

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Czech Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

860

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1998


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Castle Garden and Castle	0 / 0	60	0	60	0
Pleasure Garden	0 / 0	14.5	0	14.5	0
Total (ha)		74.5	0	74.5	

Comment

Castle Garden and Castle Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 17°23'35.77" E / 49°18'1.93" N Pleasure Garden Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 17°22'60" E / 49°17'49" N Buffer zone (total): 441 ha for both; it is common for both component parts. Total (ha): 515.5 ha Inscription year: 1998 for both

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž, scale 1:10,000.	30/11/2007	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Michal Benes
Ministry of Culture
Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová
Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division
Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkr.cz National focal point: National Heritage Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám. 3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail: vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Jan Obsivač
National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in Kroměříž
Responsible for annual reporting

Section II-Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž

Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. His new e-mail address is as follows: obsivac.jan@npu.cz. It is the castle manager who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: National Heritage Institute, Martin Krčma, Sněmovní náměstí 1, 767 01 Kroměříž, Czech Republic, Telephone: +420 573 502 015, Fax: +420 573 301 419, E-mail: krcma.martin@npu.cz.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. www.mesto-kromeriz.cz
2. [Archbishopric Castle and Gardens of Kromeriz](http://www.zamek-kromeriz.cz)

Comment

<http://www.zamek-kromeriz.cz/>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(ii), (iv) princely residence and its associated landscape; preserved historic layout of the castle (princely residence); historic pattern and layout of the gardens; historic garden design; plant species; archaeological field; authentic architectural and sculptural details

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development								
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities								
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure								
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure								
3.4	Pollution								
3.4.3	Surface water pollution								
3.4.4	Air pollution								
3.4.6	Input of excess energy								
3.5	Biological resource use/modification								
3.5.3	Land conversion								
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
3.7.1	Wind								
3.7.2	Relative humidity								
3.7.3	Temperature								
3.7.4	Radiation/light								
3.7.5	Dust								
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)								
3.7.7	Pests								
3.7.8	Micro-organisms								
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage								
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses								
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage								
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system								
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation								
3.9	Other human activities								
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage								
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events								
3.10.1	Storms								
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition								
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)								
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species								
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species								
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside			

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric				
3.7.1	restricted	one off or rare	minor	high capacity	static
3.7.8	restricted	one off or rare	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage				
3.8.4	localised	frequent	minor	low capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities				

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	medium capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit** the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The protective zone of the urban heritage reservation of Kroměříž that corresponds to the buffer zone of the property does not function adequately. The subject of protection under the protective zone has not been properly defined. This resulted in the construction of a multi-storey building in the near vicinity of the Pleasure Garden.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The individual monuments that make up this nominated property are all protected under the provisions of Law No 20/87 on State Care of Historical Monuments, implemented by Decree No 66 of the Ministry of Culture of 26 April 1988. The Castle and Gardens were designated a National Cultural Monument by Statute No 262 of the Government of the Czech Republic on 16 August 1995. The ensemble is also covered by Decree No 1589/78-VI/1 of the Ministry of Culture which

designated the historic centre of Kroměříž as an urban reservation. On 30 July 1984 the Kroměříž District Committee established a protection zone around the monument by means of Regulation No 401-13/84, within which any form of development or intervention within its setting must be authorized by the appropriate authorities.

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• Question 6.02

Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation territories.

The declaration of the Chateau and the gardens in Kroměříž the national cultural monument by Decree of the government of the Czech Republic No. 262 of August 16, 1995 that was replaced with the new Decree of the government of the Czech Republic No. 336 of June 19, 2002. That Decree of the government reflects the current cadastral layout (the new numbering of the plots).

Further, the estate is protected within the intentions of the conditions of the Regulation of the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Socialist Republic of September 8, 1978 on the declaration of the historic core of the town of Kroměříž with the Podzámecká and Květná gardens Municipal Conservation Area.

For the protection of the wider surroundings of the Conservation Area and the estate established was the buffer zone by the decision of the District National Committee in Kroměříž ref.No. 401-13/84 of July 30, 1984.

The development plan of the town was approved, in the updated form, in 1995. At present, the draft of the new development plan is elaborated.

The programme of regeneration of the Municipal Conservation Area was approved in 1995.

Comment

The Programme of Regeneration of the Urban Heritage Reservation has been updated in 2011.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The Castle and Gardens are the property of the Czech State, represented by its Kromeriz District Office. Management is allocated under the terms of the 1987 Preservation Law and the Local Authorities Areas Act No 369/1990 as follows:
Kromeriz Municipal Office: responsibility for upkeep of the urban reservation and the monuments, and for giving permission for building activities;
Kromeriz District Office: responsibility for budgets relating to maintenance and repairs of the monuments in its care and supervision of their protection;
Ministry of Culture: responsibility for overall supervision, decisions on protection and conservation policies, and inspection of the monuments.

In addition, the Brno Institute for Monuments and the State Institute for the Protection of Monuments collaborate in advisory capacities.

The improvement the preservation and conservation of WH properties is encouraged by Management Plans which have been prepared and updated for each World Heritage property, mainly with a support of the ministerial programme (about the programme see above and below as well).

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":
The steering group will be established by the end of 2005.
- **Question 5.05**
Overall management system of the site
 - Management by the State Party
 - Management under protective legislation

Comment

The castle and gardens are owned by the Czech State represented by the state-funded institution, i.e. the National Heritage Institute. The responsibility for the property management goes to the regional historic sites management of the National Heritage Institute in Kromeriz. Management Plan of the property has been prepared in 2009. It has been updated in 2011.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

Management Plan of the WH property "Gardens and Castle at Kromeriz" (2009, update 2011) Other: Land Use Plan of the

Section II-Gardens and Castle at Kromeriz

Town of Kromeriz (March 2012); Strategic Plan of the Town of Kromeriz – as updated for the period between 2010 and 2020 (January 2010); Integrated Plan of the Town of Kromeriz – Zone: South-East (March 2008); The Programme of Regeneration of the Kromeriz Urban Heritage Reservation (September 2008); Tourism Development Programme of the Zlin Region (June 2003)

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and /

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Since the last periodic report, there were no significant changes in the legal status or in the legislation relating to the World Heritage property. Essentially, the newly adopted laws or their implementing regulations are based on the same principles that have governed the earlier legislation. In connection with the new Building Act that has taken effect from 1 January 2007 (No. 183/2006 Coll.) and the "amending law" No. 186/2006 Coll., there have been procedural changes of the management.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	59%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	32%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	9%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

The World Heritage property has not received any Assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

Section II-Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	95%
Part-time	5%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Poor
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Excellent
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

Section II-Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitors regulation see at the web page http://www.zamek-kromeriz.cz/e_download.php?file=data/editor/62en_2.pdf&original=visitor+regulations+EN.pdf.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

Section II-Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	(iv) historic garden design; plant species	quality maintenance, protection and replenishment, especially of the outer screen of the garden	within the scope of garden upkeep and by a specialist in historic gardens	annually	National Heritage Institute In 2001, during a windstorm some trees in the area of Castle Garden were damaged. In case of strong wind, minor local damage occurs repeatedly. To avoid major damage, it is necessary to maintain the protective screen consisting of trees around.
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	(ii), (iv) historic garden design; plant species	ongoing care and protection	continuous monitoring	annually	National Heritage Institute The damage to trees in the garden under the castle (Podzámecká /Castle/ garden) has been eliminated by removing affected trees and installation of pheromone traps. This issue is subject to continuous monitoring.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	(ii), (iv) princely residence and its associated landscape; preserved historic layout of the castle (princely residence); historic pattern and layout of the gardens	ongoing care and protection	continuous monitoring	annually	National Heritage Institute The Castle and the Pleasure Garden are regularly used to hold a large variety of cultural events.
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	(ii), (iv) princely residence and its associated landscape; preserved historic layout of the castle (princely residence); historic pattern and layout of the gardens	ongoing care and protection, promotion of the outstanding universal value of the property.	continuous monitoring	annually	National Heritage Institute An extremely negative factor which manifests itself mainly in Podzámecká garden which is not completely fenced and it is freely accessible from the Morava River. Considering its area, the surveillance itself during opening hours is also problematic.
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms	(ii), (iv) historic garden design; plant species	Within the scope of property management, it is not possible to implement measures that would stop this risk in the future and, at the same time, that would not jeopardise the property values. A solution: a comprehensive flood protection of the town.	continuous monitoring	annually	National Heritage Institute During the floods in 1997, the Podzámecká garden was damaged; the property is still struggling to overcome this damage. The damage to some of the trees has manifested itself only several years later and the last cutting of damaged trees was at 2012.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan				
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Updating of the Management Plan according the recommended structure by the Czech Ministry of Culture	2015-2016	National Heritage Institute, site manager	Depends on updating of management plan.
--------	---	--	-----------	---	---

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Very positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization
Indigenous peoples
Local community
External experts

Section II-Gardens and Castle at Kroměříž

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Castle Garden and Castle Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 17°23'35.77" E / 49°18'1.93" N Pleasure Garden Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 17°22'60" E / 49°17'49" N Buffer zone (total): 441 ha for both; it is common for both component parts. Total (ha): 515.5 ha Inscription year: 1998 for both

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise