

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Paphos

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

•Cyprus

Type of Property

cultural

Identification Number

79



Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1980

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Aphrodite's Sacred City at Kato Paphos Town, Kato Paphos , District of Paphos , Cyprus	34.758 / 32.406	106.406	?	106.406	1980
Kato Paphos necropolis (Tafon Vasileon), Kato Paphos , District of Paphos , Cyprus	34.775 / 32.407	32.688	?	32.688	1980
Aphrodite's Sanctuary at Kouklia village ("Palaepaphos" or Old Paphos), Kouklia village , Cyprus	34.709 / 32.573	22.923	?	22.923	1980
Total (ha)		162.017	0	162.017	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Kato Pafos	20/01/2006	
Palaepaphos	20/01/2006	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

Comment

Department of Antiquities

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

•Marina Solomidou-Leronymidou
Cyprus
Curator of Antiquities
Monuments Sector

Comment

Correct name: Marina Solomidou-leronymidou Correct title: Curator of Antiquities/ Acting Director

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. [World Heritage Sites of Cyprus](#)

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

The Hague Convention of 1954 and its two Protocols. The site has been granted Enhanced Protection status in 2010, according to the Second Protocol to the Hague Convention.

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Comment

The Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property was adopted by the World Heritage Committee on its 38th Session in Doha, Qatar (38 COM 8E).

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(iii)(vi)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing					
3.1.2	Commercial development					
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
3.2	Transportation Infrastructure					
3.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure					
3.2.3	Marine transport infrastructure					
3.3	Services Infrastructures					
3.3.4	Localised utilities					
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind					
3.7.2	Relative humidity					
3.7.3	Temperature					
3.7.4	Radiation/light					
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)					
3.7.8	Micro-organisms					
3.8	Social/cultural uses of heritage					
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change					
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
3.13	Management and institutional factors					
3.13.2	High impact research / monitoring activities					
3.13.3	Management activities					
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
3.1	Buildings and Development					
3.1.1	Housing	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.1.2	Commercial development	restricted	one off or rare	minor	medium capacity	static
3.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric					
3.7.1	Wind	restricted	on-going	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.2	Relative humidity	localised	on-going	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.3	Temperature	localised	on-going	insignificant	medium capacity	static
3.7.4	Radiation/light	restricted	on-going	insignificant	low capacity	static
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	localised	frequent	significant	high capacity	static
3.7.8	Micro-organisms	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events					
3.10.6	Temperature change	restricted	on-going	significant	medium capacity	increasing

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.11	Sudden ecological or geological events					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The Antiquities Law does not provide for Buffer Zones per se rather than Controlled Areas which provide to the Department of Antiquities strict control concerning any proposed development project.

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

There are no special legislation or administrative arrangements currently in place, but the existing, national protection arrangements (Antiquities Law) are considered highly effective.

Many land plots changed from private ownership to State property. It was proposed during the Cycle I of Periodic Reporting that the Department of Antiquities may expropriate specific plots of land adjacent to the site.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• Question 6.02

N/A

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

No updated management plan since 1999.

No steering group.

The management of the site is under the direct supervision of the Curator of Ancient Monuments and the Director of the Department of Antiquities.

The coordination responsibilities have been added to an existing job, and national authorities are primarily involved with the management of the site. Although the current management system is sufficiently effective, improvements are nonetheless needed.

Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, October 27, 2005

• **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: For the time being the management of the site is under the direct supervision of the Curator of Ancient Monuments and the Director of the Department of Antiquities.


• Question 5.05

Overall management system of the site

Management by the State Party

o Management under protective legislation

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
World Heritage Site of Paphos: Visitors' Centre	N/A	Available	01/01/1999	

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

No management system / plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Industry	Not applicable

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is **little or no contact** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report







A considerable number of land plots in the vicinity of the sites changed from private ownership (Second Schedule Monuments) to state property (First Schedule Monuments) through expropriation for archaeological purposes, thus allowing the gradual creation of an actual Buffer Zone.

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	100%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	0%

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Title	Year	Amount	Link to source
Provision of one expert to assist in preparing a master plan for the safeguarding of Paphos	1981	2726.00	
Expert service (7 months) and scholarship (11 months) in conjunction with the restoration and conservation of Paphos	1981	54000.00	
Purchase of equipment to clean mosaics and murals in Palaepaphos and Neo Paphos	1983	7000.00	
Equipment for the restoration of Paphos monuments	1989	20000.00	
Visitor Centre at Paphos (NOT IMPLEMENTED)	1997	12187.00	
Visitor Centre at Paphos (APPROVED IN 1997 BUT NOT IMPLEMENTED THEN)	1998	12187.00	
Total		108100	

Comment

Please change the title in year 1983 from Neo Paphos to Nea Paphos.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **acceptable** but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

There is need for the construction of shelters for the protection of mosaic floors in Kato Paphos site. The current economic crisis led to reconsidering the existing study. The aim is through cooperation with other governmental departments and international experts to create a less sophisticated solution for sheltering but at the same time will enable the proper protection of the mosaic floors and other sensitive parts of the site.

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Fair
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Medium
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Medium
Education	High
Visitor management	Medium
Conservation	High
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Low
Tourism	Low
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Low

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is in place and **partially implemented**; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally **but most of the technical work is carried out by external staff**

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Concerning 4.4.15 none of the choices is strictly applicable as a capacity development plan or programme is in place and is being implemented gradually. The technical work is carried out by the skilled personnel of the Department of Antiquities and not external staff.

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Karageorghis, V. and Michaelides, D., 2012, Palaepaphos and Nea Paphos, in World Heritage sites in Cyprus, Cyprus national Committee for UNESCO, Nicosia. Flourentzos, P., 2007, 2008, Annual Report of the Department of Antiquities for the year 2005, 2006 and 2007, Nicosia. Hadjicosti, M., 2010,

2013 Annual Report of the Department of Antiquities for the year 2008 and 2009, Nicosia.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

A considerable number of archaeological missions excavate within the UNESCO sites e.g. the University of Cyprus, the University of Zurich, Avignon University, the University of Warsaw, the University of Sydney, the Jagiellonski University of Krakow and the University of Catania. They constantly publish work, which was not thoroughly recorded in 4.5.4. The publications provided were indicative.

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Average
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Adequate
Site museum	Adequate
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Static

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

Visitor statistical data are recorded on a monthly basis for the site. In these data both free entrance visitors as well as the ones paying admission fee are being recorded. Concentrated data are also produced on a yearly basis.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, but it makes **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

4.8. Monitoring

4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, **but this has not been done**

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Excellent
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
3.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
3.7.1	Wind	Criterion (iii) is partly affected.	Conservation work is undertaken on a yearly basis to minimize any effects on mosaic floors and architectural remains. Also a study involving sampling has been conducted in collaboration with Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.	Monitoring is constant as a conservation team specializing on mosaics is based within the site of Kato Paphos and the appropriate infrastructure such as a conservation workshop is set in place.	Continuous.	Department of Antiquities	Factors affecting the archaeological fabric such as this will be dealt with through the construction of appropriate shelters for which a comprehensive study will be prepared.
3.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion (iii) is partly affected.	Conservation work is undertaken on a yearly basis to minimize any effects mainly on the mosaic floors.	Monitoring is constant as a conservation team specializing on mosaics as well as teams of skilled workers are present on a permanent basis both in Site I and Site II. Also a study involving sampling has been conducted in collaboration with Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.	Continuous.	Department of Antiquities	Factors affecting the archaeological fabric such as this will be dealt with through the construction of appropriate shelters for which a comprehensive study will be prepared.
3.7.3	Temperature	Criterion (iii) is partly affected.	Conservation work is undertaken on a yearly basis to minimize any effects temperature may have on mosaic floors. Also a study involving sampling has been conducted in collaboration with Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.	Monitoring is constant as a conservation team specializing on mosaics as well as teams of skilled workers are present on a permanent basis both in Site I and Site II.	Continuous.	Department of Antiquities.	Factors affecting the archaeological fabric such as this will be dealt with through the construction of appropriate shelters for which a comprehensive study will be prepared.
3.7.4	Radiation/light	Criterion (iii) is partly affected.	Conservation work is undertaken on a yearly basis to minimize any effects this factor may have on wall paintings.	Monitoring is constant as conservation teams as well as teams of skilled workers are present on a permanent basis in Site I.	Continuous.	Department of Antiquities	Factors affecting the archaeological fabric such as this will be dealt with through the construction of appropriate shelters for which a comprehensive study will be prepared.
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criterion (iii) is partly affected.	Conservation work is undertaken on a yearly basis to minimize any effects this factor may have on mosaic floors and archaeological remains. A study involving sampling has been conducted in collaboration with Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.	Monitoring is constant as a conservation team specializing on mosaics as well as teams of skilled workers are present on a permanent basis both in Site I and Site II.	Continuous.	Department of Antiquities.	Factors affecting the archaeological fabric such as this will be dealt with through the construction of appropriate shelters for which a comprehensive study will be prepared.
3.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
3.10.6	Temperature change	Criterion (iii) is partly affected.	Conservation work is undertaken on a yearly basis to minimize any effects this factor may have on mosaic floors and archaeological remains. A study involving sampling has been conducted in collaboration with Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.	Monitoring is constant as a conservation team specializing on mosaics as well as teams of skilled workers are present on a permanent basis both in Site I and Site II.	Continuous.	Department of Antiquities	Factors affecting the archaeological fabric such as this will be dealt with through the construction of appropriate shelters for which a comprehensive study will be prepared.

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

4.3 Management System / Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
4.3.4	No management system / plan is currently in place	The need for a Management Plan has been established and funding together with partnerships will be pursued so as to create an appropriate management plan.	Within the next three years.	Department of Antiquities	The creation of a management Plan is considered one of the priorities for the site.
4.3.10	There is little or no contact with industry regarding management	Industrial activity is not present in the areas where Site I and Site II are situated, therefore there is no need for contact with industry.	No timeframe needed.	Department of Antiquities	If the term industry includes tourism, there is excellent cooperation with the Cyprus Tourism Organisation, the Cyprus Tourist Guides Association and the municipal authorities for the promotion of the site.
4.7 Visitor Management					
4.7.6	Fees collected makes no contribution to the management of the property	No action can be undertaken as all revenues borne by governmental departments constitutionally go directly into the general governmental fund. Management of the site is possible only through the annual approved budget which concerns all monuments.	No timeframe	Government of The Republic of Cyprus.	In order for this issue to be resolved the constitution of the country has to change.
4.8 Monitoring					
4.8.2	Key indicators have not been defined	No written key indicators have been recorded concerning the State of Conservation. This is however continuously monitored and specific work is undertaken.	Work is undertaken on a yearly basis to ensure the state of conservation of the property.	Department of Antiquities	Conservation work carried out on the site is confined to consolidation of the construction materials to ensure the structural safety of the remains and the condition of the mosaic floors without interfering with the integrity of the property.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property has been **compromised** by factors described in this report

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

In the multiple choice questions in some cases the answers to be chosen do not directly reflect the situation applicable for each site. More choices should be provided or more flexibility to provide an appropriate answer.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Very good

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most of the required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Satisfactory
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Reason for update: The Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property was adopted by the World Heritage Committee on its 38th Session in Doha, Qatar (38 COM 8E).

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise