

**1. World Heritage Property Data**

**1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property**

Old Town Lunenburg

**1.2 - World Heritage Property Details**

**State(s) Party(ies)**

- Canada

**Type of Property**

cultural

**Identification Number**

741

**Year of inscription on the World Heritage List**

1995

**1.3 - Geographic Information Table**

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Old Town Lunenburg	44.376 / -64.309	33.47	0	33.47	1995
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>33.47</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33.47</b>	

**Comment**

Canada will further address mapping during the Retrospective Inventory project that will be carried out in 2013.

**1.4 - Map(s)**

Title	Date	Link to source
Old Town Lunenburg - Map of the inscribed property	01/10/1994	

**Comment**

Canada will further address mapping during the Retrospective Inventory project that will be carried out in 2013.

**1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property**

- Rebecca Kennedy  
International Programs, Parks Canada  
Program Specialist

**Comment**

Please replace with: Vice President, Heritage Conservation and Commemoration Directorate Parks Canada Agency 25, rue Eddy, étage/floor 5 K1A 0M5 Gatineau Canada Telephone: +1(819) 994-1808 Fax: +1 (819) 934-1115

**1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency**

- Peter Haughn  
Town of Lunenburg  
Deputy Town Manager/Clerk

**1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)**

1. [Patrimonium-mundi.org](http://Patrimonium-mundi.org) : visit this site in [panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images](#)
2. [View photos from OUR PLACE the World Heritage collection](#)
3. [Old Town Lunenburg \(Parks Canada\)](#)
4. [World Heritage in Canada \(Parks Canada\)](#)
5. [Report on the State of Conservation \(Parks Canada\)](#)

6. [Town of Lunenburg](#)

**Comment**

REMOVE: 1. Patrimonium-mundi 2. OUR PLACE 5. Report on State of Conservation ADD: <http://www.google.com/intl/en/culturalinstitute/worldwonders/lunenburg/#tab=details&ql=4>

**1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)**

**Comment**

**2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance**

**Statement of Significance**

Old Town Lunenburg is the best surviving example of a planned British colonial settlement in North America. Established in 1753, it has retained its original layout and overall appearance, based on a rectangular grid pattern drawn up in the home country. The inhabitants have safeguarded the town's identity throughout the centuries by preserving the wooden architecture of the houses and public buildings, some of which date from the 18th century and which constitute an excellent example of a sustained vernacular architectural tradition. Its economic basis has traditionally been the offshore Atlantic fishery, the future of which is highly questionable at the present time.

**Criteria**

(iv) Old Town Lunenburg is a well preserved example of 18th century British colonial urban planning, which has undergone no significant changes since its foundation and which largely continues to fulfil the economic and social purposes for which it was designed. Of special importance is its diversified and well-preserved vernacular architectural tradition, which spans over 250 years.

(v) It is an excellent example of an urban community and culture designed for and based on the offshore Atlantic fishery which is undergoing irreversible change and is evolving in a form that cannot yet be fully defined.

**2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed**

(iv)(v)

**2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion**

(iv) Intact town plan of geometrically regular streets and blocks; surviving allocation for public spaces and fortifications; surviving distinction between urban and non-urban areas; residential and commercial buildings are built close together, and oriented and situated close to the street. (v) High level preservation of town's wood houses and public buildings, some of which date to the 18th Century; sustained vernacular building tradition evident in old and new construction.

**2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised**

**2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

Canada is currently finalizing Retrospective Statements of Outstanding Universal Value for 13 of its World Heritage sites. Pending Committee approval, these will be used for the next Periodic Reporting cycle.

### **3. Factors Affecting the Property**

#### **3.14. Other factor(s)**

##### **3.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

	Name	Impact	Origin			
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Buildings and Development</b>					
3.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities					
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>					
3.3.4	Localised utilities					
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
3.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage					
3.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system					
3.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
3.8.6	Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation					
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>					
3.10.1	Storms					
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>					
3.11.6	Fire (wildfires)					
<b>3.13</b>	<b>Management and institutional factors</b>					
3.13.1	Low impact research / monitoring activities					
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

	Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend	
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.2</b>	<b>Society's valuing of heritage</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
<b>3.8.4</b>	<b>Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	significant	low capacity	increasing
<b>3.8.5</b>	<b>Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community</b>	extensive	frequent	significant	low capacity	increasing
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	localised	intermittent or sporadic	minor	medium capacity	static

### 3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

#### 3.17.1 - Comments

Generally, the population is aging and no longer exclusively a fisheries-based economy, while traditional knowledge of vernacular construction is eroding across Nova Scotia. Recently, however, individuals from across North America, Europe, and elsewhere have moved to --and invested in-- the community. Impact from environmental events are rare, but as coastal community with primarily wood architecture, the risk of damage is high.

## 4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

### 4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

**There is a buffer zone**

#### 4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities / landowners.**

#### 4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but **are not known by local residents / communities/landowners.**

#### 4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Public education is required to ensure all residents understand the WHS and Heritage Conservation District (buffer zone) boundaries. This information is available but not widely disseminated or understood. The Outstanding Universal Value of Lunenburg and the reasons for its designation are also not widely understood by most residents.

## 4.2. Protective Measures

### 4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Old Town Lunenburg is a nationally-designated historic site which is entirely privately owned by individual owners, with no plan for public acquisition of privately-owned property. There is no federally-owned property in Old Town Lunenburg, and only one provincially-owned and operated Museum, the Fisheries Museum of the Atlantic.

The 404 buildings in the Old Town are in multiple (private and public) ownership. Parks, streets, and public buildings are publicly owned and accessible to visitors.

At federal level, the Old Town is designed a National Historical District; the formal Lunenburg Academy (101 Kaulback Street) is designed of national architectural significance as an outstanding example of late 19<sup>th</sup> century school architecture.

Under the enabling legislation of the Heritage property Act of Nova Scotia 1980, the town of Lunenburg enacted a heritage Property Byelaw (no. 43) in February 1981 to establish a Heritage Advisory Committee and a register of Municipal Heritage Properties.

A variety of legislation is involved in the protection and management of the cultural and natural resources of Old Town Lunenburg. There are two key pieces of Provincial enabling legislation: the Municipal Government Act and the Heritage Property Act. The Municipal Government Act has enabled Council to adopt a Municipal Planning Strategy, Land Use By-law and Subdivision By-law, through which the location of uses, division of land and in identified areas, the appearance of structures, is regulated. The Heritage Property Act has enabled Council to adopt a Heritage By-law, through which a Heritage Advisory Committee was established, and which regulates the appearance of properties which were individually municipally designated prior to the adoption of the HCDP, By-law and Guidelines in 2000. The Heritage Property Act has also enabled the adoption of the HCDP, By-law and Guidelines, through which Council controls the demolition and appearance of all structures within Old Town Lunenburg; this acts as a separate layer of regulation on municipally designated properties in Old Town Lunenburg, and regulates municipally designated properties outside of Old Town Lunenburg.

#### Comment

2nd para- recently counted 419 bldgs - this will be addressed by the Retrospective Inventory project 3rd para-remove 'formal' before 'Lunenburg Academy' also: St. John's Anglican & Knaut/Rhuland House are Nat. Hist. Sites. There are also 38 provincially recognized heritage properties within the UNESCO boundaries. 4th para should read: 'Under...of the Nova Scotia Heritage Property Act 1989, as amended by 1991, the Town of Lunenburg enacted a Heritage Conservation District Bylaw in 2001.

### 4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

### 4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining

**the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An adequate legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

**4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?**

An **adequate** legal framework exists for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but **there are some deficiencies in its implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the property

**4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies remain

**4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures**

In November 2012 the Town of Lunenburg created a two-year Heritage Manager contract position to review, administer and enforce the Heritage Conservation District Bylaw.

**4.3. Management System / Management Plan**

**4.3.1 - Management System**

The responsible agency is the Municipality of the Town of Lunenburg, backed by the Department of Canadian Heritage (technical advice on request) and Public Works and Government Services Canada (federally owned buildings).

The Town of Lunenburg's Municipal Planning Strategy and Land use Bylaw of October 1985 (revised on a regular basis) directs development within the town. The Heritage property Bylaw adopted by the Town Council in 1981 gives it authority to designate buildings and areas and to control any subsequent alterations to them.

Individual property owners are responsible for the preservation and maintenance of their properties. The Town of Lunenburg has produced a Heritage Advisory Information Kit to advise owners on appropriate conservation techniques. Heritage Advisory Committee members and town staff also provide advice on request to interested parties. The provincial Government offers a comprehensive series of grants for all designated Heritage Properties and a series of other programmes aimed at encouraging good restoration and maintenance.

The property of the Old Town is surrounded by a natural buffer zone in the form of water to the north and south and very steep slopes on the other sides, upon which development is impractical. Any potential development in these areas is further controlled by the Municipal Development Plan and Land use Bylaw, which lays down stringent conditions relating to the maintenance of traditional rural land uses.

There is a management plan in place for the site. The World Heritage Community Strategy (R. Graham, 1998). The Graham Report's aim was to analyze the impact of World Heritage designation on the Town and residents; set a general policy for tourism management; and develop a strategy for managing the community as a World Heritage Site (WHS) over the next 10-

15 years. The Report serves as a base for long-term heritage planning and goal setting for the community, including recommendations relating to the Heritage Conservation Plan and By-laws, and the need for infrastructure upgrades (harbour clean-up, public washrooms, etc.).

**Comment**

1st para-should be 'backed by Parks Canada' 2nd p., replace 2nd sentence-'The Heritage Conservation District Plan and Bylaw with Design Guidelines ensures new development is in keeping with the historic character and materials of the Town.' 3rd p.-remove 'Heritage Advisory Information Kit.' Only town staff provide advice on request. 4th p.-The Old Town is surrounded by the larger Heritage Conservation District, which acts as a buffer zone...' 5th p.-Mngmt plan req. update/approval

**4.3.2 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

Documentss: Lunenburg World Heritage Community Strategy, Roy Graham and Associates, October 1998 Lunenburg Old Town Heritage Conservation District Plan and Bylaw with Design Guidelines, April 2001 Municipal Planning Strategy and Land Use Bylaw, June 1996

**4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?**

There is a range of administrative bodies / levels involved in management but there is **little or no coordination** between them for managing different aspects of the property

**4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?**

The management system/plan is only **partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **only partially** being implemented

**4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?**

No annual work / action plan exists **despite an identified need**

**4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following**

Local communities / residents	Fair
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Industry	Fair

**4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

**4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?**

No indigenous peoples are resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone

**4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?**

There is contact but only some cooperation with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

**4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

A new management plan to replace the 1998 Graham Report is required, as a plan proposed in 2010 was discussed by but not accepted by Council. The Heritage Manager will be producing new brochures and information sessions to educate residents on the World Heritage designation and protection responsibilities.

**4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report**

**4.4. Financial and Human Resources**

**4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)**

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	0%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	100%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0%
Other grants	

**4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)**

**Comment**

Not applicable.

**4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

Existing sources of funding are not secure

**4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?**

There is some flow of economic benefits to local communities

**4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?**

There are some adequate equipment and facilities, but deficiencies in at least one key area constrain management at the World Heritage property

**4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?**

There is basic maintenance of equipment and facilities

**4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

The federal government has not made any investment, nor supported annual financial assistance, in the Lunenburg World Heritage property. The Province of Nova Scotia supports the Waterfront Development Corporation, but this is limited to only the waterfront portion of the World Heritage property. Municipal investments have been primarily reactive, based on limited funds.

**4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Full-time	0%
Part-time	100%

**4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Permanent	100%
Seasonal	0%

**4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)**

Paid	100%
Volunteer	0%

**4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

**4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Poor
Promotion	Non-existent
Community outreach	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Education	Fair
Visitor management	Non-existent
Conservation	Fair
Administration	Fair
Risk preparedness	Non-existent
Tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Research and monitoring	Not available
Promotion	Not available
Community outreach	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Education	Not available
Visitor management	Not available
Conservation	Not available
Administration	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

**4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?**

**No capacity** development plan or programme is in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

**4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

Apart from the Town of Lunenburg's regular operations, the Town's Heritage Manager is the only staff member dedicated specifically to World Heritage Site management. Support from Parks Canada is one individual at the regional office level, and one individual at the International Programs office. There is currently no research program being conducted on the site.

**4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the World Heritage property is **not sufficient**

**4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is not planned

**4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local partners** but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

**4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report**

Burc Kayahan, Cost Benefit Analysis of World Heritage Site Designation in Nova Scotia: Lunenburg and Grand Pre [http://economics.acadiau.ca/tl\\_files/sites/economics/resources/ACEA/Papers%20and%20Procedings/2010/B.Kayahan.2010.pdf](http://economics.acadiau.ca/tl_files/sites/economics/resources/ACEA/Papers%20and%20Procedings/2010/B.Kayahan.2010.pdf)

**4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **many locations and easily visible** to visitors

**4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Poor
Visitors	Average
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

**4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is a **limited and ad hoc** education and awareness programme

**4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?**

World Heritage status has influenced education, information and awareness building activities, **but it could be improved**

**4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately** presented and interpreted

**4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property**

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Adequate
Trails / routes	Adequate
Information materials	Not provided but needed
Transportation facilities	Adequate
Other	Not needed

**4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

The absence of a visitor centre is a challenge for education and awareness building, and lack of federal funding limits opportunities for research and publication.

### 4.7. Visitor Management

#### 4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Decreasing
Two years ago	Decreasing
Three years ago	Decreasing
Four years ago	Decreasing
Five years ago	Decreasing

#### 4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

#### 4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

##### Comment

Visitor management documents have not been prepared.

#### 4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed** despite an identified need

#### 4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**No fees are collected**

#### 4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

How visitors use, understand, or value the World Heritage property is not understood or researched.

### 4.8. Monitoring

#### 4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **no monitoring** taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

#### 4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

There is **little or no information available** on the values of the World Heritage property to define key indicators

#### 4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Non-existent
Local / Municipal authorities	Average
Local communities	Non-existent
Researchers	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Industry	Non-existent
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

#### 4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

**No relevant** Committee recommendations to implement

#### 4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

#### 4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

Criteria or a strategy for monitoring has not been developed.

### 4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)

Please refer to question 5.2



## 5. Summary and Conclusions

### 5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Social/cultural uses of heritage</b>					
<b>3.8.2</b>	<b>Society's valuing of heritage</b>	(iv),(v)	1. Develop guidance documents, brochures, presentations, and web pages for Lunenburg residents and businesses. 2. Develop management plan that will be accepted/approved by Town Council 3. Regularly review management plan.	Monitoring plan will be developed after implementation of management plan.	Educational pieces to be developed over next two years, management plan to be in place in next 2-4 years.	Town of Lunenburg No comments
<b>3.8.4</b>	<b>Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system</b>	(iv)(v)	1. Develop guidance documents, brochures, presentations, and web pages for Lunenburg residents and businesses. 2. Develop management plan that will be accepted/approved by Town Council 3. Regularly review management plan.	Monitoring plan will be developed after implementation of management plan.	Educational pieces to be developed over next two years, management plan to be in place in next 2-4 years.	Town of Lunenburg No comments
<b>3.8.5</b>	<b>Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community</b>	(iv)(v)	1. Develop guidance documents, brochures, presentations, and web pages for Lunenburg residents and businesses. 2. Develop management plan that will be accepted/approved by Town Council 3. Regularly review management plan.	Monitoring plan will be developed after implementation of management plan.	Educational pieces to be developed over next two years, management plan to be in place in next 2-4 years.	Town of Lunenburg No comments
<b>3.8.6</b>	<b>Impacts of tourism / visitor / recreation</b>	(iv)(v)	1. Develop guidance documents, brochures, presentations, and web pages for Lunenburg residents, businesses, and tourists. 2. Develop management plan that will be accepted/approved by Town Council 3. Regularly review management plan.	Monitoring plan will be developed after implementation of management plan.	Educational pieces to be developed over next two years, management plan to be in place in next 2-4 years.	Town of Lunenburg No comments

### 5.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

<b>4.3 Management System / Management Plan</b>						
	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
<b>4.3.6</b>	<b>No annual work / action plan exists</b>	1. Develop guidance documents, brochures, presentations, and web pages for Lunenburg residents and businesses. 2. Develop management plan that will be accepted/approved by Town Council 3. Regularly review management plan.	Educational pieces to be developed over next two years, management plan to be in place in next 2-4 years.	Town of Lunenburg n/a		
<b>4.6 Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>						
<b>4.6.3</b>	<b>There is a limited education and awareness programme</b>	1. Develop guidance documents, brochures, presentations, and web pages for Lunenburg residents and businesses. 2. Develop management plan that will be accepted/approved by Town Council 3. Regularly review management plan.	Educational pieces to be developed over next two years, management plan to be in place in next 2-4 years.	Town of Lunenburg n/a		

4.6.5	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Explore feasibility of creating UNESCO WHS Interpretive Centre	Educational pieces to be developed over next two years, management plan to be in place in next 2-4 years.	Town of Lunenburg	n/a
-------	---	--	---	-------------------	-----

**5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity**

The authenticity of the World Heritage property has been **preserved**

**5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity**

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

**5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value**

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

**5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values**

Other important cultural and / or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

**5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property**

**5.4.1 - Comments**

The World Heritage property integrity is intact, but under threat. The absence of a visitor centre results in a lack of understanding and education about the reasons for designation. All funding for the property comes from the municipal government, which faces increasing financial pressure. The property has great unmet potential for UNESCO messaging, and attracts over 400,000 visitors per year

**6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas**

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Not applicable
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Negative
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

**6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status**

**6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report**

Governmental institution responsible for the property

Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

**6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?**

yes

**6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

**6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?**

**Most** of the required information was accessible

**6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following**

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

**6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Unsatisfactory
Site Managers	Satisfactory
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

**6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

• **Geographic Information Table**

Reason for update: Canada will further address mapping during the Retrospective Inventory project that will be carried out in 2013.

• **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Canada will further address mapping during the Retrospective Inventory project that will be carried out in 2013.

**6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise**