

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Telč

### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

#### State(s) Party(ies)

- Czech Republic

#### Type of Property

cultural

#### Identification Number

621

#### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1992


### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Telč	49.183 / 15.45	36	296.5	332.5	1992
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>296.5</b>	<b>332.5</b>	

#### Comment

Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15° 27,255´ E / 49° 11,098´ N Property (ha): 36.12 ha Total (ha): 332.62 ha

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Telč, scale 1:10,000	30/11/2007	

### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Michal Benes  
Ministry of Culture  
Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

#### Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová  
Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division  
Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone:  
+420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email:  
dita.limova@mkcr.cz National focal point: National Heritage  
Institute, General Directorate, Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám.  
3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic  
Telephone: +420 257 010 115 Fax: +420 257 010 149 E mail:  
vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Gabriela Kaščáková  
National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in Telč  
Responsible for annual reporting

#### Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. Contact changes - address: Hradecká 6, 588 56 Telč, email: kascakova.gabriela@npu.cz. It is the mayor who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: Městský úřad Telč, Roman Fabeš, Zachariáše z Hradce 10, CZ 588 56 Telč, Tel. +420 567 112 411, Email: roman.fabes@telc-etc.cz. Executive SM: Ivana

Krejčová, tel: +420 567 112 426, email: ivana.krejцова@telc-etc.cz.

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
2. [Telč](#)

#### Comment

www.telc.eu; www.zamek-telc.eu

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

#### Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

original compact development including a triangular square surrounded by well-preserved burgher houses dating back to the Middle Ages; wide typological range of well-preserved Renaissance, Baroque and Classicist gables; authentic material structure and decoration of historical buildings; square closed by the vista point of the castle; medieval town transformed into a Renaissance residence; Italian influences in architecture; a combination of urban development and a castle

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact					Origin	
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.3	Industrial areas							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>							
3.3.1	Water infrastructure							
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities							
3.3.3	Non-renewable energy facilities							
3.3.4	Localised utilities							
3.3.5	Major linear utilities							
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>							
3.4.6	Input of excess energy							
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
3.7.1	Wind							
3.7.2	Relative humidity							
3.7.3	Temperature							
3.7.5	Dust							
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)							
3.7.7	Pests							
3.7.8	Micro-organisms							
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage							
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Other human activities</b>							
3.9.1	Illegal activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.9.6	Civil unrest							
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>							
3.10.1	Storms							
3.10.2	Flooding							
3.10.6	Temperature change							
3.10.7	Other climate change impacts							
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
3.11.2	Earthquake							
3.11.5	Erosion and siltation/ deposition							

	Name	Impact				Origin	
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)						
<b>3.12</b>	<b>Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species</b>						
3.12.1	Translocated species						
3.12.2	Invasive/alien terrestrial species						
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>						
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities						
3.13.3	Management activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside	

### 3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

#### 3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.2	Relative humidity	extensive	on-going	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.7.5	Dust	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.7.7	Pests	extensive	frequent	minor	high capacity	decreasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	extensive	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.2	Flooding	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	high capacity	decreasing

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

## 4.2. Protective Measures

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation territories

Historic centre of the town (the area of the estate) declared Municipal Conservation Area by the Decree of the Ministry of Culture (ref. No. 7419/70-II/2 of April 27, 1970)

Buffer zone established in 1985 (by the decision of the department of culture of the District National Committee in Jihlava ref. No. kult. 39/85 of January 22, 1985)

Government Order No. 262/1995 Coll., the chateau of Telč was declared national cultural monument and by Order of the government No. 336 of June 19, 2002 that national cultural monument was declared again in compliance with the current cadastral layout

Development plan of the town of Telč approved by the authorities of the town on November 12, 2001 and its change was approved on October 30, 2002

Programme of regeneration of the Municipal Conservation Area was approved by the town representatives on October 20, 2002

Strategic plan of the micro-region of the area of Telč was approved on May 10, 2002

The protection arrangements are considered highly effective. The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.

### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation territories.

In 1970 the historic centre of the town (the area of the estate) was declared Municipal Conservation Area by the Decree of the Ministry of Culture (ref.No. 7419/70-II/2 of April 27, 1970).

Established in 1985 for the protection of the surroundings of the estate was the buffer zone (by the decision of the department of culture of the District National Committee in Jihlava ref.No. kult. 39/85 of January 22, 1985).

By order of the government No. 262/1995 Coll., the chateau of Telč was declared national cultural monument and by Order of the government No. 336 of June 19, 2002 that national cultural monument was declared again in compliance with the current cadastral layout.

The development plan of the town of Telč was approved by the authorities of the town on November 12, 2001 and its change was approved on October 30, 2002.

The programme of regeneration of the Municipal Conservation Area was approved by the town representatives on October 20, 2002.

The strategic plan of the micro region of the area of Telč was approved on May 10, 2002.

The Strategic Plan of Development of the town of Telč will have been completed by the beginning of 2006.

### Comment

Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage reservation and heritage zone protection plans Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 187/2007 Coll. The new development plan approved on October 4, 2011.

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

No Steering group, although establishment planned by the end of 2005. State Party notes that the commission for regeneration of the municipal conservation area and municipal conservation zone already fulfils partially the function of the steering group

Levels of public authority who are primarily involved with the management of the site: local

The current management system is sufficiently effective

### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group":  
The steering group will be officially established by the end of 2005 in connection with the preparation of the Management Plan according to the Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention of 2005.

The commission for regeneration of the municipal conservation area and municipal conservation zone already fulfils partially the function of the steering group.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

The management is performed by the autonomous authority of the town that established, for this purpose, the Commission for Regeneration of the Municipal Conservation Area and Municipal Conservation Zone, as its professional advisory authority (e.g., it also proposes the allocation of subsidy financial means). According to law No. 20/87 Coll., on the state monuments care and the relevant regulations, charged with the performance of the state monuments care is the Municipal Authority Telč, department of development and town plan.

The professional organization providing professional opinions and background materials is the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, territorial professional place of work in Brno and place of work of the monuments care in Telč.

For the national cultural monument, the chateau of Telč the executive authority of the state monuments care is the Regional Authority Vysočina and the professional organization the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, the central place of work.

### Comment

The management system is in place. The Management Plan has been actually prepared and it is being performed. More details see in the question 4.3.2.

#### 4.3.2 - Management Documents

### Comment

National heritage Institute, Telč Regional Office. The Telč State Chateau: Management Plan, 2010-2011. RPSV (Vesely's Regional Advisory Services): Management plan of the town of Telč, 2008. Others: Telč Municipality; Veselý, R.; Holý, S. Supporting analytical zoning data of Telč, a municipality with extended powers: Full update, 2011. AS PROJECT s.r.o.: Land use plan of Telč, 2011. Telč Municipality. Programme of Regeneration of Urban Heritage Reservation and Urban Heritage Zone, 2012.

#### 4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

#### 4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value



**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Indigenous peoples have **no input** into decisions relating to the management

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

There are no significant changes in the legal status and management arrangements for the World Heritage property.

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%

Governmental (National / Federal)	40%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	20%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	15%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	25%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

The World Heritage property has not received any Assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	60%
Seasonal	40%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Poor
Interpretation	Good
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Fair
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	Not applicable
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs**

**and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

JABULKA, Jiří. Telč, Memory of Houses. České Budějovice. Typ, 2008. 91pp. ISBN 80-901510-4-3 BLÁHA, Jiří. The Telč State Chateau-Architectural and Historical Survey. 2010-2012. (unfinished, final phase 2013-2014) National Heritage Institute, Telč Regional Office. The Telč State Chateau: Management Plan, 2010-2011. RPSV (Vesely's Regional Advisory Services): Management Plan of the Town Telč. 2011.

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Excellent
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Static
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Major Increase (100%+)
Five years ago	Static

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**Comment**

There is only a set of rules for the visitors to the Telč State Chateau.

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Poor
Local indigenous peoples	Average

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

Implementation is **complete**

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	(i) wide typological range of well-preserved Renaissance, Baroque and Classicist gables; authentic material structure and decoration of historic buildings	Any solution is dependent upon the decisions of individual owners of the buildings. Financial subsidies are provided on cultural heritage sites.	Monitoring is being carried out over the course of the building repairs. Building exteriors are regularly monitored.	It is dependent upon the financial situation of the individual owners.	The Municipal Authority of Telč no comments
<b>3.7.5</b>	<b>Dust</b>	(i) wide typological range of well-preserved Renaissance, Baroque and Classicist gables; authentic material structure and decoration of historic buildings	Any solution is dependent upon the decisions of individual owners of the buildings. Financial subsidies are provided on cultural heritage sites.	The monitoring is being carried out over the course of the building repairs.	It is dependent upon the financial situation of the individual owners.	The Municipal Authority of Telč no comments
<b>3.7.7</b>	<b>Pests</b>	(i) wide typological range of well-preserved Renaissance, Baroque and Classicist gables; authentic material structure and decoration of historic buildings	Any solution is dependent upon the decisions of individual owners of the buildings. Financial subsidies are provided on cultural heritage sites.	The monitoring is being carried out over the course of the building repairs.	It is dependent upon the financial situation of the individual owners.	The Municipal Authority of Telč no comments
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.2</b>	<b>Society's valuing of heritage</b>	(i) wide typological range of well-preserved Renaissance, Baroque and Classicist gables; authentic material structure and decoration of historic buildings	The high protection and close supervision of individual buildings while they are being repaired and renovated.	the regular monitoring connected with the cultural heritage preservation	It is dependent on the attitude of the individual owners.	The Municipal Authority of Telč, National Heritage Institute The owners' ever-increasing pressure for the rebuilding of individual buildings as well as their pressure for transforming attic spaces into living spaces, which is associated with rising standards of living.
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
<b>3.10.2</b>	<b>Flooding</b>	(i) authentic material structure and decoration of historic buildings	a gradual renovation of ponds that retain floodwaters	regular monitoring	until 2015	The Municipal Authority of Telč no comments

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
<b>4.3.9</b>	<b>Indigenous peoples have no input into decisions relating to management</b>	to include into the updated version of the Management Plan the greater degree of participation of local residents in the decision-making process	until 2016	The Municipal Authority of Telč	Local residents receive updated information about local events. However, the system does not offer them an ideal chance to participate in the decision-making process.	
<b>4.7 Visitor Management</b>						
<b>4.7.4</b>	<b>Some management of visitor use of the property but this could be improved</b>	implementation of the Management Plan	until 2015	The Municipal Authority of Telč, National Heritage Institute	no comments	

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

no

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Good
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
--

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Coordinates (longitude / latitude): 15° 27,255' E / 49° 11,098' N Property (ha): 36.12 ha Total (ha): 332.62 ha

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**