

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Historic Centre of Český Krumlov

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

- Czech Republic

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

617

Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1992


1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Český Krumlov	48.817 / 14.317	51.91	1073.31	1125.22	1992
Total (ha)		51.91	1073.31	1125.22	

Comment

The information above in the questionnaire is incorrect. The area of buffer zone is 849.34 ha. This entry is equal to maps indicated boundaries of property. Total area is 901.25 ha. Exact coordinates (longitude / latitude) are 14° 19, 894' E / 48° 48, 647' N.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Český Krumlov: inscribed property	28/03/2011	
Historic Centre of Český Krumlov: inscribed property and buffer zone	28/03/2011	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Michal Benes
Ministry of Culture
Deputy Director General, Section for Cultural Policy and European Funds, Head of Unit for UNESCO

Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Dita Limová
Departement of International Relations, UNESCO Division
Maltézské nám. 1 118 01 Praha 1 Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 085 371 Fax: +420 725 001 305 Email: dita.limova@mkr.cz National focal point: National Heritage Institute, General Directorate Jitka Vlčková Valdštejnské nám. 3/162 118 01 Praha 1 - Malá Strana Czech Republic Telephone: +420 257 010 115 E-mail: vlckova.jitka@npu.cz

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Daniel Šnejd
National Heritage Institute, Regional Office in České Budějovice
Responsible for annual reporting

Section II-Historic Centre of Český Krumlov

Comment

An employee of the National Heritage Institute is responsible for the periodic reporting. It is the mayor who is the de facto site manager. His contact details are as follows: Mgr. Dalibor Carda, Mayor, Town of Český Krumlov, náměstí Svornosti 1, 381 01 Český Krumlov, Telefon: +420 380 766 111, Fax: +420 380 766 810, e-mail: dalibor.carda@mu.ckrumlov.cz. The e-mail address of Mr. D. Šnejd is changed, the new one is: snejd.daniel@npu.cz.

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [Official Information System of the Český Krumlov Region](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Protocol to the Hague Convention of 1954 for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict; Second Protocol to the Hague Convention; Convention for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe; Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage; European Convention on the Protection of the Archeological Heritage; European Landscape Convention

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iv)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

town layout representing a small residential Central European town originating in the Middle Ages; preserved medieval town planning structure and division of plots; buildings reflecting the economic and cultural importance of the town, thus providing an evidence of its more than five-hundred-year long development; high quality architectural and town planning form; dramatic ground configuration given by the river and the natural setting of the surrounding landscape

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact						Origin
3.1	Buildings and Development							
3.1.1	Housing							
3.1.2	Commercial development							
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities							
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure							
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure							
3.2.4	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							
3.3	Services Infrastructures							
3.3.1	Water infrastructure							
3.3.2	Renewable energy facilities							
3.4	Pollution							
3.4.5	Solid waste							
3.6	Physical resource extraction							
3.6.1	Mining							
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage							
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses							
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation							
3.9	Other human activities							
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage							
3.9.5	Terrorism							
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events							
3.10.2	Flooding							
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events							
3.11.4	Avalanche/ landslide							
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)							
3.13	Management and institutional factors							
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities							
3.13.3	Management activities							
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside		

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	extensive	intermittent or sporadic	significant	medium capacity	increasing
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	extensive	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	decreasing
3.9	Other human activities					
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	localised	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	increasing

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Act No. 20/1987 Coll., on state monument conservation (hereinafter also the "Monument Conservation Act")
Scope of application – the Monument Conservation Act stipulates the conditions of conservation and appropriate use of cultural monuments including the preservation of protected areas, particularly from the substantive law perspective. The Monument Conservation Act further regulates the rights and obligations of the owners of cultural monument and the owners (facility managers, users) of properties situated in protected areas and the rights and obligations of the state monument conservation administrative authorities and professional organisation, i.e. the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites (an institution receiving contributions from the state budget),

including the relevant sanctions in case the stipulated obligations are not fulfilled.

In the monitored period, two amendments to the Monument Conservation Act were adopted:

1. Act No. 223/2009 Coll. that removes the time limit from the content of the decision on granting a monument restoration permit.

2. Act No. 227/2009 Coll. that regulates the provision of data by the Ministry of Interior for the needs of the Ministry of Culture.

Regulation No. 66/1988 Coll. to implement Act No. 20/1987 Coll., on state monument conservation.

The Regulation to implement the Monument Conservation Act clarifies certain matter regulated by the Monument Conservation Act.

Regulation No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage site and heritage zone protection plans. The Regulation came into force on 1 January 2009.

Act No. 183/2006 Coll., the Land Use Planning Act and Building Procedure Code (the Building Act).

Regulation No. 500/2006 Coll. on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records.

Regulation No. 187/2007 Coll. stipulating the content and essential elements of zoning plans concerning areas with archaeological discoveries.

Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of June 10, 1963 ref. No. 22. 446/1963 - established in Český Krumlov the Municipal Conservation Area.

Decision Ref. No. cult. 534-404/3-87/Vr – establishment of the Český Krumlov Municipal Heritage Site buffer zone. The purpose of the establishment of the buffer zone was to improve the protection of the Municipal Heritage Site environment against any close surrounding influences and any infringements within the buffer zone.

Government Decree No. 55/1989 Coll. that declares Český Krumlov Castle a National Cultural Monument.

The above legal regulation stipulates the terms and conditions of protection of the concerned national cultural monument.

Government Decree No. 262/1995 Coll. that declares St. Vitus Church in Český Krumlov a National Cultural Monument.

The above legal regulation declares the church, i.e. building No. 160, as well as building plot No. 208 and surrounding plot No. 259/1 a national cultural monument.

Ministry of Culture Regulation No. 108/2003 Coll., that declares historic environment areas in selected towns and municipalities heritage zones and stipulates the terms and conditions of their protection; the Regulation has declared the Plešivec Municipal Heritage Zone.

The Regulation ensures the preservation of a zone and its historic environment and specifies the grounds for the monument conservation authority to determine the conditions of building activities and interventions concerning the terrain and full-grown vegetation in the given area.

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• Question 6.02

Law No.20/1987 Coll., on the state monuments care, that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation areas. Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of June 10, 1963 ref.No. 22. 446/1963 by which established in Český Krumlov is the Municipal Conservation

Area.

Decision about the establishment of the buffer zone of the Municipal Conservation Area Český Krumlov, District National Committee, department of culture in Český Krumlov, ref.No.: Kult. 534-404/3-87/Vr. of December 27, 1987. Decree of the government of the Czech Republic No.171/1998 Coll., of May 3, 1998 on the declaration of the Chateau of Český Krumlov a national cultural monument that replaced the original Decree of the government of 1989. Decree of the government of the Czech Republic No. 336/2002 Coll., of May 19, 2002 on the declaration of St.Vitus Church in Český Krumlov a national cultural monument.

The town-planning plan of the settlement formation of Český Krumlov was approved in 1987. The approval is performed of the new town-planning plan.

The strategic plan of development of the town of Český Krumlov of 1993 is being updated at present.

Municipal regulation No. 3/1999 in the wording of regulation No. 5/1999 on the rules of location of advertisement and publicity facilities valid in the territorial district of the town of Český Krumlov (the approval of the marking of places of business, their appearance, etc. by the authority of the state monuments care)Municipal regulation No. 7/1998 by which the market regulations are issued, is completed every year (approval of location, size and appearance of the front gardens, selling stands, etc. by the authority of the state monuments care).

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

The National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites is in charge of the castle complex; the administration of the complex is ensured by a sufficient number of qualified employees. The buildings are always used in a manner that will allow for retaining their cultural and historical values to a maximum extent.

The key conceptual documents that serve as a basis for continuous restoration of the entire castle complex are The Concept of restoration of the state castle and chateau in Český Krumlov and The Monument protection concept of the castle garden rehabilitation in Český Krumlov.

In 2010, the Český Krumlov castle rehabilitation plan was also updated; the plan includes restoration and construction works outlook until 2018.

In 2009, phase 1 of the Management Plan was implemented. The main purpose of the Management Plan is to preserve the Outstanding Universal Value of the property.

Since the very beginning, the document has been planned to apply to both the key elements of the Municipal Heritage Site – the castle complex and the historic centre.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Tuesday, November 29, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group:
The Municipal Authority was informed by the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites about the necessity to establish the steering group. The executive authority of the state monuments care (the department of protection of monuments of the Municipal Authority Český Krumlov), in cooperation with the management of the town, prepares the establishment of the steering group headed by the coordinator by the end of 2005.

• **Question 5.05**

Overall management system of the site

- Management by the State Party
- Management under protective legislation
- Other effective management system

According to law No. 22/87 Coll., on the state care for monuments and the relevant regulations, charged with the performance of the state monuments care is the Municipal Authority Český Krumlov, section of investments, department of the monuments care. The professional organization providing professional opinions and background materials for the monuments care and monuments protection is the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, territorial professional place of work in České Budějovice.

For the national cultural monuments (the area of the chateau and St. Vitus church) the executive authority of the state monuments care is the Regional Authority of the South-Bohemian Region and the professional organization the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites, the central place of work.

Comment

The management system mentioned above corresponds to reality. The changes are related to some management documents, whose current version is included in the 2012 report. Please provide their update in the questionnaire.

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Comment

BOHÁČOVÁ, Jitka (ed.). Management Plan of Historic Centre of Český Krumlov: I. phase. 2009, 187 p. See <http://data.ckrumlov.cz/files/96-2009-12-management-plan-cesky-krumlov-en.pdf>. BOHÁČOVÁ, Jitka (ed.). Management Plan of Historic Centre of Český Krumlov: II. phase. 2010, 57 p. See <http://data.ckrumlov.cz/files/268-management-plan-2-faze-final-en.pdf>.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies / levels involved in the management of the property **but it could be improved**

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area

Section II-Historic Centre of Český Krumlov

surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

At present, the basic piece of legislation concerning the protection of cultural heritage sites and their environment in the Czech Republic is the Act No. 20/1987 Coll., on state heritage preservation, as amended. Since the last periodic report, it was amended by Acts No. 158/2007 Coll., 124/2008 Coll., 189/2008 Coll., 307/2008 Coll., 223/2009 Coll., 227/2009 Coll., 124/2011 Coll. and 142/2012 Coll.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	32%
Governmental (National / Federal)	47%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	2%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	1%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	18%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

The World Heritage property has not received any Assistance from the World Heritage Fund.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is **some flow** of economic benefits to local communities

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	80%
Part-time	20%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

A range of human resources exist, but these are **below optimum** to manage the World Heritage Property.

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Fair
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Low
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Medium
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	Medium
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Low

Section II-Historic Centre of Český Krumlov

Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low
----------------------------------	-----

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Český Krumlov - Flood prevention Measures on the Vltava River, Feasibility Study, VH TRES, Ltd., 2007
 Research of the Inhabitants of Český Krumlov in the Years 1992 to 2008 - Human Resources, Challenges and Risks, Prague, Charles University, 2008
 Revitalization of Convents and Monasteries in Český Krumlov - Volumetric and Exploratory Study, MURUS - MONUMENTA RENOVAMUS Design, Ltd., 2009

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
-------------------------------	---------

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Poor
Local Indigenous peoples	Average
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Excellent
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry

Section II-Historic Centre of Český Krumlov

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Update of the Strategic plan of the town development, RAVEN EU Advisory a.s., 2008 - one of the key documents, which covers fundamental long-term strategic goals of town development and indicates the priorities that follow from them. These include support for the intensive protection of heritage sites in order to preserve the unique historic character and landscape settings of the town and their legacy for the future generations and, at the same time, to use them for quality tourism.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected and makes a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Average

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has **not yet begun**

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

Replies to the recommendations of the World Heritage Committee formulated in the Decision No. 35 COM 7B.88 are included in the 2012 Report on the State of the Property "Historic Centre of Český Krumlov" (317).

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.1	Buildings and Development						
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	(iv) high degree of authenticity of the preserved elements and details of historic buildings; high quality architectural and urban form; unspoiled character of visually highly exposed rooftop townscape; valuable archaeological fields	For more information about this problem please refer to the 2010 and 2012 Reports on the state of the UNESCO World Heritage Site or see Management plan.	Within the scope of monitoring, on the territory of the WH property, all construction activities and, in the buffer zone, major structural interventions that may have an impact on the OUV of the historic centre are subject to continuous monitoring.	continuously	Český Krumlov Municipality South Bohemian Region National Heritage Institute	The pressure on the expansion of hotel operations continues. Further expansion of accommodation facilities in the interior of the town suppresses the original residential function and decreases the town potential for other suitable activities.
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage						
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(iv) high degree of authenticity of the preserved elements and details of historic buildings; unspoiled character of visually highly exposed rooftop townscape; valuable archaeological fields	The Český Krumlov Municipality as the site manager of the property is aware of this issue and supports the preservation of the residential function in the historic centre.	As part of the continuous monitoring of the World Heritage site, demographic development on the territory of the property will be further monitored.	continuously	Český Krumlov Municipality South Bohemian Region National Heritage Institute	no comments
3.9	Other human activities						
3.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	(iv) high degree of authenticity of historic buildings	The Český Krumlov Municipality as the site manager of the property is aware of this issue and supports the preservation of the property, so actions see Management Plan.	In cooperation with the city police and with the administration of the castle complex, the incidence of any form of vandalism is continuously monitored.	continuously	Municipal Police - Český Krumlov Municipal Authority	no comments

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.8 Monitoring						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
4.8.4	Implementation of Committee recommendations is planned, but has not yet begun	Replies to the recommendations of the World Heritage Committee formulated in the Decision No. 35 COM 7B.88 are included in the 2012 Report on the State of the Property "Historic Centre of Český Krumlov" (317).	This report provides all information on the approach of the Czech Republic to the issue of the revolving theatre, including the implementation of the schedule for its dismantling.	Ministry of Culture (Czech Republic)	no comment	

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Negative
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

The impact of obtaining the World Heritage status on the quality of life of local residents is mixed. A part of the population, which has focused its business on the developing tourism can benefit from it, nevertheless, the mode of living within the urban heritage reservation adapted to high numbers of visitors means considerable limitations to residents, especially in transport and services.

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Non Governmental Organization
Indigenous peoples
Local community
External experts

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Satisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Satisfactory

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: The statement is under the review of advisory bodies and State Party.

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: The information above in the questionnaire is incorrect. The area of buffer zone is 849.34 ha. This entry is equal to maps indicated boundaries of property. Total area is 901.25 ha. Exact coordinates (longitude / latitude) are 14° 19, 894´ E / 48° 48, 647´ N.

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise