

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Czech Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

763

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1996


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

48.775830000016.7750000000nomination range. Point chosen between Lednice & Valtice 16/10/04 14320.0000 0.0000 14320 763 1996

Comment

The table has not been generated properly. Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 16° 48,814' E / 48° 45,543' N Property (ha): 14,319.58 ha Buffer zone (ha): 0 ha Total (ha): 14,319.58 ha Inscription year: 1996

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape, scale 1:65,000.	30/11/2007	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Michal Benes
Ministry of Culture
Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová
Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division
Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkr.cz National focal point: National Heritage Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám. 3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail: vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Jitka Matuszková
National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in Brno
Responsible for annual reporting

Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. It is the property manager who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: Ing. Jan Vybíral, WH property manager, Biosférická rezervace Dolní Morava, o. p. s., Zámecké náměstí 69, 691 44 Lednice, Czech Republic, Tel.: +420 724 523 300, Email: jan.vybiral@dolnimorava.org. Update email of the NHI employee: matuszkova.jitka@npu.cz.

Section II-Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. www.lednice.cz
3. www.radnice-valtice.cz

Comment

ad 1. change the photo riding in Lednice - in 2013 repaired; ad 4. <http://www.lva.cz>

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict ; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict & Second Protocol; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention; Convention on Biological Diversity

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(i) organically incorporated excellent works by outstanding Central European architects; large typological variety of structures within the overall landscape arrangement; large variety of plant species; continuously maintained and cultivated landscape; (ii) authentic Baroque landscape design; preserved authentic Baroque system of roads and trails providing vistas and rides landscape and natural elements; (iv) town planning structures; harmonious combination of the landscape and urban ensembles

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin	
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.3	Industrial areas							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.2	Air transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.4	Localised utilities							
3.3.5	Major linear utilities							
3.4	Pollution							
3.4.2	Ground water pollution							
3.4.3	Surface water pollution							
3.4.4	Air pollution							
3.4.5	Solid waste							
3.4.6	Input of excess energy							
3.5	Biological resource use/modification							
3.5.1	Fishing/collecting aquatic resources							
3.5.3	Land conversion							
3.5.4	Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals							
3.5.5	Crop production							
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production							
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
3.7.1	Wind							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
3.7.3	Temperature							
3.7.4	Radiation/light							
3.7.5	Dust							
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7	Pests							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.3	Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting							
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.1	Illegal activities							

	Name	Impact				Origin	
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage						
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.1	Storms						
3.10.2	Flooding						
3.10.3	Drought						
3.10.6	Temperature change						
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)						
3.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
3.12.1	Translocated species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
3.12.3	Invasive / alien freshwater species						
3.12.4	Invasive / alien marine species						
3.12.5	Hyper-abundant species						
3.13	Management and institutional factors						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.3	Industrial areas	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	static
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

- Laws: (1987) on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation areas; (1992) on the protection of the nature and the landscape
- The whole territory of the estate was declared a conservation zone by the Ministry of Culture (1992). On declaration of the Lednice-Valtice area in south Moravia the conservation zone, the conditions were provided for ensuring the protection and care for the monuments value (1992). By regulation of the government No. 336/2002 Coll., on the declaration of some cultural monuments national cultural monuments, the chateaux of Lednice and Valtice were declared national cultural monuments (2002).
- Resolution of the government of the Czech Republic of August 17, 2004 No. 769 on the proposal for the creation of the inter-branch working group (steering group) on the

coordination of the future development of the territory of the Lednice-Valtice area and ensuring care for its natural and cultural values on the draft regulations of its activity.

- Development plans of Lednice, Valtice Uvaly and Břeclav (1996, 1997, 1998, 1998 respectively)

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• Question 6.02

Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation areas.

Law No.114/1992 Coll., on the protection of the nature and the landscape.

The whole territory of the estate was declared a conservation zone by the Ministry of Culture by Decree No. 484/1992 Coll., on the declaration of the Lednice-Valtice area in south Moravia the conservation zone and the conditions were provided for for ensuring the protection and care for the monuments value of the zone.

By regulation of the government No. 336/2002 Coll., on the declaration of some cultural monuments national cultural monuments, the chateaux of Lednice and Valtice were declared national cultural monuments. That document replaced the original regulation of the government No.262 of 1995.

Resolution of the government of the Czech Republic of August 17, 2004 No. 769 on the proposal for the creation of the inter-branch working group (steering group) on the coordination of the future development of the territory of the Lednice-Valtice area and ensuring care for its natural and cultural values on the draft regulations of its activity.

The binding regulation of November 20, 1990, ref.No. 49/90 – pam. Declaration of conservation zone of the historic centre of the town of Valtice.

Decision of the District Authority Břeclav of June 13, 1990, ref.No. 42/90 –pam. Declaration of the buffer zone of the historic centre of the town of Valtice.

The National Natural Conservation Area the Ponds of Lednice declared according to law No. 114/1992 Coll., on the protection of the nature and landscape. The declaration was performed by the Decree of MŠVU No. 49.004/52 (January 9, 1953), reviewed by Decree of the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic ref.No.14.200 / 88 (November 29, 1998)

The Regulation of the District National Committee in Břeclav of September 27, 1990 and the Regulation of the Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic No. 395/1992 Coll., of June 11, 1992 on the establishment of the national natural monument Pastvisko u Lednice

The Decision of the District National Committee in Břeclav of October 4, 1961 ref.No. škol.-457/2-1961 and Decree of the Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic No. 395/1992 Coll., of June 11, 1992 on the establishment of the National Natural Conservation Area Slanisko u Nesytu
The Decree of the Ministry of Culture of ČSR ref.No. 5790 of March 19, 1976 on the establishment of the protected landscape area Pálava and UNESCO Decree of June 16, 1986 on the establishment of the Biospheric Conservation Area Pálava

The National Natural Conservation Area the Ponds of Lednice is included into the List of Internationally Significant Wetlands within the framework of the Convention on Wetlands of International Significance, first of all, as biotopes of water birds (the Ramsar Convention) according to Collection of laws No. 396/1990 Announcement of the Federal Ministry of Foreign Affairs according to the international convention. The protocol has been valid since July 2, 1990.

Approved development plans:

Development plan of Lednice of February 15, 1996; the changes and amendments were approved on December 4, 1997

Development plan of Valtice of November 26, 1997; the changes and amendments were approved in 2000 and in 2005.

Development plan of Úvaly of June 25, 1998; the change was approved in 2005.

The development plan of the large territorial whole of the district of Břeclav was approved in 1998.

Comment

MaB (Man and the Biosphere), an intergovernmental programme carried out under the auspices of UNESCO, provided a basis for the establishment of the Lower Morava Biosphere Reserve in 2003 in line with the Seville Strategy. By the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic No. 16417 /87 - VI / 1 of 21 December 1987 was declared a Břeclav-Pohansko Archaeological Reserve.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone at the time of inscription** on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

There is **no legal framework** for controlling use and activities in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

Although all the most important elements that are necessary to express the outstanding universal value of the property are situated within its boundaries, it is necessary to protect the key places of the vistas outside its boundaries. For this reason and because of the distance of the town of Břeclav and other villages, a delimitation of a buffer zone could be proposed in the future to prevent the degradation of visual integrity of the property.

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

- Steering group: legally constituted (01/2004)
- There is currently no site manager
- Levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site: national; regional; local

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• Question 5.02

Steering group or similar management committee has been set up to guide the management of the site

• Question 5.03

Set up date: 2004

Function: It is the advisory, initiating and coordinating authority oriented at the support of systematic care for cultural landscape and the fulfillment of the objectives of conceptually strategic materials in compliance with the principles of permanently sustainable development.

Mandate: The advisory authority.

Constituted: legal

• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

Significant monuments made accessible for the public, the chateau of Lednice with the park and the chateau of Valtice with the park, are the property of the state, under the management of the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, the territorial professional place of work in Brno. Other salets are under the management of the Masaryk Agricultural and Forestry University, the Faculty of Gardening in Lednice. A number of other buildings and areas are in the ownership of private subjects.

Responsible for the protection of the natural values is the Management of the Protected Landscape Area and of the

Biospheric Conservation Area Pálava.
According to law No. 20/87 Coll., on the state monuments care and the relevant regulations, charged with the performance of the state monuments care is the Municipal Authority in Břeclav. The professional organization providing professional opinions and background materials is the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, the territorial professional place of work in Brno. For the national cultural monuments of the chateaux of Lednice and Valtice the executive authority of the state monuments care is the Regional Authority of the South-Moravian Region in Brno and the professional organization the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, the central place of work.

Comment

Agencies responsible for heritage preservation under the Act 20/1987 Coll., on State Heritage Preservation, as amended, and National Heritage Institute, Regional Historic Sites Management in Kroměříž as owner and administrator that is in charge of the operation and technical condition of the most important cultural heritage sites: Lednice and Valtice country houses, Janův hrad, the Colonnade, Rendez-vous and Belvedere; contact: Sněmovní náměstí 1, CZ 767 01 Kroměříž, Tel.: +420 573 301 432.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

The Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape, Management Plan of a UNESCO World Heritage Site. 2012. An updated version of 31 December 2012 with incorporated comments of MOPS(interdisciplinary working group)-members for Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape. Author: Lower Moravia (Dolní Morava), property manager.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair

Visitors	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **regular contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone and **substantial co-operation** on management

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

It is necessary to legalise and strengthen the function of the site manager and provide him/her with the necessary powers.

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

At present, Act No. 20/87 Coll., on State Heritage Preservation, as amended is the fundamental piece of legislation on the protection of cultural heritage sites and their environment in the Czech Republic. Since the last periodic report, it was amended by Acts No. 158/2007 Coll., 124/2008 Coll., 189/2008 Coll., 307/2008 Coll., 223/2009 Coll., 227/2009 Coll., 124/2011 Coll. and 142/2012 Coll.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	2%
Governmental (National / Federal)	60%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	5%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	30%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Other grants	3%
--------------	----

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** equipment and facilities but overall these are **inadequate**

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

There is some **ad hoc** maintenance of equipment and facilities

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	98%
Part-time	2%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Poor

Section II-Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Medium
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

CASKA, J. Development of tertiary roads in the Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape, In Journal of Forest Science, Praha: ČAZV 2011, s. 477 – 483 LANGE, Fritz: Die Reihe Archivbilder Südmähren Bilder Erzählen Geschichte. 2011.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

ZATLOUKAL, Pavel – KREJČÍŘÍK, Přemysl – ZATLOUKAL, Ondřej: Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape. Praha 2012.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no education and awareness programme**, despite an identified need

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Adequate
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Lower Morava Biosphere Reserve (LM BR / Biosférická rezervace Dolní Morava) holds a workshop on cultural landscape management on a regular basis.

Section II-Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Static
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

It exists for the entire South Moravian Region only: 2007-2013 Tourism Development Programme for the South Moravian Region accessible from the portal <http://www.kr-jihomoravsky>.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Poor

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is **underway**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.3	Industrial areas	(ii) authentic Baroque landscape design; preserved authentic Baroque system of roads and trails providing vistas and rides; landscape and natural elements	effective town planning	annually	until 2015	local and regional authorities in cooperation with state authorities	Rising trend; the industrial zone in Valtice on the south-western outskirts of the city in the direction of Mikulov is spreading and harms the first visual impression.
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	(i) large typological variety of structures within the overall landscape arrangement; large variety of plant species; (ii) authentic Baroque landscape design; (iv) town planning structures	law and policy enforcement	permanently by the property manager in cooperation with National Heritage Institute which provides annual monitoring system	permanently, not limited	local and regional authorities in cooperation with state authorities, especially police	Steady trend; illegal activity relates to poaching (wild animals and fish); it is not serious enough to damage the value of the property. Animals and plants without natural predators breed or spread at the expense of native species.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
4.1.1	There is a need for a buffer zone	Identification of buffer zone and its proclamation	as soon as possible	property manager in cooperation with local and regional authorities, National Heritage Institute and Ministry of Culture Of the Czech Republic	There is a need to protect key points of views outside the boundaries. Because of this fact and the proximity of the town Břeclav and other villages, a buffer zone may be proposed in the future for the reasons of keeping the visual integrity.	
4.2 Protective Measures						
4.2.4	There is no legal framework for controlling use and activities in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone	Identification of buffer zone and its proclamation	as soon as possible	property manager in cooperation with local and regional authorities, National Heritage Institute and Ministry of Culture Of the Czech Republic	no comment	
4.4 Financial and Human Resources						
4.4.6	Inadequate equipment and facilities	To legalise and strengthen the function of the property manager and provide him/her with the necessary powers	as soon as possible	local and regional authorities in cooperation with Ministry of Culture Of the Czech Republic	The property manager is established but the PM has no sufficient powers to manage property. It is necessary to legalise and strengthen the function of the property manager and provide him/her with the necessary powers.	
4.4.7	Ad hoc maintenance of equipment	To legalise and strengthen the function of the property manager and provide him/her with the necessary powers	as soon as possible	local and regional authorities in cooperation with Ministry of Culture Of the Czech Republic	property manager anchor position in the legal system of the Czech Republic	

4.4.12	Human resources inadequate for management needs	To legalise and strengthen the function of the property manager and provide him/her with the necessary powers	as soon as possible	local and regional authorities in cooperation with Ministry of Culture Of the Czech Republic	property manager anchor position in the legal system of the Czech Republic
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.4	Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved	Coordination and cooperation between institutions and private entities and preparation of an individual tourism development plan	as soon as possible	property manager in cooperation with local and regional authorities, National Heritage Institute and Ministry of Culture Of the Czech Republic	Preliminary steps are defined by the Management Plan.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

Section II-Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Fair
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Little of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party

- **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The table has not been generated properly. Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 16° 48,814' E / 48° 45,543' N Property (ha): 14,319.58 ha Buffer zone (ha): 0 ha Total (ha): 14,319.58 ha Inscription year: 1996

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise