1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Kutná Hora: Historical Town Centre with the Church of St Barbara and the Cathedral of Our Lady at Sedlec

Comment

A name change or, possibly, name simplification could be considered.

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Czech Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

732

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1995

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

| Name | Coordinates (latitude/longitude) | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | 0/0 | ? | ? | ? | |
| | 0/0 | ? | ? | ? | |
| Kutnà Hora: Historical Town Centre with the Church of St. Barbara | 0/0 | 62.081 | 0 | 62.081 | 0 |
| Cathedral Our Lady at Sedlec | 0/0 | 0.356 | 0 | 0.356 | 0 |
| Total (ha) | | 62.437 | 0 | 62.437 | |

Comment

Coordinates (longitude / latitude): Kutná Hora, historic town centre: 15° 15,996′ E / 49° 57,013′ N Sedlec, Cathedral of Our Lady: 15° 17,384′ E / 49° 57,637′ N Cathedral of Our lady at Sedlec (ha): 0,3817 ha Buffer zone (ha): 649,900 ha; it is common for both component parts. Total (ha) for both component parts: 62.4627 ha Total (ha) including BZ: 712.3627 ha Inscription year: 1995

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|---|------------|----------------|
| Kutná Hora: Historical Town Centre with the Church of St Barbara (boundary of the component 732-001) | 28/03/2011 | B |
| Kutná Hora: The Cathedral of Our Lady at Sedlec (boundary of the component 732-002) | 28/03/2011 | B |
| Kutná Hora: Historical Town Centre with the Church of St Barbara and the Cathedral of Our Lady at Sedlec. Map of the inscribed property and its buffer zone | 28/03/2011 | æ |

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Michal Benes
 Ministry of Cu

Ministry of Culture Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkcr.cz National focal point: National Heritage Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám. 3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail: vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

Jirí Mrázek

National Heritage Institute, Regional Office for Central Bohemia

Executive Assistant

Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. It is the mayor who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: RNDr. Ivo Sanc, CSc., mayor, Město Kutná Hora, Havlíčkovo nám. 552 284 01 Kutná Hora, Czech Republic, Telephone: +420 327 710 100, +420 602 361 346, Fax: +420 327 710 106, Email: sanc@mu.kutnahora.cz. The e-mail address of Mr. M. Mrázek is changed, the new one is: mrazek.jiri@npu.cz.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

- 1. <u>View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection</u>
- 2. Kutná Hora

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(ii)(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

(ii) architectural and artistic quality of monuments and buildings important examples of monumental Gothic architecture (iv) organic urban structure panorama of historic Kutná Hora buildings of historic centre architectural and typological diversity of the town's buildings architectural specimens

- 2.4 If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised
- 2.5 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3. Factors Affecting the Property
- 3.14. Other factor(s)
- 3.14.1 Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

| | Name | Impa | act | | | Origi | in |
|--------|--|------|-----|---|----------|------------|----|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Housing | | | | | • | |
| 3.1.2 | Commercial development | | | | 9 | | 5 |
| 3.1.3 | Industrial areas | | | | A | | F |
| 3.1.4 | Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | | | 9 | | F |
| 3.1.5 | Interpretative and visitation facilities | 0 | | M | | () | |
| 3.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 3.2.1 | Ground transport infrastructure | | | | ø, | | 3 |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
| 3.3.2 | Renewable energy facilities | 0 | | | A | () | |
| 3.3.4 | Localised utilities | | | M | 9 | () | F |
| 3.4 | Pollution | | | | | | |
| 3.4.2 | Ground water pollution | | | | 9 | () | 1 |
| 3.4.3 | Surface water pollution | | | | A | • | F |
| 3.4.4 | Air pollution | | | | 9 | () | F |
| 3.4.5 | Solid waste | | | | A | | F |
| 3.4.6 | Input of excess energy | | | | A | • | |
| 3.5 | Biological resource use/modification | - | | | | | |
| 3.5.3 | Land conversion | 0 | | M | | • | |
| 3.5.4 | Livestock farming / grazing of domesticated animals | 0 | | Ĭ | A | | F |
| 3.5.5 | Crop production | 0 | | | A | • | F |
| 3.5.6 | Commercial wild plant collection | 0 | | | A | • | F |
| 3.5.7 | Subsistence wild plant collection | 0 | | | 9 | • | F |
| 3.5.10 | Forestry /wood production | 0 | | M | | () | |
| 3.6 | Physical resource extraction | | | | | | |
| 3.6.1 | Mining | | | | 9 | | F |
| 3.6.2 | Quarrying | | | | 4 | | F |
| 3.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | |
| 3.7.1 | Wind | | | | 4 | • | |
| 3.7.2 | Relative humidity | | | | A | • | |
| 3.7.3 | Temperature | | | | 9 | <u>•</u> | |
| 3.7.4 | Radiation/light | | | | ø, | • | |
| 3.7.5 | Dust | | | | 9 | () | |
| 3.7.6 | Water (rain/water table) | | | | 9 | • | |
| 3.7.7 | Pests | | | | 9 | () | |
| 3.7.8 | Micro-organisms | | | | | • | |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | |
| 3.8.1 | Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses | | | A | 4 | • | F |
| 3.8.2 | Society's valuing of heritage | 0 | | 9 | | • | F |
| 3.8.4 | Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system | | | | A | • | |
| 3.8.5 | Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | | | Ą | | • | L |
| 3.9 | Other human activities | | | | | | |

| | Nam | ne | | | | | Impac | t | | | Origi | in |
|--------|--------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|--------|------------|---|------|-----|-------|----|
| 3.9.1 | Illega | al activities | | | | | (| | | A | • | F |
| 3.9.2 | Delik | berate destruction of herit | tage | | | | (| | | A | • | F |
| 3.10 | Clim | nate change and severe | weather events | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.10.1 | Stori | ms | | | | | (| | | A | • | |
| 3.11 | Sud | den ecological or geolo | gical events | | | | | | | ! | | |
| 3.11.4 | Aval | anche/ landslide | | | | | (| | | A | • | |
| 3.13 | Man | agement and institution | nal factors | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.13.2 | High | impact research / monito | oring activities | | | | (| | | A | | F |
| 3.13.3 | Man | agement activities | | | | | (1) | | | | • | |
| Legend | | Current | Potential | Negative | Positive | Inside | | F | Outs | ide | | |

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

| | | Spatial scale | Temporal scale | • | Management response | Trend |
|--------|--|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|
| 3.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | |
| 3.1.1 | Housing | localised | frequent | significant | medium capacity | decreasing |
| 3.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | |
| 3.3.4 | Localised utilities | localised | intermittent or sporadic | significant | medium capacity | static |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural uses of heritage | | | | | |
| | Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | extensive | frequent | significant | medium capacity | increasing |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | | |
| 3.11.4 | Avalanche/ landslide | localised | intermittent or sporadic | catastrophic | medium capacity | decreasing |

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **do not limit the** ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value **but they could be improved**

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

- Law No. 20/1987 Coll. deals with the problems of the protection of cultural monuments and monument protected areas
- Decree of the Ministry of Education and Culture No. 16 417/87-VI/1 - declaration of historic centres of some towns Municipal Conservation Areas of August 31, 1961
- Decision on the establishment and declaration of the buffer zone of the Municipal Conservation Area of October 11, 1982
- Declaration of the Churchof St. Barbara and the Italian Courta National Cultural Monument
- Regulations for potential new built-up areas and determining the main principles of possible advancement and development

- of the settlement, established through a zoning plan of the town since 1994, elaborated subsequently in 2001
- Programme of regeneration of the town, elaborated in 1992 and approved by the Municipal Authority in 1993. The latest updating was approved by the Municipal Authority on November 25, 2004 as the detailed plan for 2004-2010
- The strategic plan of development of the town, providing long-term coordination of public and private activities of the demographic, economic, social, cultural and ecological character in the territory of the town

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

Question 6.02

Law No. 20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments that deals with the problems of the protection of cultural monuments and monument protected areas.

Decree of the Ministry of Education and Culture No. 16 417/87-VI/1 on declaration of historic centres of some towns Municipal Conservation Areas of August 31, 1961 Decision on the establishment and declaration of the buffer zone of the Municipal Conservation Area of October 11, 1982

Declaration of the Church of St. Barbara and the Italian Court National Cultural Monument

The zoning plan of the central zone with the regulations for potential new built-up area, determining the main principles of possible advancement and development of the settlement. The zoning plan of the central zone of 1994, elaborated subsequently in 2001 was the Zoning plan of the town that did not interfere directly with its central part, i.e. to the monument itself inscribed in the World Heritage List, but it tried to determine and influence the necessary links. Therefore, at present the Regulatory Plan is being prepared

Therefore, at present the Regulatory Plan is being prepared of the historic centre of the town.

Some regulations of the town, especially the market rules providing, e.g. for the way of sale in the public areas.

The programme of regeneration of the town, elaborated in 1992 and approved by the Municipal Authority in 1993. The latest updating was approved by the Municipal Authority on November 25, 2004 as the detailed plan for 2004-2010. The strategic plan of development of the town that is the basis for the determination of further directions linked up to the development of the region or larger territorial units. It is elaborated as the document of long-term coordination of public and private activities of the demographic, economic, social, cultural and ecological character in the territory of the town.

The plan of the principles of monuments protection, conceptual material with determination of regulations for further protection of cultural monuments and the town as a whole.

Comment

Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage reservation and heritage zone protection plans; Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code; Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records; Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 187/2007 Coll.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

- · Plans to establish a steering group in 2006
- Plans to appoint Coordinator
- Levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site: regional; local

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• Question 5.04 Plans in place to set up a "steering group: The steering group has not been established yet, but at all levels there is no doubt about its necessity. Therefore, the establishment will be solved as soon as possible, namely in connection with the preparation of the Management Plan of the estate according to the Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention of 2005. The real presumption may be the term in the course of 2006.

Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

Management by the State Party
 Management under protective legislation
 Other effective management system

The manager of the estate is the Town of Kutná Hora that establishes relevant authorities, commissions, etc. for different occasions.

The protection itself of the cultural heritage is managed according to law No. 20/87 Coll., on the state monuments care. Charged with the performance of that state monuments care is the Municipal Authority in Kutná Hora, the department of monuments care, professional background materials for that agenda are processed by the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, territorial professional place of work of central Bohemia in Prague. For the objects registered as National Cultural Monuments (the Italian Court, the Chruch of St. Barbara) professional service is performed by the central place of work of the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites and charged with the performance of the state monuments care there is the Regional Authority of the Central-Bohemian Region. Further, established at the Municipal Authority is a separate department of monuments administration that deals with the practical administration of monument objects in the ownership of the town.

Comment

The Strategic Plan that sets forth the general goals of the town, the Action Plan that stipulates the general goals of the Strategic Plan in detail in the form of specific project ideas and the Management Plan (MP) are the key documents for the work of the town's local government bodies in the field of the conservation of the town's heritage stock. The MP has been developed by the Municipal Authority of Kutná Hora in 2011, discussed and approved by the Municipal Assembly on its meeting.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

SEIFERT, Ondřej. Management Plan of the Town of Kutná Hora. 2011. Others: Land Use Plan of the Town of Kutná

Hora, approved 2006 Strategic Town Development Plan, 2008 Strategy of the support of the heritage stock in the administrative district of the Municipal Authority of Kutná Hora, 2009 Integrated Development Plan of the town of Kutná Hora, 2011 Regeneration Programme of the Kutná Hora Urban Heritage Reservation for 2011-2016

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

| Local communities / residents | Fair |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Local / Municipal authorities | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Fair |
| Landowners | Poor |
| Visitors | Fair |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Fair |
| Industry | Poor |

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

Since the last periodic report, there were no significant changes in the legal status or in the administrative provisions relating to the World Heritage property. Essentially, the newly passed laws or their implementing regulations continue to rely on the same principles as the earlier legislation. For example Acts No. 158/2007 Coll., 124/2008 Coll., 189/2008 Coll., 307/2008 Coll., 223/2009 Coll., 227/2009 Coll., 124/2011 Coll., 142/2012 Coll., that amended Act No. 20/1987 Coll.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

| Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc) | 0% |
|---|-----|
| International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 15% |
| Governmental (National / Federal) | 40% |
| Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State) | 15% |
| Governmental (Local / Municipal) | 10% |
| In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc) | 0% |
| Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 15% |
| Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 5% |
| Other grants | 0% |

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

The World Heritage property has not received any Assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the mediumterm and planning is underway to secure funding in the longterm

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **some** adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area **constrain** management at the World Heritage property

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are well maintained

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Full-time | 50% |
|-----------|-----|
| Part-time | 50% |

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Permanent | 80% |
|-----------|-----|
| Seasonal | 20% |

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

| Paid | 100% |
|-----------|------|
| Volunteer | 0% |

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| or or occurrence in the controlling and or prince | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Fair | | | |
| Good | | | |
| Poor | | | |
| Fair | | | |
| | | | |

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| Research and monitoring | Low |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Promotion | Low |

| Community outreach | Medium |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| Interpretation | Low |
| Education | Medium |
| Visitor management | Low |
| Conservation | Low |
| Administration | Low |
| Risk preparedness | Low |
| Tourism | Low |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Medium |

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

VANĚK, Vojtěch. Chantry chapels by burghers in Kutná Hora in the pre-Hussite period. Praha, 2011. PhD thesis, Charles University.; ŠVARCOVÁ, Kristýna. Analysis of tourism in a selected town (Kutná Hora). Praha 2011. Bachelor thesis (Bc.). University of Economics in Prague.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| Local communities / residents | Excellent |
|--|----------------|
| Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property | Excellent |
| Local Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Local landowners | Excellent |
| Visitors | Excellent |
| Tourism industry | Excellent |
| Local businesses and industries | Average |

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

| Visitor centre | Excellent |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Site museum | Excellent |
| Information booths | Excellent |
| Guided tours | Adequate |
| Trails / routes | Excellent |
| Information materials | Excellent |
| Transportation facilities | Poor |
| Other | Not needed |

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

| Last year | Decreasing |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Two years ago | Minor Increase |
| Three years ago | Minor Increase |

| Four years ago | Decreasing |
|----------------|------------|
| Five years ago | Decreasing |

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

| Entry tickets and registries |
|------------------------------|
| Accommodation establishments |
| Tourism industry |
| Visitor surveys |
| Other |

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

In this respect, the Strategic Town Development Plan is the main document, which addresses a number of important areas of the life and the development of Kutná Hora. By the Resolution of the Municipal Assembly No. 584/03 of 2003, an intention to prepare this document was adopted; the preparation itself then took place in the period between July 2004 and October 2005. The plan has already been updated twice. The second update took place, approved by the Municipal Assembly on 13 May 2008.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

| The state of the s | |
|--|----------------|
| World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff | Excellent |
| Local / Municipal authorities | Excellent |
| Local communities | Average |
| Researchers | Excellent |
| NGOs | Excellent |
| Industry | Poor |
| Local indigenous peoples | Not applicable |

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

- 4.8.5 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee
- 4.8.6 Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring
- 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 4.9.1 Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | | World Heritage criteria and attributes affected | Actions | Monitoring | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
|--------|--|---|--|--|--------------|--|--|
| 3.1 | Buildings and I | Development | | <u> </u> | | <u>'</u> | |
| 3.1.1 | Housing | (iv) organic urban structure panorama of historic Kutná Hora buildings of historic centre architectural and typological diversity of the town's buildings architectural specimens | Responsible assessment of construction projects for filling the vacant areas in the town centre and for loft conversions of the existing buildings; stricter and more effective control of projects on buildings of historic centre. | Annually. Within the scope of monitoring, these negative changes have been gradually documented; this document can help to understand the problem. | continuously | Municipal Authority of Kutná Hora National Heritage Institute | Ad Actions: Preservation of the original town structure, in particular of the still vacant areas of gardens in inner courtyards; keeping an acceptable level of loft conversions and preserving the rooftop townscape. |
| 3.3 | Services Infras | tructures | | | | | |
| 3.3.4 | Localised utilities | (ii) architectural and artistic quality of monuments and buildings important examples of monumental Gothic architecture (iv) organic urban structure panorama of historic Kutná Hora buildings of historic centre | strict regulation of the number and location of these technical facilities; to compel investors to collaborate and to opt for joint projects | Annually. Within the scope of monitoring, these negative changes have been gradually documented; this document can help to understand the problem. | continuously | Municipal Authority of Kutná Hora National Heritage Institute | Height landmarks and the skyline; possible spoiling of the skyline by further extension of mobile phone network facilities |
| 3.8 | Social/cultural | uses of heritage | | | | | |
| 3.8.5 | Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | (ii) architectural and artistic quality of monuments and buildings important examples of monumental Gothic architecture (iv) organic urban structure panorama of historic Kutná Hora buildings of historic centre | These changes can only be influenced to a minimal extent. One of the options consists e.g. in keeping a part of the housing stock in the ownership of the municipality and in the creation of favourable conditions for the residents. | The monitoring can not help directly to solve this problem. | continuously | Municipal Authority of Kutná Hora National Heritage Institute | As a result of the resulting structural alterations, changes in the social structure of the population in the historic centre and traditional natural relationships of local inhabitants may have a negative impact on the preservation. |
| 3.11 | Sudden ecolog | ical or geological even | ts | | | | |
| 3.11.4 | Avalanche/ landslide | (ii) architectural and artistic quality of monuments and buildings important examples of monumental Gothic architecture (iv) organic urban structure panorama of historic Kutná Hora buildings of historic centre | No effective protection can be adoption, only a system of monitoring and measuring any sign of movement under the ground can be prepared. Truly effective preliminary measures cannot be taken, only after a problem. | system of continuous monitoring and measuring any sign of movement under the ground | continuously | Municipal Authority of Kutná Hora in cooperation with other authorities | Direct risk to buildings in the town with sudden cave-ins due to former mining operations. |

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| the property in relation to the following area | <u>s</u> |
|--|---------------|
| Conservation | Very positive |
| Research and monitoring | Very positive |
| Management effectiveness | Very positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Very positive |
| Recognition | Very positive |
| Education | Very positive |
| Infrastructure development | Very positive |
| Funding for the property | Very positive |
| International cooperation | Very positive |
| Political support for conservation | Very positive |
| Legal / Policy framework | Very positive |
| Lobbying | Very positive |
| Institutional coordination | Very positive |
| Security | Very positive |
| Other (please specify) | Very positive |
| | |

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

| <u> </u> |
|--|
| Governmental institution responsible for the property |
| Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff |
| Staff from other World Heritage properties |
| Non Governmental Organization |
| Indigenous peoples |
| Local community |

External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

ves

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

| UNESCO | Very good |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| State Party Representative | Very good |
| Advisory Body | Very good |

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

| The World Heritage Convention |
|--|
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity |
| Monitoring and reporting |
| Management effectiveness |

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| UNESCO | Excellent |
|-----------------|-----------|
| State Party | Excellent |
| Site Managers | Excellent |
| Advisory Bodies | Excellent |

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Name of World Heritage Property

Reason for update: A name change or, possibly, name simplification could be considered.

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

Geographic Information Table

Reason for update: Coordinates (longitude / latitude): Kutná Hora, historic town centre: 15° 15,996′ E / 49° 57,013′ N Sedlec, Cathedral of Our Lady: 15° 17,384′ E / 49° 57,637′ N Cathedral of Our lady at Sedlec (ha): 0,3817 ha Buffer zone (ha): 649,900 ha; it is common for both component parts. Total (ha) for both component parts: 62.4627 ha Total (ha) including BZ: 712.3627 ha Inscription year: 1995

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise