

The declaration of Hlasovice, by Decree of the government of the Czech Republic of May 24, 1995 the Conservation Area. That decree enables the application of the conservation regulation according to the relevant provisions of the valid law No. 20/87 Coll., in the wording of later amendments and supplements in the whole territory of the area.

The decision of the District Authority in České Budejovice on the establishment of the estate buffer zone of October 13, 1995 enables the regulation of the activities in the surroundings of the settlement that could influence the value of the monument.

The development plan of the municipality was approved in 1997.

#### Comment

Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage reservation and heritage zone protection plans Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 187/2007 Coll., stipulating the content

and essential elements of zoning plans for areas with archaeological finds

**4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

Measures for the protection of the property and its buffer zone are adequate both with respect to capacity and expertise. However, potential risks may emerge in the immediate vicinity of the buffer zone where no legislative protection of the territory is provided (only the southeast area is protected – Blanský les Protected Landscape Area).

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

Most of the buildings in the area proposed for inscription are owned by private individuals (six of them are in use as holiday homes). The Jankov Village Municipality owns two of the buildings, and the chapel and other religious objects (cross, shrines) belong collectively to Holarovice village. Management and administration of the nominated property is shared among several bodies at different levels of government:

- Jankov Village Municipality: responsible for overall care of its territory and coordination of regeneration plans;
- The District Administration in České Budejovice: the executive body for implementing state historic protection legislation through its regional development and cultural departments;

- The Ministry of Culture: responsible for designation and inspection of protected monuments and sites.

The Institute for the Care of Historical Monuments in České Budejovice and the State Institute for the Care of Historical Monuments in Prague provide professional services in support of the bodies listed above.

**Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2**

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group": The steering group will be established in 2006.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

The management of the estate is performed by the local administration authority of the municipality Jankov, where Holašovice belong from the administrative point of view. According to law No. 22/87 Coll., on the state monuments care and the relevant regulations charged with the performance of the state monuments care at the place is the Municipal Authority of the town of České Budějovice. The professional organization providing professional opinions is the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, territorial professional place of work in České Budějovice.

**Comment**

A conceptual approach heritage preservation is also provided by the VCA Holašovice Maintenance, Protection and Development Policy Plan prepared in 2008. It is an update that forms a part of the approved Management Plan. The Jankov Land Use Plan (LUP), applicable from 2008, is another strategy document aimed at the development of the property. The current LUP also includes the specifications for the preparation of a detailed zoning plan of the area of the Holašovice cadastral district.

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

Stage I, II and III of the Management Plan (2008-2012) BUREŠ, P., THIMOVÁ, D., ŠPINAROVÁ, M. VCA Holašovice Maintenance, Protection and Development Policy Plan. 2008. PAVELKOVÁ, D. Jankov Land Use Plan, ARSPRO, Český Krumlov, 2008. JÍLEK, Jan. Jankov Municipality and Holašovice Settlement Renovation Plan. 1998. Blanský les Protected Landscape Area Protection Plan, approved by the MoE CR on 8 July 2009, applicable for the period between 2008 and 2017.

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities directly **participate** in all relevant decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples directly participate in **all relevant** decisions relating to management, i.e. co-management

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The industrial (manufacturing) zone consists of a former agricultural site, now a briquetting plant. Its operation is not significant enough to represent an important phenomenon in the municipality that should necessarily be involved as a collaborating segment in the area of World Heritage property management. However, the site does pose potential risks in case of its transformation or conversion. The Land Use Plan defines the guidelines for the potential changes in its massing.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

At present, Act No. 20/87 Coll., on state heritage preservation, as amended is the fundamental piece of legislation on the

protection of cultural heritage sites and their environment in the Czech Republic. Since the last periodic report, it was amended by Acts No. 158/2007 Coll., 124/2008 Coll., 189/2008 Coll., 307/2008 Coll., 223/2009 Coll., 227/2009 Coll., 124/2011 Coll. and 142/2012 Coll.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	35%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	50%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	5%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

The World Heritage property has not received any Assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

The budget of Jankov municipality that Holašovice is administratively part of, has been stable in recent years. However, expenditure from this budget is not used solely for the operation, maintenance and protection of the World Heritage property but it also serves to fund the Jankov municipality, where the seat of the municipal office is situated.

Restoration of heritage sites and landscape revitalisation is mainly funded from state funds.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	66%
Part-time	34%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

In the area of property management, the current situation with two full-timers and one half-timer and a site manager serving as the mayor at the same time is not quite optimum.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Scientific studies and projects are part of the Management Plan, Stage I, II, III, 2008, 2009, 2012 – subject areas of studies: Performance of a dendrochronological analysis of wooden structures of selected historically most valuable buildings; Demographic study; Image of the settlement in the landscape, Public green space project; Current trends in tourism and its potential

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Not needed
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Not provided but needed
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

In the protected building No. 6 in Holašovice, an Old Bohemian Agricultural Museum was opened and, in the information centre, an exhibition on the life of an Old Bohemian cottage sitting-room was set up that includes objects brought by the local residents. The information centre provides comprehensive information it offers accommodation etc.

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Minor Increase

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

The only source of information on numbers of visitors per season that is regularly updated are monitored visits to the

local information centre. The numbers of visitors are summarized in the following table: Year Number of visitors  
2006 13 636 2007 16 679 2008 17 540 2009 16 592 2010 16 327 2011 16 327 2012 11 622  
Otherwise, no visitor management programmes or tourism strategy documents currently exist in the municipality.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**No fees are collected**

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient and key indicators have been defined but **monitoring the status of indicators could be improved**

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Non-existent

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **complete**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



**5. Summary and Conclusions**

**5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

**5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property**

No factor is both current and negative.

**5.2. Summary - Management Needs**

**5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs**

4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.6.3	There is a limited education and awareness programme	The education programme	Medium term 2013-2015	Head of entity (involved entity) – Property Administration - Site Manager, IC Holašovice employee	The programme aims at educating local residents, furthermore, it focuses the visitors with an emphasis on the notion of “rural architecture“ and its strengths and weaknesses, as well as its current influence and impact on village life.
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Visitor use of the property is not being actively managed	The visitor management	Long-term 2010-2017	Head of entity (involved entity) – Property Administration - Site Manager, IC Holašovice employee	It is a long-term problem which the property administration keeps pointing to. The “management of the heritage site“ lacks a strategy in its communication with travel agencies, tour operators, incoming travel agencies and visitors.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

no

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

Most of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Name of World Heritage Property**  
Reason for update: A name change or, possibly, name simplification could be considered. The name could be changed to, for example, Holašovice Historic Village.
- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**  
Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.
- **Geographic Information Table**  
Reason for update: Exact coordinates (longitude / latitude) of midpoint are 14° 16,408' E / 48° 58,193' N.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**