

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Czech Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

859rev

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc	49.594 / 17.25	0.023	75	75.023	2000
Total (ha)		0.023	75	75.023	

Comment

Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 17.15´ 1.584´´ E / 49.35´ 106´´N Property (ha): 0,03 Buffer zone (ha): 76 Total (ha): 76,03

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc map	24/06/1999	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Michal Benes
Ministry of Culture
Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová
Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division
Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkcr.cz National focal point: National Heritage Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám. 3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail: vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- František Chupík
National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in Olomouc
Responsible for annual reporting

Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. It is the mayor who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: Martin Novotný, Mayor of the city Olomouc, Horní nám. 1, 779 11 Olomouc, Czech Republic, Tel.: +420 585 513 261, Fax: +420 585 513 433, E-mail: martin.novotny@olomouc.eu. Executive

Section II-Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc

SM: Jaromil Přidal, Tel.: +420 588 488 385, E-mail: jaromil.pridal@olomouc.eu.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [City of Olomouc](#)
2. [Olomouc Tourism](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(i) unique architectural concept; outstanding form and appearance; high degree of sculptural decoration (iv) monumentality; original design; high authenticity

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No other factors.

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact				Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
3.4	Pollution					
3.4.3	Surface water pollution					
3.4.4	Air pollution					
3.4.6	Input of excess energy					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.3	Temperature					
3.7.5	Dust					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)					
3.7.7	Pests					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.1	Storms					
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1	Buildings and Development				

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.1.2 Commercial development	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
3.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.7 Pests	localised	on-going	minor	low capacity	static
3.9 Other human activities					
3.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are **not known by local residents / communities/landowners**.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Holy Trinity Column was proclaimed a National Cultural Monument by Statute No 262 of the Government of the Czech Republic on 24 May 1995. The protection and preservation of cultural monuments is defined in Law No 20/87 on State Conservation of Historical Monuments, implemented by Edict No 66 of the Ministry of Culture dated 26 April 1988. Any intervention that may impact the state of monuments or their surroundings require authorization by the competent authorities at national and local level.

The historic core of the city of Olomouc was designated a protected historic area on 21 December 1987. This imposes strict controls over all forms of work within the designated area. It also constitutes an effective buffer zone for the Holy Trinity Column.

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.

Comment

Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage reservation and heritage zone protection plans; Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code; Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides an **adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan


4.3.1 - Management System

The monument is owned and managed by Olomouc City Council. The Council is responsible under the provisions of the 1987 Act cited above, and also the Local Authority Areas Act 367/1990, for managing and financing protection and maintenance of the monument and the area surrounding it. These statutes also require the Department of Culture of the Olomouc District Council to supervise the protection and maintenance of the monument; it is the planning authority responsible for decision-making within the protected historic area. Finally, the Department for the Care of Historical Monuments of the Ministry of Culture has a decision-making role in relation to the National Cultural Monument. The Olomouc Institute for the Conservation of Cultural Heritage provides technical documentation relating to protection and conservation to the municipal and district authorities, to assist them in discharging their statutory duties. At national level the Prague-based State Institute for the Conservation of Cultural Heritage provides professional backup on behalf of the Ministry of Culture.

Comment

The management system mentioned above corresponds to reality. The changes are related to some management documents.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan for conservation of the Honorary Holy Trinity Column	N/A	Available	01/01/1998	

Comment

DOSOUDIL, Radek; PŘIDAL, Jaromil; MATOUŠEK, Tadeáš. The Olomouc Holy Trinity Column, the 2010 Management Plan for the World Heritage property. The Municipal Authority of Olomouc (Radek Dosoudil, iJaromil Přidal) in collaboration with Tadeáš Matoušek, 2010. See the websites:

Section II-Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc

http://www.olomouc.eu/administrace/repository/gallery/articles/11_/11475/management-plan-trojice-2011.cs.pdf.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work / action plan exists

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There are no significant changes in the legal status and management arrangements for the World Heritage property.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	100%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	0%
-----------	----

Section II-Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc

Part-time	100%
-----------	------

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Non-existent
Interpretation	Poor
Education	Not applicable
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Low
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Low
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

No capacity development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **no research** taking place in the World Heritage property despite an identified need

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **not shared** at any level

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

JAKUBEC, Ondřej; PERŮTKA, Marek (eds.). Olomouc Baroque: Plastic Art of the period between 1620 and 1780. 3, History and culture. 1st edition Olomouc: the Olomouc Museum of Art, 2011. 335 pp. JEMELKOVÁ, Simona; ZÁPALKOVÁ, Helena. The Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc. 1st edition. Olomouc: Statutory city of Olomouc in cooperation with the Olomouc Museum of Art, 2008. 140 pp.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

Section II-Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Adequate

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Decreasing

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

2008-2013 Olomouc Tourism Development Programme

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of monitoring, but it is not planned

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Non-existent
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.2	Commercial development	(iv) monumentality	A height and space regulating in the zoning plan of the city of Olomouc. Consistent enforcement of the protection of the Olomouc urban heritage reservation.	Consistent enforcement of the protection of the Olomouc urban heritage reservation. To warn the municipal administration about the risks.	annually	site manager, steering group, Commission for Architecture and Land Use Plan, Olomouc City Council	In 2012, a high-rise building was constructed (at Kosmonautů Avenue) that defaces the panorama of the buffer zone. In 2013, there are plans to build another high-rise building, about 80 metres high, (the "Šantovka Tower").
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.7	Pests	(iv) original design; high authenticity	Pest control, regular maintenance. To warn the property owner about the risks.	The condition is monitored regularly within the scope of the annual monitoring system and it is documented by an annual monitoring report.	annually	site manager, steering group, Commission for Architecture and Land Use Plan, Olomouc City Council	Management Plan (prepared in 2010), steering group set up in 2011
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	(i) outstanding form and appearance; (iv) monumentality; original design; high authenticity	Preventive monitoring by city police. Educational and awareness-raising events.	The condition is monitored regularly within the scope of the annual monitoring system and it is documented by an annual monitoring report.	annually	site manager, steering group, Commission for Architecture and Land Use Plan, Olomouc City Council	Management Plan (prepared in 2010), steering group set up in 2011

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.5	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known by local residents / communities/landowners	To guarantee the protection of the buffer zone	continuously	Olomouc City Council, National Heritage Institute	no	
4.3 Management System / Management Plan						
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Updating of the Management Plan	2014-2015	Olomouc City Council in cooperation with National Heritage Institute	no	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.15	No capacity development plan or programme is in place	To update the Management Plan, to secure funding for stone condition measurement	2014-2015	Olomouc City Council	no	
4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building						
4.6.3	There is no education and awareness programme	To improve education	2014-2016	Olomouc City Council	no	
4.8 Monitoring						
4.8.1	Some monitoring, but it is not planned	To update the Management Plan, to secure funding for stone condition measurement	2014-2015	Site manager, Olomouc City Council in cooperation with National Heritage Institute	no	

4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	To update the Management Plan	2014-2015	Site manager, Olomouc City Council in cooperation with National Heritage Institute	no
-------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------	--	----

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	No impact
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	No impact

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Advisory bodies

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

Section II-Holy Trinity Column in Olomouc

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 17.15' 1.584'' E / 49.35' 106''N Property (ha): 0,03 Buffer zone (ha): 76 Total (ha): 76,03

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise