

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage Property

Gros Morne National Park

1.2 - World Heritage Property Details

State(s) Party(ies)

• Canada

Type of Property

natural

Identification Number

419


Year of inscription on the World Heritage List

1987

1.3 - Geographic Information Table

Name	Coordinates (latitude/longitude)	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Gros Morne National Park	49.613 / -57.531	180500	0	180500	1987
Total (ha)		180500	0	180500	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Gros Morne National Park - Map of the inscribed property	16/12/1986	

1.5 - Governmental Institution Responsible for the Property

• Rebecca Kennedy
International Programs, Parks Canada
Program Specialist

Comment

Please replace with: Vice President, Heritage Conservation and Commemoration Directorate Parks Canada Agency 25, rue Eddy, étage/floor 5 K1A 0M5 Gatineau Canada
Telephone: +1(819) 994-1808 Fax: +1 (819) 934-1115

1.6 - Property Manager / Coordinator, Local Institution / Agency

• Jane Brewer
Parks Canada
Communications Officer
Western Newfoundland and Labrador Field Unit

Comment

Parks Canada Field Unit Superintendent Western Newfoundland and Labrador Field Unit P.O. Box 298 Rocky Harbour, NL Canada A0K 4N0 Telephone: +1 (0) 709 458 2417 Fax: +1 (0) 709 458 2059 Email: grosmorne.info@pc.gc.ca

1.7 - Web Address of the Property (if existing)

1. Patrimonium-mundi.org : visit this site in panophotographies - immersive and interactive spherical images
2. [World Heritage in Canada \(Parks Canada\)](#)
3. [Gros Morne National Park \(Parks Canada\)](#)
4. [Report on the State of Conservation \(Parks Canada\)](#)

5. [Natural site datasheet from WCMC](#)

Comment

REMOVE: 1. Patrimonium-mundi 4. Report on State of Conservation

1.8 - Other designations / Conventions under which the property is protected (if applicable)

Comment

n/a

2. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

2.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value / Statement of Significance

Statement of Significance

Gros Morne National Park illustrates some of the world's best examples of the process of plate tectonics. Within a relatively small area are classic, textbook examples of monumental earth-building and modifying forces that are unique in terms of their clarity, expression, and ease of access. The property presents the complete portrayal of the geological events that took place when the ancient continental margin of North America was modified by plate movement by emplacement of a large, relocated portion of oceanic crust and ocean floor sediments. The park also presents an outstanding demonstration of glaciation in an island setting. The fjords, waterfalls and geological structures of the park combine to produce a landscape of high scenic value.

Criteria

(vii) Gros Morne National Park, an outstanding wilderness environment of spectacular landlocked, freshwater fjords and glacier-scoured headlands in an ocean setting, is an area of exceptional natural beauty.
(viii) The rocks of Gros Morne National Park collectively present an internationally significant illustration of the process of continental drift along the eastern coast of North America and contribute greatly to the body of knowledge and understanding of plate tectonics and the geological evolution of ancient mountain belts. In glacier-scoured highlands and spectacular fjords, glaciation has made visible the park's many geological features.

2.2 - The criteria (2005 revised version) under which the property was inscribed

(vii)(viii)

2.3 - Attributes expressing the Outstanding Universal Value per criterion

vii - spectacular landlocked, freshwater fjords and glacier-scoured headlands in an ocean setting
viii -. Ancient continental crust made up of intensely metamorphosed granite and gneisses Continental shelf with tropical carbonate sediments, with many fossils Continental slope of thick sequences of shales interbedded with limestone conglomerates, also with many fossils; Complete cross section of oceanic lithosphere with exposures of mantle material Sequences of volcanic rocks of oceanic origin

2.4 - If needed, please provide details of why the Statement of Outstanding Universal Value should be revised

See 2.5 below

2.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Canada is currently finalizing Retrospective Statements of Outstanding Universal Value for 13 of its Word Heritage sites. Pending Committee approval, these will be used for the next Periodic Reporting cycle.
















3. Factors Affecting the Property

3.14. Other factor(s)

3.14.1 - Other factor(s)

3.15. Factors Summary Table

3.15.1 - Factors summary table

		Name				Impact			Origin				
3.3		Services Infrastructures											
3.3.5		Major linear utilities											
3.5		Biological resource use/modification											
3.5.10		Forestry /wood production											
3.6		Physical resource extraction											
3.6.3		Oil and gas											
Legend		 Current		 Potential		 Negative		 Positive		 Inside		 Outside	

3.16. Assessment of current negative factors

3.16.1 - Assessment of current negative factors

		Spatial scale	Temporal scale	Impact	Management response	Trend
3.5	Biological resource use/modification					
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	localised	on-going	minor	high capacity	static

3.17. Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to factors affecting the property

3.17.1 - Comments

no comment

4. Protection, Management and Monitoring of the Property

4.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

4.1.1 - Buffer zone status

There is **no buffer zone**, and it is not needed

4.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known?

The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by both the management authority and local residents / communities / landowners.

4.1.5 - Are the buffer zones of the World Heritage property known?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of its inscription on the World Heritage List

4.1.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

no comment

4.2. Protective Measures

4.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and / or traditional)

Established under a Federal/Provincial Agreement signed by the Governments of Canada and Newfoundland and Labrador on 13 August 1973. The same authorities amended this agreement on 18 May 1983, whereby approximately 9,300ha were returned to the province. Clear title to all lands was acquired in 1984 by the provincial government and has subsequently been transferred to the federal government, under the administrative responsibility of Environment Canada, Parks.

Clear title to all lands was acquired by 27 December 1984 by the Provincial government, and has subsequently been transferred to the Federal government, under the administrative responsibility of Environment Canada, Parks (Parks Canada, 1986).

Although the park is not at present **formally** established under the National Parks Act, legal protection is given by a number of Federal and Provincial statutes including the federal Forestry Development and Research Act, the Fisheries Act, the Migratory Birds Convention Act and the provincial Newfoundland Wildlife Act.

Comment

The last paragraph should be replaced with the following: The park was formally established under the Canada National Parks Act on October 1st 2005. The Canada National Parks Act provides legal protection for the park's Outstanding Universal Values.

4.2.2 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection

4.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The property had **no buffer zone** at the time of inscription on the World Heritage List

4.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) adequate in the area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and / or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the area surrounding the World Heritage property and the buffer zone provides **an adequate or better basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and / or Integrity

4.2.5 - Can the legislative framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulation) be enforced?

There is **excellent** capacity / resources to enforce legislation and / or regulation in the World Heritage property

4.2.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to protective measures

no comment

4.3. Management System / Management Plan

4.3.1 - Management System

Park management plans are required for all national parks under the Canada National Parks Act. A management plan was approved in 1984. The plan outlines the management of the park with respect to zoning, natural and cultural resource conservation, interpretation, visitor use and services, partnerships, administration and operations. The overall orientation of this initial management plan was to provide guidance and direction during the period when basic park infrastructure, management policies and operational techniques were being formulated and established. The plan provides for resource protection and management and user


Periodic Report - Second Cycle

opportunities in each of the four zones within the park. This includes eight Zone 1 areas which have been identified as unique, rare or best examples of representative features present in Gros Morne National Park. The plan also outlines management objectives of traditional resource harvesting activities. The plan is the collective result of contributions made by residents within the Park, members of the general public, interested organizations, concerned governmental agencies and Parks Canada staff. The contributions of these people will ensure that Gros Morne National Park will serve the interests of local people and of all Canadians in the future. The park is to develop a new management plan in the next 2-3 years which will outline management strategies to ensure maintenance of ecological integrity and provide high quality visitor experiences.

Comment

A new Park Management Plan was approved in October 2009. The first sentence above needs to reflect this. The last paragraph should be changed to say that the Management Plan will be reviewed every 5 years and updated every 10 years to ensure that the management strategies in the plan continue to protect the Park's OUVs and ecological integrity while providing outstanding, high quality visitor experiences.

4.3.2 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Gros Morne National Park Management Plan	N/A	Available	01/01/1984	

Comment

A new Management Plan was approved in October 2009. A copy will be submitted with this report.

4.3.3 - How well do the various levels of administration (i.e. national / federal; regional / provincial / state; local / municipal etc.) coordinate in the management of the World Heritage Property ?

There is **excellent coordination** between all bodies / levels involved in the management of the property

4.3.4 - Is the management system / plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value ?

The management system / plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

4.3.5 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully** implemented and monitored

4.3.6 - Is there an annual work / action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work / action plan exists and **many activities** are being implemented

4.3.7 - Please rate the cooperation / relationship with World Heritage property managers / coordinators / staff of the following

Local communities / residents	Good
Local / Municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Visitors	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good

Section II-Gros Morne National Park

Industry	Fair
----------	------

4.3.8 - If present, do local communities resident in or near the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Local communities **directly contribute** to some decisions relating to management

4.3.9 - If present, do indigenous peoples resident in or regularly using the World Heritage property and / or buffer zone have input in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value?

Indigenous peoples directly contribute to **some decisions** relating to management but their involvement could be improved

4.3.10 - Is there cooperation with industry (i.e. forestry, mining, agriculture, etc.) regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone?

There is contact but only **some cooperation** with industry regarding the management of the World Heritage property, buffer zone and / or area surrounding the World Heritage property and buffer zone

4.3.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

no comment

4.3.12 - Please report any significant changes in the legal status and / or contractual / traditional protective measures and management arrangements for the World Heritage property since inscription or the last Periodic report

There have been a number of changes: Amendments to the Canada National Parks Act in 2005 and the subsequent passage of the Gros Morne National Park Domestic Timber Harvest Regulations and the Gros Morne National Park Snowshoe Hare Regulations. There have also been amendments to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act that enhance protection of Heritage site resources. In 2009 a new Management Plan was approved

4.4. Financial and Human Resources

4.4.1 - Costs related to conservation, based on the average of last five years (relative percentage of the funding sources)

Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc)	0%
International donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Governmental (National / Federal)	85.8%
Governmental (Regional / Provincial / State)	0%
Governmental (Local / Municipal)	0%
In country donations (NGO's, foundations, etc)	0%
Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, parking, camping fees, etc.)	8%
Commercial operator payments (e.g. filming permit, concessions, etc.)	6.2%
Other grants	0%

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.4.2 - International Assistance received from the World Heritage Fund (USD)

Comment

Not applicable.

4.4.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **sufficient** but further funding would enable more effective management to international best practice standard

4.4.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure** in the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding in the long-term

4.4.5 - Does the World Heritage property provide economic benefits to local communities (e.g. income, employment)?

There is a **major flow** of economic benefits to local communities from activities in and around the World Heritage property

4.4.6 - Are available resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure sufficient to meet management needs?

There are **adequate** equipment and facilities

4.4.7 - Are resources such as equipment, facilities and infrastructure adequately maintained?

Equipment and facilities are **well maintained**

4.4.8 - Comments, conclusion, and / or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

4.4.9 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Full-time	100%
Part-time	0%

4.4.10 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Permanent	23%
Seasonal	77%

4.4.11 - Distribution of employees involved in managing the World Heritage property (% of total)

Paid	76%
Volunteer	24%

4.4.12 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

4.4.13 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	Good
Promotion	Good
Community outreach	Good

Section II-Gros Morne National Park

Interpretation	Good
Education	Good
Visitor management	Good
Conservation	Good
Administration	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

4.4.14 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Research and monitoring	High
Promotion	High
Community outreach	High
Interpretation	High
Education	High
Visitor management	High
Conservation	High
Administration	High
Risk preparedness	High
Tourism	High
Enforcement (custodians, police)	High

4.4.15 - Do the management and conservation programmes at the World Heritage property help develop local expertise?

A capacity development plan or programme is **in place and fully implemented**; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, who are assuming leadership in management

4.4.16 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

no comment

4.5. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

4.5.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient**

4.5.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of research**, which is relevant to management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.5.3 - Are results from research programmes disseminated?

Research results are **shared with local participants and some national agencies**

Periodic Report - Second Cycle

4.5.4 - Please provide details (i.e. authors, title, and web link) of papers published about the World Heritage property since the last Periodic Report

Gomez-Uchida, D., F. Palstra, F., T.W. Knight, D. Ruzzante. In press. Contemporary effective population and metapopulation size (Ne and meta-Ne): Comparison among three salmonids inhabiting a fragmented system and differing in gene flow and its asymmetries. Ecology and Evolution. Gosse, J., L. Hermanutz, B. McLaren, P. Deering, and T. Knight. 2011. Degradation of boreal forests by non-native herbivores in Newfoundland's National Parks: Recommendations for ecosystem restoration. Natural Areas Journal 31: 331-339. Anonymous 2010. Hyperabundant Moose Management Plan for Gros Morne National Park. Parks Canada, Rocky Harbour, NL. Gomez-Uchida, D., T.W. Knight, and D. Ruzzante. 2009. Interaction of landscape and life-history attributes on genetic diversity, neutral divergence and gene flow in a pristine community of salmonids. Molecular Ecology 18: 4854-4869. Poissant, J., T.W. Knight, and M.M. Ferguson. 2005. Nonequilibrium conditions following landscape rearrangement: the relative contribution of past and current hydrological landscapes on the genetic structure of a stream-dwelling fish. Molecular Ecology (2005) 14:1321-1331. Burzynski, M., T. Knight, S. Gerrow, J. Hoffman, R. Thompson, P. Deering, D. Major, S. Taylor, C. Wentzell, A. Simpson, W. Burdett. 2005. State of the park report. Gros Morne National Park of Canada: an assessment of ecological integrity.

4.5.5 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

no comment

4.6. Education, Information and Awareness Building

4.6.1 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

4.6.2 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities / residents	Average
Local / Municipal authorities within or adjacent to the property	Excellent
Local Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Local landowners	Average
Visitors	Excellent
Tourism industry	Excellent
Local businesses and industries	Average

4.6.3 - Is there a planned education and awareness programme linked to the values and management of the World Heritage property?

There is a **planned and effective** education and awareness programme that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

4.6.4 - What role, if any, has designation as a World Heritage property played with respect to education, information and awareness building activities?

World Heritage status has been an **important influence** on education, information and awareness building activities

Section II-Gros Morne National Park

4.6.5 - How well is the information on Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

There is **excellent presentation and interpretation** of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property

4.6.6 - Please rate the adequacy for education, information and awareness building of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property

Visitor centre	Excellent
Site museum	Excellent
Information booths	Excellent
Guided tours	Excellent
Trails / routes	Excellent
Information materials	Excellent
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed

4.6.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

no comment

4.7. Visitor Management

4.7.1 - Please provide the trend in annual visitation for the last five years

Last year	Minor Increase
Two years ago	Static
Three years ago	Static
Four years ago	Minor Increase
Five years ago	Minor Increase

4.7.2 - What information sources are used to collect trend data on visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

4.7.3 - Visitor management documents

Comment

A list of documents will be sent via the National Focal point representative.

4.7.4 - Is there an appropriate visitor use management plan (e.g. specific plan) for the World Heritage property which ensures that its Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

4.7.5 - Does the tourism industry contribute to improving visitor experiences and maintaining the values of the World Heritage property?

There is **excellent co-operation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

4.7.6 - If fees (i.e. entry charges, permits) are collected, do they contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

The fee is collected, and makes **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

4.7.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to visitor use of the World Heritage property

no comment

4.8. Monitoring**4.8.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property which is directed towards management needs and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is **not directed towards management needs** and / or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

4.8.2 - Are key indicators for measuring the state of conservation used to monitor how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient** for defining and monitoring key indicators for measuring its state of conservation

4.8.3 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups

World Heritage managers / coordinators and staff	Average
Local / Municipal authorities	Excellent
Local communities	Average
Researchers	Average
NGOs	Average
Industry	Average
Local indigenous peoples	Not applicable

4.8.4 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

4.8.5 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee

no comment

4.8.6 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to monitoring

In section 4.8.3.6 it is difficult to provide a universal value to the question of industry involvement in monitoring. The tourism industry involvement is very high (4), but other industries like industrial industries (oil & gas, hydro, fishing etc) is very low or non-existent. In section 4.8.1: We have a comprehensive ecological monitoring program for mgmt needs, but not sp. focussed on OUV

4.9. Identification of Priority Management Needs**4.9.1 - Please select the top 6 managements needs for the property (if more than 6 are listed below)**

Please refer to question 5.2

5. Summary and Conclusions

5.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

5.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

		World Heritage criteria and attributes affected	Actions	Monitoring	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
3.5	Biological resource use/modification						
3.5.10	Forestry /wood production	The outstanding natural beauty of the property.	Parks Canada maintains a collaborative relationship with industry and provincial government land managers contributing to the development of regional scale forest management plans and local level harvesting and viewscape management guidelines.	Parks Canada staff track the implementation of forest harvest plans and viewscape guidelines through ongoing field investigations.	While forestry and harvesting activity varies both temporally and spatially with industry demand and forest condition, the activity will continue into the future.	Government of Newfoundland and Labrador.	no comment

5.2. Summary - Management Needs

5.2.2 - Summary - Management Needs

Answers provided have not outlined any serious management need.

5.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.3.1 - Current state of Authenticity

Not applicable (for sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x)

5.3.2 - Current state of Integrity

The integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

5.3.3 - Current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

5.3.4 - Current state of the property's other values

Other important cultural and / or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **predominantly intact**

5.4. Additional comments on the State of Conservation of the Property

5.4.1 - Comments

At its 37th session, the World Heritage Committee reviewed the state of conservation of Gros Morne National Park and adopted decision 7B.18. Canada is now working to implement the decision.

6. World Heritage Status and Conclusions on Periodic Reporting Exercise

6.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal / Policy framework	Positive
Lobbying	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Other (please specify)	Not applicable

6.2 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to World Heritage status

no comment

6.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of this Section of the Periodic Report

Governmental institution responsible for the property
Site Manager/Coordinator/World Heritage property staff

Non Governmental Organization

6.4 - Was the Periodic Reporting questionnaire easy to use and clearly understandable?

yes

6.5 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Except for the periodic loss of data due to the web page timing out or stored data not showing up after being saved. Support from the WHC in France was valuable and effective.

6.6 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO	Fair
State Party Representative	Good
Advisory Body	Very poor

6.7 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

All required information was accessible

6.8 - The Periodic Reporting process has improved the understanding of the following

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and / or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and / or Authenticity
Managing the property to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting
Management effectiveness

6.9 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Not Applicable
State Party	Not Applicable
Site Managers	Not Applicable
Advisory Bodies	Not Applicable

6.10 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Automatically generated in online version

6.11 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting exercise

no comment