

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

The Cathedral of St James in Šibenik

#### 1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

##### State(s) Party(ies)

- Croatia

##### Type of Property

cultural

##### Identification Number

963


##### Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

2000

#### 1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
The Cathedral of St James in Šibenik	43.736 / 15.89	0.1	15	15.1	2000
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>0.1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15.1</b>	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The Cathedral of St. James in Šibenik - map of inscribed property	02/12/2000	

#### 1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

- Ina Gregurić  
Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Croatia  
Secretary of the Minister

##### Comment

Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Croatia Vesna Vlašić,  
Secretary of the Minister Runjaninova 2 10 000 Zagreb  
Croatia Telephone: + 385 1 4866-308, + 385 1 4866-309 Fax:  
+ 385 1 4816-755 E-mail: vesna.vlasic@min-kulture.hr

#### 1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

- Marko Menđušić  
Conservation Department in Šibenik  
Head of Department

##### Comment

Conservation Department in Šibenik Živana Stošić Head of  
Department J. Čulinovića 1/3 22000 Šibenik Croatia  
Telephone: +38522219325 Fax: +38522331970 Email:  
zivana.stosic@min-kulture.hr

## Section II-The Cathedral of St James in Šibenik

### 1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

### 1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

## 2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

#### Comment

draft Statement of OUV sent to the Secretariat should be attached

### 2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(i)(ii)(iv)

### 2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

### 2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

### 2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 3. Factors Affecting the Property

### 3.14. Other factor(s)

#### 3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>		
3.4.4	Air pollution		
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>		
3.7.1	Wind		
3.7.2	Relative humidity		
3.7.5	Dust		
3.7.6	Water (rain/water table)		
3.7.8	Micro-organisms		
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>		
3.8.1	Ritual / spiritual / religious and associative uses		
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>		
3.10.1	Storms		
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>		
3.11.2	Earthquake		
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>		
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities		
3.13.3	Management activities		
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative
		Positive	Inside
			Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
3.4.4	<b>Air pollution</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	insignificant	high capacity	decreasing
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
3.7.1	<b>Wind</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.2	<b>Relative humidity</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.6	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static
3.7.8	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	restricted	intermittent or sporadic	minor	high capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is a buffer zone

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known** by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 4.2. Protective Measures

#### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

The Cathedral of St James, which is the property of the Diocese of Šibenik, has been classified as a listed monument since the promulgation of Decree No 35/93-1963 by the Dalmatian Institute for Conservation on 14 May 1963. Like the historic centre of Šibenik itself, it is subject to the provisions of several acts of parliament and regulations governing its protection. Certain of these have now been replaced, however, by the new law on the protection and conservation of heritage buildings passed by the Republic of Croatia on 18 June 1999, which includes new measures for the financing of 186 restoration work and defining the responsibility of owners of heritage buildings.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, November 3, 2005

#### • Question 6.02

The Cathedral of St. James, which is the property of the Diocese of Šibenik, has been classified as a listed monument since the promulgation of Decree No 35/93-1963. Like the historic centre of Šibenik itself, it is subject to the provisions of several acts of parliament and regulations governing its protection.

#### Comment

#### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

#### 4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

#### 4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

### 4.3. Management System / Management Plan

#### 4.3.1 - Management System

The property nominated for inscription on the World Heritage List is managed by the Ministry of Culture (Bureau of Heritage Protection) and the Office of Works of the Diocese of Šibenik. Measures for the protection of the Cathedral of St James are implemented by the Šibenik Department of Conservation, the local representative of the Ministry of Culture. The Department is responsible for evaluating and approving projected interventions on the monument. It works in co-ordination with the Croatian Institute of Restoration (Zagreb), a body attached to the Ministry of Culture, which is currently carrying out a series of tests and studies of petrography and micro-climate.

#### Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006) Section 2

Source: [Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 \(2001-2006\)](#)

Submitted on Thursday, November 3, 2005

- **Question 5.04** Plans in place to set up a "steering group: Constitutive meeting to set up a "steering group" held in September 2005.
- **Question 5.05** Overall management system of the site
  - Management under protective legislation
  - Management under traditional protective measures or customary law

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work / action plan exists and **most or all activities** are being implemented and monitored

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Not applicable

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities have **some input** into discussions relating to management but no direct role in management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

**No indigenous peoples** are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area**

**surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	85%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	1%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	9%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	5%

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding **are secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

## Periodic Report - Second Cycle

### 4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	70%
Part-time	30%

### 4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	90%
Seasonal	10%

### 4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

### 4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

### 4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Poor
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Poor
Conservation	Good
Administration	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

### 4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Medium
Promotion	Low
Community outreach	Medium
Interpretation	Low
Education	Low
Visitor management	Low
Conservation	High
Administration	Low
Risk preparedness	Medium
Tourism	Medium
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium

### 4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

## Section II-The Cathedral of St James in Šibenik

### 4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

## 4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

### 4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable** research but it is **not directed** towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared widely** with the local, national and international audiences

### 4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Miroslav Škugor (Directorate for the Protection of Cultural Heritage, Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Croatia, Croatia) THE CATHEDRAL OF ST. JAMES – DIAGNOSING THE DAMAGE ON STONE SCULPTURES, 2014 POST-WAR RESTORATION OF THE CATHEDRAL OF ST. JAMES, 2014 Predrag Marković: THE CATHEDRAL OF ST JAMES IN ŠIBENIK, 2010

### 4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Not applicable
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Average
Local businesses and industries	Poor



**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme but it only **partly meets the needs** and could be improved

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted **but improvements could be made**

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Adequate
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Not needed
Information materials	Poor
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**4.7. Visitor Management**

**4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years**

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Minor Increase
Three years ago	Minor Increase
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Major Increase (100%+)

**4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Visitor surveys

**4.7.3 - Visitor management documents**

**4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?**

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

**4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property**

**4.8. Monitoring**

**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

**4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups**

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Excellent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Poor
Researchers	Excellent
NGOs	Poor
Industry	Not applicable
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

**4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

**4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee**

**4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring**

**4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Pollution</b>					
<b>3.4.4</b>	<b>Air pollution</b>	i	periodical cleaning and treating every 7 years is needed	management body, monitoring equipment is in place	continuous implementation has to be provided	Croatian Restoration Institute implementation is in course air pollution is significantly decreased as a result of major industry termination
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>					
<b>3.7.1</b>	<b>Wind</b>	i	periodical cleaning and treating every 7 years is needed	management body, monitoring equipment is in place	continuous implementation has to be provided	Croatian Restoration Institute implementation is in course
<b>3.7.2</b>	<b>Relative humidity</b>	i	Study for microclimate conditions and solutions is prepared.	management body, monitoring equipment is in place	continuous implementation has to be provided	Croatian Restoration Institute implementation of the microclimate consolidation is in course
<b>3.7.6</b>	<b>Water (rain/water table)</b>	i	consolidation concept is drafted and the project is to be drawn up. consolidation works according to the project documentation is to be realized in phases	management body	10 years if all necessary preconditions are fulfilled	specialized and licenced contractors phased realization allow partial parallel course of project documentation and consolidation works
<b>3.7.8</b>	<b>Micro-organisms</b>	i	periodical cleaning and treating every 7 years is needed	management body	every 7 years	Croatian Restoration Institute Agreement for this activity is arranged between owner of the property (diocese) and the Croatian Restoration Institute

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

continuous scientific, monitoring and maintenance activities are undertaken to improve the state of conservation of the property

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	No impact

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Very good
State Party Representative	Very good
Advisory Body	Good

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

All required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Excellent
State Party	Excellent
Site Managers	Excellent
Advisory Bodies	Excellent

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

Reason for update: draft Statement of OUV sent to the Secretariat should be attached

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**